# IBN AL- HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SCI VOL.18 (2) 2005 Numerical Calculations and Time Evolution of Coherent States Wave Functions of Charged Oscillator in Magnetic Field

#### W.R. Mohsen

Department of physics, College of Education, Ibn Al-Haitham University of Baghdad

## Abstract

The wave functions of the coherent states of the charged oscillator in magnetic field are obtained via a canonical transformation. The numerical calculations of these functions are made and then the space and time plots are obtained. It was shown that these states are Gaussians distributions of widths vary periodically in an opposite way with their peaks. We interpret that is due to the mutual actions of the spreading effect of the wave packet and the reaction of the magnetic field.

## Introduction

The dynamics of charged particles in electric and magnetic fields is of both academic and practical interest. The areas where this problem finds applications include the development of cyclotron accelerators (1), free electron lasers (2), plasma physics (3) and so on (4). The problem of charged particles moving in an anisotropic threedimensional harmonic oscillator potential in the presence of a constant external magnetic field has received much attention (5-14). This may be attributed to the fact that this problem can be considered as a model representing different situations in physics. For example, electrons in an isotropic metal lattice subjected to an external constant magnetic field can be represented by such a model (5). The present work is concerned with the space and time plots of coherent states wave functions of a charged oscillator in a magnetic field. The canonical transformation (well known in classical mechanics) is used to convert the charged oscillator problem from an old canonical variables and Hamiltonian of three coupled oscillators to that of three uncoupled harmonic oscillators in new canonical variables with new masses and

frequencies (15,16). The new Hamiltonian of three uncoupled oscillators facilitates the construction of the coherent states for the problem in terms of its energy states  $|n_i\rangle$  in the usual way (16). Then we convert the representation of the coherent states from the fock (energy states) representation to coordinate one, i.e., coherent states wave functions. Then expression for the modulus squared (probability distribution) is derived. Finally, we program the numerical calculations of the absolute values of the wave functions in order to plot them in coordinate space at various values of magnetic field and times.

# The Hamiltonian and the canonical transformation

The Hamiltonian of a spineless non-relativistic charged oscillator of mass (m) and charge (q) moving in an anistropic 3-D harmonic oscillator, in the presence of a constant external

magnetic field  $(\vec{B})$  directed along the x<sub>1</sub>-axis, is (16)

where  $\vec{A} = \vec{A}(\vec{r},t)$  is the vector potential associated with the magnetic field  $(\vec{B})$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}m\sum_{i=1}^{3}\omega_{i}^{2}x_{i}^{2}$  is the harmonic oscillator potential and

 $x_i$  (*i* = 1,2,3) are the cartesian coordinate of the particle. The magnetic field component ( $\vec{B}$ ) is along x<sub>1</sub>-axis, therefore, the symmetric gauge is

$$\vec{A} = \frac{B}{2}(0, -x_3, x_2)$$
.....[2]

The cyclotron frequency ( $\omega_c$ ) associated with the particle motion is related with Larmor frequency ( $\omega_L$ ) by the following relation:

$$\omega_L = \frac{\omega_c}{2} = \frac{qB}{mc} \dots \dots \dots [3]$$

Using equation [2] and [3], one get from equation (1)

$$\hat{H} = \left(\frac{p_1^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_1^2 x_1^2\right) + \left(\frac{p_2^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_2^2 x_2^2\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_L^2 x_2^2\right) + \left(\frac{p_3^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_3^2 x_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_L^2 x_3^2\right) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\omega_L (x_2 p_3 - x_3 p_2) + \frac{1}{2}\omega_L (p_2 x_3 - p_3 x_2) \cdots [4]$$

where

 $L_1 = x_2 p_3 - x_3 p_2$ - $L_1 = p_2 x_3 - p_3 x_2$  ......[5]

are the positive and negative components of the angular momentum along the  $x_1$ - direction

Defining the angular frequency  $(\omega'_{i}^{2})$  by (16)  $\omega'_{i}^{2} = \omega_{i}^{2} + \omega_{L}^{2}$  (i=1,2,3)......[6]  $\hat{H} = (\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{1}^{2}x_{1}^{2}) + (\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega'_{2}^{2}x_{2}^{2})$  $+ (\frac{P_{3}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega'_{3}^{2}x_{3}^{2}) - \omega_{L}L_{1}$  ......[7]

where 
$$\hat{H}_{1} = \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{1}^{2}X_{1}^{2}$$
.....[9]  
 $\hat{H}\omega_{L} = (\frac{P_{2}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{2}^{\prime 2}x_{2}^{2}) + (\frac{p_{3}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{3}^{\prime 2}x_{3}^{2}) - \omega_{L}L_{1}$ .....[10]

It is clearly shown from equations [7] and [8] that the Hamiltonian of the system under consideration is the same as the Hamiltonian of three coupled oscillators due to the magnetic field. In order to overcome this difficulty and transform the Hamiltonian of the problem to that of three uncoupled oscillators, a canonical transformation(16,17) in the phase space of the problem must be used to obtain the transformed Hamiltonian (H') with different frequencies  $(\Omega_i)$  and masses  $(m_i)$ :

#### The coherent states wave functions

The Hamiltonian (H') of three uncoupled oscillators facilitates the construction of its coherent states in terms of the number states  $|n_i\rangle$ (16,5). The coherent states of (H') can be parameterized by three complex quantities  $\alpha_i(i=1,2,3)$ .

$$|\{\alpha_{i}\},t\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{3} e^{-\frac{|\alpha_{i}|^{2}}{4b_{i}^{2}}} \sum_{n_{i}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\sqrt{2}b_{i}}\right)^{n_{i}} \times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{i}!}}\right) e^{-i\Omega_{i}(n_{i}+1/2)t} |n_{i}\rangle \dots \dots \dots [12]$$

where:

$$b_i = \left(\frac{\hbar}{|m_i|\Omega_i}\right)^{1/2}, \quad i=1,2,3 \dots [13]$$

Ignoring the motion in  $x_1$ - direction since it is decoupled from  $x_2,x_3$  motion and is not affected by the rotation, the unprimed coordinate representation of the coherent states reads

$$\langle \{x_i\} | \{\alpha_i\}, t \rangle = \prod_{i=2,3} e^{\frac{|\alpha_i|^2}{4b_i^2}} \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{2}b_i}\right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i!}}\right) e^{-i\Omega_i(n_i+\frac{1}{2})t} \langle \{x_i\} | \{n_i\} \rangle \dots [14]$$

Equation [14] can be written as:

$$\langle x_2, x_3 \mid \alpha_2, \alpha_3; t \rangle = \prod_{i=2,3} e^{\frac{|\alpha_i|}{4h_i^2}} \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{2}b_i}\right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i!}}\right) e^{-i\Omega_i (n_i + \frac{1}{2})t} \langle x_2, x_3 \mid n_2, n_3 \rangle \dots [15]$$
  
The eigen functions of  $\langle x_2 x_3 \mid n_2 n_3 \rangle$  can be written as (17,18)

 $\langle x_2 x_3 | n_2 n_3 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx'_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx'_3 \langle x_2 x_3 | x'_2 x'_3 \rangle \langle x'_2 x'_3 | n_2 n_3 \rangle \dots \dots [16]$ 

The functions  $\langle x'_2 x'_3 | n_2 n_3 \rangle$  are the known oscillator eigen functions in the primed system, thus

$$\langle x'_{2} x'_{3} | n_{2}n_{3} \rangle = (2^{n_{2}+n_{3}} n_{2}! n_{3}! b_{2}b_{3}\pi)^{-1/2} \times Hn_{2} \left(\frac{x'_{2}}{b_{2}}\right) Hn_{3} \left(\frac{x'_{3}}{b_{3}}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{x'_{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}} + \frac{x'_{3}}{2b_{3}^{2}}\right)} \dots [17]$$

The eigen functions  $\langle x_2 x_3 | x'_2 x'_3 \rangle$  are given by Glas et.al.(17) and Habeeb(18).

$$\langle x_2 x_3 | x'_2 x'_3 \rangle = (2\pi \hbar | B |)^{-1} \times e^{\frac{i}{\hbar B}(-Ax_2 x_3 + x_2 x'_3 + x_3 x'_2 - x'_2 x'_3)}$$
.....[18]

Thus, an integral representation of the normalized eigen functions

The coherent staties wave functions of equation[15]

$$\psi_{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}(x_{2},x_{3},t) = e^{-\frac{|\alpha_{2}|^{2}}{4b_{2}^{2}}} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\sqrt{2}b_{2}}\right)^{n_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{2}!}}\right) \left(2^{n_{2}}n_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-1/2}$$

# Numerical calculation and time evolution of coherent states wave function

Multiplying both sides of equation[20] by its complex conjugate, one obtain the modulus squared of coherent states wave functions as follows:

$$|\Psi_{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}(x_{2}, x_{3}, t)|^{2} = e^{-\frac{|E_{2}|^{2}}{4b_{2}^{2}}} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\sqrt{2}b_{2}}\right)^{n_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{2}!}}\right)^{(2^{n_{2}}n_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2}} \times \\ e^{-i\Omega_{2}(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2})t} e^{-\frac{|\alpha_{3}|^{2}}{4b_{3}^{2}}} \sum_{n_{3}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\sqrt{2}b_{3}}\right)^{n_{3}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{3}!}}\right) \times \\ \left(2^{n_{3}}n_{3}!b_{3}\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-1/2} e^{-i\Omega_{3}(n_{3}+\frac{1}{2})t} \times \left(2\pi\hbar \mid B \mid\right)^{-1} \times \\ e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar B}Ax_{2}x_{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx'_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx'_{3}H_{n_{2}}\left(\frac{x'_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)H_{n_{3}}\left(\frac{x'_{3}}{b_{3}}\right) \times \\ e^{-\frac{x'_{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}} -\frac{x'_{3}^{2}}{2b_{3}^{2}}} e^{t/\hbar\beta(x'_{2}x_{3}+x_{2}x'_{3}-x'_{2}x'_{3})} \times \\ e^{-\frac{|\alpha_{2}|^{2}}{4b_{2}^{2}}} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{*}}{\sqrt{2}b_{2}}\right)^{m_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{2}!}}\right) \times \end{aligned}$$

$$(2^{m_{2}}m_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2}e^{i\Omega_{2}(m_{2}+\frac{1}{2})'}e^{-\frac{|x_{1}|^{2}}{4b_{3}^{2}}}\sum_{m_{3}=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\sqrt{2}b_{3}}\right)^{m_{3}}\times$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{3}!}}\right)^{\left(2^{m_{3}}m_{3}!b_{3}\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-1/2}}e^{i\Omega_{3}(m_{3}+\frac{1}{2})'}(2\pi\hbar |B|)^{-1}\times$$

$$e^{i\hbar B(AX_{2}X_{3})}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx'_{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx'_{3}H_{m_{2}}\left(\frac{x'_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)H_{m_{3}}\left(\frac{x'_{3}}{b_{3}}\right)\times$$

$$e^{\frac{x'_{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}}\frac{x'_{3}^{2}}{2b_{3}^{2}}}e^{-(i/\hbar B)(x'_{2}x_{3}+x_{2}x'_{3}-x'_{2}x'_{3})}$$
Putting the two double integration as:
$$I_{n_{2}n_{3}}(x_{2},x_{3}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx'_{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx'_{3}H_{n_{2}}\left(\frac{x'_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)H_{n_{3}}\left(\frac{x'_{3}}{b_{3}}\right)\times$$

$$=e^{\frac{x'_{2}^{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}}\frac{x'_{3}^{2}}{2b_{3}^{2}}}e^{(i/\hbar B)(x'_{2}x_{3}+x_{2}x'_{3}-x'_{2}x'_{3})}$$
Putting the two double integration as:
$$I_{m_{2}m_{3}}(x_{2},x_{3}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx'_{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx'_{3}H_{n_{2}}\left(\frac{x'_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)H_{n_{3}}\left(\frac{x'_{3}}{b_{3}}\right)\times$$

$$=e^{\frac{x'_{2}^{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}}\frac{x'_{3}^{2}}{2b_{3}^{2}}}e^{(i/\hbar B)(x'_{2}x_{3}+x_{2}x'_{3}-x'_{2}x'_{3})}$$

$$Rewriting equation [21], one has$$

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}(x_{2}, x_{3}, t)|^{2} &= e^{-i\alpha_{1}t^{1}/4k_{2}^{2}} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\sqrt{2}b_{2}}\right)^{n_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{2}!}}\right) (2^{n_{2}}n_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \times \\ &e^{-i\Omega_{2}(n_{2}+1/2)t} e^{-i\alpha_{3}t^{2}/4k_{3}^{2}} \sum_{n_{3}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\sqrt{2}b_{3}}\right)^{n_{3}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{3}!}}\right) (2^{n_{3}}n_{3}!b_{3}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \times \\ &e^{-i\Omega_{3}(n_{3}+1/2)t} I(x_{2}, x_{3}) * (2\pi\hbar |B|)^{-2} \times \\ &e^{-i\alpha_{2}t^{2}/4k_{2}^{2}} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{*}}{\sqrt{2}b_{2}}\right)^{m_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{2}!}}\right) (2^{m_{2}}m_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \times \\ &e^{i\Omega_{2}(m_{2}+1/2)t} e^{-i\alpha_{3}t^{2}/4k_{3}^{2}} \sum_{m_{3}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{3}^{*}}{\sqrt{2}b_{3}}\right)^{m_{3}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{3}Q}}\right) \\ &\left(2^{m_{3}}m_{3}!b_{3}\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-1/2} e^{i\Omega_{3}(m_{3}+1/2)t} I_{m_{2}m_{3}}(x_{2}, x_{3}) \dots [24] \end{split}$$

Using some mathematical treatment to recover the complexity from eqs. [22] and [23], one has

Therefore, one can write equation [24] as:

VOL.18 (2) 2005

1

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}(x_{2},x_{3},t)|^{2} &= (2\pi\hbar |B|)^{-2} e^{\frac{|\alpha_{2}|^{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}} \frac{|\alpha_{3}|^{2}}{2b_{3}^{2}}} \times \\ &\sum_{m_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{*}}{\sqrt{2b_{2}}}\right)^{m_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{2}!}} (2^{m_{2}}m_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \times \\ &\sum_{n_{2}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\sqrt{2b_{2}}}\right)^{n_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{2}!}}\right) (2^{n_{2}}n_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \times \\ &e^{i\Omega_{2}(m_{2}-n_{2})t} \sum_{m_{3}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{3}^{*}}{\sqrt{2b_{3}}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{3}!}}\right) (2^{m_{3}}m_{3}!b_{3}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \times \cdots \left[27\right] \\ &\sum_{n_{3}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{3}^{*}}{\sqrt{2b_{3}}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{3}!}}\right) (2^{m_{3}}m_{3}!b_{3}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \times \\ &e^{i\Omega_{3}(m_{3}-n_{3})t} I_{m_{2}m_{3}}(x_{2},x_{3}) I_{n_{2}n_{3}}(x_{2},x_{3}) \end{split}$$

The final form of squared modulus of coherent states wave functions, eq.[27] is:

$$|\Psi_{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}(X_{2}, X_{3}, t)|^{2} = (2\pi\hbar |B|)^{-2} e^{-\frac{|\alpha_{1}|^{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}} - \frac{|\alpha_{3}|^{2}}{2b_{3}^{2}}} \times \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|\alpha_{3}|^{2}}{2b_{2}^{2}}\right)^{m_{1}} \left(\frac{1}{m_{2}!}\right) (2^{m_{2}}m_{2}!b_{2}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \times \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|\alpha_{3}|^{2}}{2b_{3}^{2}}\right)^{n_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{m_{3}!}\right) (2^{m_{3}}m_{3}b_{3}\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \times I^{2}m_{2}m_{2}(x_{2}, x_{3}) + 4\sum_{m_{2}:n_{2}\atop{m_{2}}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|\alpha_{2}|}{\sqrt{2}b_{2}}\right)^{m_{2}+n_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{2}!n_{2}!}}\right) \times (2^{m_{2}+n_{2}}m_{2}!b_{2}^{2}\Pi)^{-1/2} \cos \Omega_{2}(m_{2}-n_{2})t \times \sum_{m_{3}:n_{3}\atop{m_{3}}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|\alpha_{3}|}{\sqrt{2}b_{3}}\right)^{m_{3}+n_{3}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{3}!n_{3}!}}\right) (2^{m_{3},+n_{3}}m_{3}!n_{3}!b_{3}\pi)^{-1/2} \times \cos \Omega_{3}(m_{3}-n_{3})t \times I_{m_{2}m_{3}}(x_{2}, x_{3})I_{n_{2}n_{3}}(x_{2}, x_{3})$$

# **Results and discussion**

It is clearly shown from the previous sections that our system is physically more complicated, for example, than the system of one and two modes harmonic oscillators and that is due to the cranking

term  $(\omega_1 L)$  which is resulted from the magnetic field. The magnetic field is the most effective factor which has governed the construction of coherent states. Setting  $\hbar$ , oscillating mass (m) and oscillating frequency  $(\omega_2)$  equal to unity, one can define the units of all coefficients and parameters of the system in term of them. The absolute value of equation [28] represents the coherent states wave functions of the system and figs. (1-6) show these functions at different values of the magnetic field.

It is obviously shown that these states are not localized and spreading out, therefore, the magnetic field and the associated parameters must be varied carefully in order to obtain the proper coherent states as shown in the next two figs.

Figs. (7-8) show the time evolution of the coherent states at various interval of the cycle time (T). These figs. have revealed that these states are Gaussians probability distributions. The widths of these

distributions and the peaks of them vary periodically in an opposite way. We can interpret this behavior as a result of the action of wave packet to spread out and the reaction of the magnetic field which tries to localize the particle.

## References

Swanson, D.C. (1996) Rev. Mod. Phys., <u>67</u>: 837
 Vaht, J. (1991) Nuc. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A <u>304</u>: 502
 Gentle, K.W. (1995) Rev. Mod. Phys., <u>67</u>: 809
 De Jesus, V.L.B.; Guimaracs, A.P. and Oliveria, I.S. Brazilian (1999) J. Phys., <u>29(3)</u>: 541
 Habeeb, M.A.Z. (1987). J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. <u>20</u>: 5549
 Chemy, B.K. (1984) J. Phys. A<u>17</u>: 819
 Davis, I.M. (1985) J.Phys. A<u>18</u>: 2737
 Kokantonis, N. and Castrigino, D.P.L. (1985) J.Phys. A <u>18</u>: 45
 Yonei, K. (1989) J. Phys. A <u>22</u>: 2415
 O'Neil, Ed. and Garner, J. (1988) Am. J. Phys. <u>56</u> (5): 446

- 11.Galvao, R.M.O. and Miranda, L.C.M. (1983)Am. J. Phys. <u>51</u> (8): 729
- 12.Shang-Wu Qian, Ye-Teng Hu and Jing-Shan Wang, (1987) J. Phys. <u>A 20</u>: 2833
- 13.Dehin, D. and Hussin, V. (1987) Physica 60: 552
- 14.Quesne, C. J. (1986) Phys. A 19: 1127
- 15.Goldstein, H. (1980)Classical mechanics Addision- Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts,
- 16.Habeeb, M.A.Z. and Abdel-Kader, Z.Kh. (2001) Ibn-Al-Hiatham J. for pure and Appl. Sci. <u>14</u> (4B): 22
- 17.Glas, D. Mosel, U. and Zint, P.G. Z. (1978) Phys. A285: 83
- 18.Habeeb, M.A.Z. (1987) J. Phys. G13: 651



Fig (1):Magnetic field gives  $\omega_1=0.6$ 



Fig (2):Magnetic field gives  $\omega_1=0.65$ 



Fig. (3):Magnetic field gives  $\omega_1=0.675$ 





IBN AL- HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SCI VOL.18 (2) 2005

Fig. (4):Magnetic field gives  $\omega_1=0.7$ 



Fig (5):Magnetic field gives  $\omega_1=0.725$ 



VOL.18 (2) 2005



Fig. (6):Magnetic field gives ω<sub>1</sub>=0.750



Fig. (7):The coherent states of charged oscillator in magnetic field at various intervals of cycle time



Fig. (8): The coherent states of charged oscillator in magnetic field at various intervals of cycle time

الحسابات العددية والتطور الزمني للدوال الموجية للحالات المتشاكهة للمتذبذب المشحون في مجال مغناطيسي

> وائل رشيد محسن القسام قسم الفيزياء- كلية التربية- ابن الهيثم/ جامعة بغداد

> > المستخلص

تم الحصول على الدوال الموجية للحالات المتشاكهة للمتذبذب المشحون الموضوع داخل مجال مغناطيسي من خلال التحويلات المشروعة، وتم ايضاً اجراء الحسابات العددية اللازمة باستخدام برامج حاسوبية لرسم المخططات الفضائية والزمنية لتلك الدوال. لقد اتضح ان تلك الحالات عبارة عن توزيعات كاوس يتغير عرضها بصورة دورية مع قممها ونحن نعلل ذلك الى التأثير المتعاكس لكل من الحزمة الموجية التي تحاول ان تنتشر وتتوسع والمجال المغناطيسي الذي يحاول ان يركزها في منطقة معينة.