



## On SAH – Ideal of BH – Algebra

Alaa Saleh Abed

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of  
kufa, Najaf, Iraq

[Alaas.abed@uokufa.edu.iq](mailto:Alaas.abed@uokufa.edu.iq)

Article history: Received 23 June 2019, Accepted 8 September 2019, Published in April  
2020.

Doi: 10.30526/33.2.2433

### Abstract

The aim of this investigation is to present the idea of SAH – ideal, closed SAH – ideal and closed SAH – ideal with respect to an element,  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal and s-  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal of BH – algebra.

We detail and show theorems which regulate the relationship between these ideas and provide some examples in BH – algebra.

**Keywords:** BH – algebra, SAH – ideal of BH – algebra, closed SAH – ideal with respect to an element of BH – algebra,  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal .

### 1. Introduction

After founding of fuzzy subset by Zadeh L. A [1]. Several researchers presented the generalizations of the idea of fuzzy subsets. Imai and Iseki K. established two classes BCK algebra and BCI – algebra [2, 3]. Jun Y. B., Rogh E. H. And Kin H. S. produced a new concept, named a BH – algebra [4]. In this paper, we will recall some basic definitions. A BH – algebra is a nonempty set  $\Psi$  with a binary operation  $*$  satisfies the conditions:  $x * x = 0$ , for all  $x \in \Psi$ ,  $x * 0 = 0$  and  $0 * x = 0 \rightarrow x = 0$  for all  $x, 0 \in \Psi$  and  $x * 0 = x$ , for all  $x \in \Psi$  [4]. we will use  $\Psi$  for representing a BH – algebra  $(\Psi; *, 0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  a nonempty subset of  $\Psi$ . then  $\mathcal{S}$  is named an ideal of  $\Psi$  if it holds:  $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ ;  $x * y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{S} \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{S}$  [4]. Let  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  be BH – algebras.

A mapping  $\delta : \Psi \rightarrow \Phi$  is named a homomorphism if:  $\delta (x * y) = \delta (x) * \delta (y)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in \Psi$ . A homomorphism  $\delta$  is titled a monomorphism (resp, epimorphism) if it injective (resp., surjective). A bijective homomorphism is titled an isomorphism. Two BH – algebras  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are said to be isomorphic, written  $\Psi \cong \Phi$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\delta : \Psi \rightarrow \Phi$ . For any homomorphism  $\delta : \Psi \rightarrow \Phi$ , the set  $\{x \in \Psi : \delta (x) = 0'\}$  is titled the kernel of  $\delta$ , symbolized by  $\ker(\delta)$ , and the set  $\{\delta(x) : x \in \Psi\}$  is named the image of  $\delta$ , represented by  $\text{Im}(\delta)$ . Sign that  $\delta (0) = 0'$ ,  $\forall$  homomorphism  $\delta$  [5]. An ideal  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\Psi$  is known as closed ideal of  $\Psi$  if: for each  $x \in \mathcal{S}$ .

We requisite  $0 * \kappa \in \mathfrak{S}$  [6]. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be an ideal of  $\Psi$ . It is named a closed ideal with respect to an element  $s \in \Psi$  (symbolized by  $s$  – closed ideal) if  $s * (0 * \kappa) \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall \kappa \in \mathfrak{S}$  [7]. An ideal  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\Psi$  is known as completely closed ideal if  $\kappa * \varpi \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall \varpi \in \mathfrak{S}$  [7]. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be an ideal of  $\Psi$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{S}$ . It is named a completely closed with respect to an element  $s$  (know by  $s$  – completely closed ideal) if:  $s * (\kappa * \varpi) \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall \kappa, \varpi \in \mathfrak{S}$  [7]. In the next parts of our research, we will symbolize to BH- algebra  $(\mathfrak{E}; *, 0)$  by  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

**2. Closed SAH – Ideal with Respect to an Element of BH – Algebra**

**Definition (1)**

An ideal  $\mathfrak{J}$  of  $\mathfrak{E}$  is named a SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$  if it fillfulls the requirement:

$\forall \zeta, \xi \in \mathfrak{J}$ , if  $(\zeta^* * \xi) \in \mathfrak{J}, \xi^* \in \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow (\xi^* * \zeta) \in \mathfrak{J}$ , where  $\zeta^* = e * \zeta$ , and  $e$  is unit number, i.e:  $\zeta * e = 0$

**Example (2)**

Assume  $\mathfrak{E} = \{0, \omega, \nu\}$  with the binary operation  $*$  symbolized by the subsequent table:

**Table 1.**

*	0	$\omega$	$\nu$
0	0	0	0
$\omega$	$\omega$	0	0
$\nu$	$\nu$	$\nu$	0

Then the ideal  $\mathfrak{J} = \{0, \nu\}$  is a SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

**Definition (3)**

Assume  $\mathfrak{J}$  is SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ , then  $\mathfrak{J}$  is known as closed SAH – ideal if it fulfills the requirement:

$\forall \zeta, \xi \in \mathfrak{J}$  if  $0 * (\zeta^* * \xi) \in \mathfrak{J} \wedge 0 * \xi^* \in \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow 0 * (\xi^* * \zeta) \in \mathfrak{J}$

**Example (4)**

Assume  $\mathfrak{E} = \{0,1,2,3\}$  with the binary operation  $*$  definition by the ensuing table:

**Table 2**

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Then, the ideal  $\mathfrak{J} = \{0,3\}$  is a closed SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

**Remark (5)**

We know that every SAH – ideal in  $\epsilon$  is closed SAH – ideal. But the converse not correct.

**Example (6)**

Consider  $\epsilon = \{0,1,2,3\}$  with a binary operation  $*$  connoted by the ensuing table:

**Table 3.**

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	2	2	0

$\mathfrak{I} = \{0,3\}$  is a closed SAH – ideal of  $\epsilon$  but  $\mathfrak{I}$  doesn't SAH – ideal, because:

when  $\varsigma = 2, \zeta = 1 \rightarrow \varsigma^* = 2, \zeta^* = 2$

$(0 * 2 = 0) \in \mathfrak{I}, 0 * 2 = 0 \in \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow (0 * 0 = 0) \in \mathfrak{I}$  , while

$(2 * 1 = 2) \notin \mathfrak{I}, 2 \notin \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow (2 * 2 = 0) \in \mathfrak{I}$

**Theorem (7)**

Assume  $\{\mathfrak{I}_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a collocation of closed SAH – ideal of  $\epsilon$ . Then  $\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{I}_\lambda\right)$  is a closed SAH – ideal of  $\epsilon$  .

**Proof**

$$\forall \varsigma, \zeta \in \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{I}_\lambda\right)$$

$$\therefore \varsigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{I}_\lambda, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * (\varsigma^* * \zeta) \in \mathfrak{I}_\lambda \text{ and } 0 * \zeta^* \in \mathfrak{I} \text{ then } 0 * (\zeta^* * \varsigma) \in \mathfrak{I}_\lambda, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$$

Since each  $\mathfrak{I}$  is closed SAH – ideal  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * (\varsigma^* * \zeta) \in \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{I}_\lambda\right) \text{ and } 0 * \zeta^* \in \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{I}_\lambda\right) \text{ then } 0 * (\zeta^* * \varsigma) \in \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{I}_\lambda\right)$$

$$\therefore \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{I}_\lambda\right) \text{ is closed SAH – ideal of BH – algebra } \epsilon . \blacksquare$$

**Theorem (8)**

Assume  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a collocation of closed SAH – ideals of  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Then  $\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\right)$  is a closed SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

**Proof**

To prove that  $\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\right)$  is closed SAH – ideal

$$\forall \varsigma, \zeta \in \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\right)$$

$\Rightarrow \exists \mathfrak{A}_j \in \{\mathfrak{A}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a c – SAH – ideal

Such that  $\forall \varsigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{A}_j$

$\Rightarrow 0 * (\varsigma^* * \zeta) \in \mathfrak{A}_j$  and  $0 * \zeta^* \in \mathfrak{A}_j$  so  $0 * (\zeta^* * \varsigma) \in \mathfrak{A}_j$

$$\Rightarrow 0 * (\zeta^* * \varsigma) \in \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\right)$$

$\Rightarrow \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\right)$  is closed SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ . ■

**Theorem (9)**

Assume  $\{\mathfrak{E}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a collocation of  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  be a closed SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda\right)$  is a closed SAH – ideal of the direct product of  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

**Proof**

$$\forall (\varsigma_\lambda), (\zeta_\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$$

$$(0)(\varsigma_\lambda^*)(\zeta_\lambda) \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda \wedge (0)(\zeta_\lambda^*) \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow (0 * \varsigma^* * \zeta) \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda \wedge (0 * \zeta^*) \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$$

$0 * \varsigma^* * \zeta \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda \wedge 0 * \zeta^* \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  and

Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is closed SAH – ideal  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ , then

$$\therefore 0 * \zeta^* * \varsigma \in \mathfrak{A}_\lambda, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow (0 * \zeta^* * \varsigma) \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$$

$\Rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is closed SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ . ■

**Definition (10)**

Assume  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a closed SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{I}$  is named closed SAH – ideal with respect to an element  $s \in \mathfrak{E}$  (represented by  $s$  –closed SAH – ideal) if:

$$s * (0 * (\zeta * \eta)) \in \mathfrak{I} \wedge s * (0 * \zeta) \in \mathfrak{I} . \text{ Then } s * (0 * (\zeta * \eta)) \in \mathfrak{I}$$

**Example (11)**

Consider  $\mathfrak{E} = \{0,1,2,3\}$  with binary operation  $*$  defined by the ensuing table:

**Table 4.**

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	3	0	3
3	3	0	0	0

$\mathfrak{I} = \{0,2\}$ ,  $s = 3$  and  $\mathfrak{I}$  is 3 – closed SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

**3. Completely Closed SAH – Ideal with Respect to an Element of BH – Algebra**

**Definition (12)**

A SAH – ideal  $\mathfrak{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{E}$  is known as completely closed SAH – ideal if

$$\zeta * \eta \in \mathfrak{I} , \forall \zeta, \eta \in \mathfrak{I} \text{ (represented by } \overline{SAH} \text{ –ideal).}$$

**Example (13)**

In example (11), we have  $\mathfrak{I}$  is  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$  since:

$$0 * 0 = 0 \in \mathfrak{I} , 0 * 2 = 0 \in \mathfrak{I}$$

$$2 * 0 = 2 \in \mathfrak{I} , 2 * 2 = 0 \in \mathfrak{I}$$

**Definition (14)**

A SAH – ideal  $\mathfrak{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{E}$ , then  $\mathfrak{I}$  is named a completely closed SAH – ideal with respect to an element  $s \in \mathfrak{E}$  (represented by  $s$  –  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal )

$$\text{If } s * 0 * (\zeta * \eta) \in \mathfrak{I} , \forall \zeta, \eta \in \mathfrak{I}$$

**Example (15)**

In example (11), we have:

$\mathfrak{I} = \{0,2\}$  and  $s = 2$ , then  $\mathfrak{I}$  is 2 –  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal since:

$$2 * 0 * (0 * 0) = 2 \in \mathfrak{I} , 2 * 0 * (0 * 2) = 2 \in \mathfrak{I}$$

$$2 * 0 * (2 * 0) = 2 \in \mathfrak{I} , 2 * 2 * (2 * 2) = 2 \in \mathfrak{I}$$

**Remark (16)**

In  $\mathfrak{E}$  every  $s$  –  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal is a  $s$  – closed SAH – ideal.

**Proposition (17)**

Assume  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$  . Then  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a  $s - \overline{SAH}$  – ideal,  $\forall s \in \mathfrak{J}$  .

**Proof**

Assume  $\forall \zeta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{J}$

Mean while  $\mathfrak{J}$  is  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal and  $s \in \mathfrak{J}$

Then  $s * 0 * (\zeta * \zeta) \in \mathfrak{J}$  . ■

**Theorem (18)**

Assume  $(\mathfrak{E}; *, 0)$  and  $(\mathfrak{Z}; \odot, 0')$  are BH – algebras and  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$  is a BH – epimorphism and  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a SAH – ideal in  $\mathfrak{E}$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is a SAH – ideal in  $\mathfrak{Z}$ .

**Proof**

Assume  $(\zeta^* \odot \zeta) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J}) \wedge \zeta^* \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  to prove  $(\zeta^* \odot \zeta) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  ,  $\forall \zeta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{J}$

$\Rightarrow \exists a, b \in \mathfrak{J}$  such that

$\mathfrak{h}(a) = \zeta$  ,  $\mathfrak{h}(b) = \zeta$ ,

$((\mathfrak{h}(a))^* \odot \mathfrak{h}(b)) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J}) \wedge (\mathfrak{h}(b))^* \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$((\mathfrak{h}(a)^* \odot \mathfrak{h}(b)) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J}) \wedge \mathfrak{h}(b)^* \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$\mathfrak{h}(a^* * b) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J}) \wedge \mathfrak{h}(b^*) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$\Rightarrow a^* * b \in \mathfrak{J} \wedge b^* \in \mathfrak{J}$

$\rightarrow b^* * a \in \mathfrak{J}$

$\rightarrow \mathfrak{h}(b^* * a) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$\because \mathfrak{h}$  is epimorphism

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}(b^*) \odot \mathfrak{h}(a) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$\Rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}(b))^* \odot (\mathfrak{h}(a)) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$(\zeta^* \odot \zeta) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$\therefore \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is SAH – ideal in  $\mathfrak{Z}$  . ■

**Theorem (19)**

Assume  $(\mathfrak{E}; *, 0)$  and  $(\mathfrak{Z}; \odot, 0')$  are BH – algebras and  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$  an epimorphism and  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a SAH – ideal in  $\mathfrak{E}$  . Then  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is a closed SAH – ideal in  $\mathfrak{Z}$ .

**Proof**

Assume  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a SAH – ideal in  $\mathfrak{E}$

$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is SAH – ideal (theorem (18))

And by using remark (5)

$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is a closed SAH – ideal in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . ■

**Remark (20)**

Now each SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a  $s - \overline{SAH}$  – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathfrak{J}$  .

**Theorem (21)**

Assume  $(\mathfrak{E}; *, 0)$  and  $(\mathfrak{C}; \odot, 0')$  are BH – algebras and  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  is a epimorphism , if  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a  $s - \overline{SAH}$  – ideal in  $\mathfrak{E}$  , then  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is a  $\mathfrak{h}(s) \overline{SAH}$  – ideal in  $\mathfrak{C}$  .

**Proof**

Assume  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a  $s - \overline{SAH}$  – ideal in  $\mathfrak{E}$  , then  $s * (a * c) \in \mathfrak{J}$  ,  $\forall a, c \in \mathfrak{J}$

Since  $\mathfrak{J}$  is SAH – ideal , then  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is a SAH – ideal (theorem 18)

Assume  $\varsigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$

$\Rightarrow \exists m, n \in \mathfrak{J}$  such that  $\mathfrak{h}(m) = \varsigma$  ,  $\mathfrak{h}(n) = \zeta$

$$\mathfrak{h}(s) \odot (\varsigma \odot \zeta) = \mathfrak{h}(s) \odot (\mathfrak{h}(m) \odot \mathfrak{h}(n))$$

$$= \mathfrak{h}(s) \odot \mathfrak{h}(m * n)$$

$$= \mathfrak{h}(s * (m * n)) \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J}) \text{ [since } s * (m * n) \in \mathfrak{J}]$$

$\therefore \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{J})$  is a  $\mathfrak{h}(s) \overline{SAH}$  – ideal. ■

**Proposition (22)**

Assume  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a SAH – ideal of  $\mathfrak{E}$  such that  $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_+$  . Then  $\mathfrak{J}$  is  $s -$  closed SAH – ideal  $\forall s \in \mathfrak{J}$  . Where  $\mathfrak{E}_+ = \{\varsigma \in \mathfrak{E} : 0 * \varsigma = 0\}$  .

**Proof**

Assume  $s \in \mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_+$  .

Then  $s * (0 * \varsigma) = s * 0$  [ since  $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_+$  ] =  $s \in \mathfrak{J}$

$\therefore \mathfrak{J}$  is  $s -$  closed SAH – ideal . ■

**4. Conclusion**

In this paper , we constructed the idea of SAH – ideal , closed SAH – ideal ,s- closed SAH – ideal ,  $\overline{SAH}$  – ideal and  $s - \overline{SAH}$  – ideal of BH – algebra which are presented with some of their properties , examples and theorems . In our future work, we introduce the concept of fuzzy SAH – ideal of BH – algebra. It is our optimism that this effort grows into other fundamentals for further study of ideas of BH-algebra.

**References**

1. Zadah, L. A. Fuzzy Sets. *In form. Control.***1965**, 8, 338-353.
2. Iseki, K. On BCI-algebras. *Mathematics Seminar Notes.***1980**, 8, 125-130.
3. Iseki, K.; Tanaka, S. An introduction to theory of BCK –algebras. *Math. Japonica.* **1978**, 23, 1, 1-8.
4. Jun, Y. B.; Roh, E.H. On BH-algebra. *scientiae Mathematica.***1998**, 1, 1, 347-354.

5. Jun, Y.B.; Kim, H.S.; Kondo, M. On BH-relations in BH algebras. *Scientiae*
6. Baik, H.G. On Vague BH –subalgebra of BH- algebras. *International Mathematical Forum*.**2009**, 4, 17, 823-829.
7. Abass, H.H.; Dahham, H. A. On Completely Closed Ideal With Respect To An Element Of A BH-Algebra. *Journal of Karbala university*.**2012**, 10, 3, 302-312.