



## Cubic Bipolar Fuzzy Ideals with Thresholds $(\alpha, \beta)$ , $(\omega, \vartheta)$ of a Semigroup in KU-algebra

**Omnat Adnan Hasan**

Department of Mathematics, College of Education  
for Pure Sciences, Ibn Al Haitham, University of  
Baghdad, Iraq  
[umniyatadnan@gmail.com](mailto:umniyatadnan@gmail.com)

**Fatema F. Kareem**

Department of Mathematics, College of  
Education for Pure Sciences, Ibn Al  
Haitham, University of Baghdad, Iraq  
[fatma.f.k@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq](mailto:fatma.f.k@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq)

**Article history: Received, 21, November, 2021, Accepted, 25, January, 2022, Published in April 2022.**

**Doi: 10.30526/35.2.2724**

### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of cubic bipolar fuzzy ideals with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of a semigroup in KU-algebra as a generalization of sets and in short (CBF). Firstly, a (CBF) sub-KU-semigroup with a threshold  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  and some results in this notion are achieved. Also, (cubic bipolar fuzzy ideals and cubic bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideals) with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  are defined and some properties of these ideals are given. Relations between a (CBF) sub algebra and a (CBF) ideal are proved. A few characterizations of a (CBF)  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  are discussed. Finally, we proved that a (CBF)  $k$ -ideal and a (CBF) ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of a KU-semi group are equivalent relations.

**Keywords:** A KU-semigroup, cubic  $k$ -ideal, cubic bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$ .

### 1. Introduction

The fuzzy sets were introduced by Zadeh [1] in 1956; after that, many authors applied this concept in different mathematics fields. Mostafa [2, 3] studied the notion of fuzzy KU-ideals of KU-algebras and Generalizations of Fuzzy sets, which are called bipolar- fuzzy  $n$ -fold KU-ideals. Jun [4- 6] studied the notion of a cubic set as a generalization of fuzzy set and interval-valued fuzzy set. Kareem and Hasan [7,8] defined the cubic ideals of a KU-semigroup and a homomorphism of a cubic set in this structure. Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets are extensions of fuzzy sets whose membership degree range is enlarged from the interval  $[0,1]$  to  $[-1,1]$ . Kareem and



Hassan[9] and Kareem and Awad [10] defined the concepts of bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideals and cubic bipolar ideals in KU-semigroup respectively, also Kareem and Abed [11] presented the idea of bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideals with a threshold of KU -semigroup.

The paper aims to introduce a cubic bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideals with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of KU-semi group and discuss some relations between a cubic bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  and a bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideal.

## 2. Basic concepts

**Definition(1)[12].** Algebra  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$  is a set  $\mathfrak{K}$ , and a binary operation  $*$  which satisfies the following, for all  $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$

$$(ku_1) (\chi * \gamma) * [(\gamma * \tau) * (\chi * \tau)] = 0$$

$$(ku_2) \chi * 0 = 0$$

$$(ku_3) 0 * \chi = \chi$$

$$(ku_4) \chi * \gamma = \gamma * \chi = 0 \text{ and } \gamma * \chi \text{ implies } \chi = \gamma$$

$$(ku_5) \chi * \chi = 0.$$

We can define a binary operation  $\leq$  on  $\mathfrak{K}$  is defined by  $\chi \leq \gamma \Leftrightarrow \gamma * \chi = 0$ . It follows that  $(\mathfrak{K}, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set.

**Theorem(2)[2].** In a KU-algebra  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0) \forall \chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$ , then the following holds

$$(1) \chi \leq \gamma \text{ imply } \gamma * \tau \leq \chi * \tau$$

$$(2) \chi * (\gamma * \tau) = \gamma * (\chi * \tau)$$

$$(3) \gamma * \chi \leq \chi, \text{ also } (\gamma * \chi) * \chi \leq \gamma$$

**Definition(3)[2].** A non-empty subset  $I$  of a KU-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  is named an ideal if for any  $\chi, \gamma \in \mathfrak{K}$ , then

$$(1) 0 \in I$$

$$(2) \text{ If } \chi * \gamma \in I \text{ implies that } \gamma \in I.$$

**Definition(4)[2].** A non-empty subset  $I$  of a KU-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  is named a KU-ideal if

$$(1) 0 \in I$$

$$(2) \text{ If } \chi * (\gamma * \tau) \in I, \text{ and } \gamma \in I \text{ imply that } \chi * \tau \in I.$$

**Definition(5)[13].** An algebra KU- semi group is a structure contains a nonempty set  $\mathfrak{K}$  with two binary operations  $*, \circ$  and a constant  $0$  satisfying the following

$$(I) \text{ The set } \mathfrak{K} \text{ with operation } * \text{ and constant } 0 \text{ is KU-algebra}$$

$$(II) \text{ The set } \mathfrak{K} \text{ with operation } \circ \text{ is semigroup.}$$

$$(III) \chi \circ (\gamma * \tau) = (\chi \circ \gamma) * (\chi \circ \tau), \text{ and } (\chi * \gamma) \circ \tau = (\chi \circ \tau) * (\gamma \circ \tau), \text{ for all } \chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}.$$

**Definition(6)[13].** A non-empty subset  $A$  of  $\aleph$  is called a sub-KU-semi group of  $\aleph$  if  $\chi * \gamma \in A$  , and  $\chi \circ \gamma \in A$  , for all  $\chi, \gamma \in A$

**Definition(7)[13].** In a KU-semi group  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ , the subset  $\varphi \neq I$  of  $\aleph$  is said to be S ideal , if

- (i) It is an ideal in a KU-algebra
- (ii)  $\chi \circ a \in I$  , and  $a \circ \chi \in I$  ,  $\forall \chi \in \aleph$  ,  $a \in I$

**Definition(8)[13].** In KU-semigroup  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ , the subset  $\varphi \neq A$  of  $\aleph$  is named a  $k$  -ideal , if

- (i) It is a KU-ideal of  $\aleph$
- (ii)  $\chi \circ a \in I$  , and  $a \circ \chi \in I$  ,  $\forall \chi \in \aleph$  ,  $a \in I$

In this part , we recall some concepts of fuzzy logic

A function  $\mu: \aleph \rightarrow [0,1]$  is said to be a fuzzy set of a set  $\aleph$ , and the set

is said to be a level set of  $\mu$ , for  $t$ , where  $1 \geq t \geq 0$   $U(\mu, t) = \{\chi \in \aleph : \mu(\chi) \geq t\}$

Now, an interval valued fuzzy set  $\tilde{\mu}$  of  $\aleph$  is defined as follows:

**Remark(9)[7-8].** A function  $\tilde{\mu}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$ , where  $D[0,1]$  is a family of the closed sub-intervals of  $[0, 1]$ . The level subset of  $\tilde{\mu}$  is denoted by  $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$  and it is defined by

$\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}} = \{\chi \in \aleph : \tilde{\mu}(\chi) \geq \tilde{t}\}$ , for every  $[0,0] \leq \tilde{t} \leq [1,1]$ .

O. Hasan and F.Kareem [7-8] introduced the Cubic ideals of the KU-semigroup as follows:

**Definition(10)[7-8].** In the KU-semigroup  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ , a cubic set  $\Theta$  is the form

$\Theta = \{\langle \chi, \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}(\chi) \rangle : \chi \in \aleph\}$ , such that  $\lambda_{\Theta}(\chi)$  is a fuzzy set and  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$  is an interval-valued , briefly  $\Theta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}, \lambda_{\Theta} \rangle$ .

**Definition(11)[7-8].** In the KU-semigroup  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$  a cubic set  $\Theta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}, \lambda_{\Theta} \rangle$  in  $\aleph$  is named a cubic sub-KU-semigroup if: for all  $\chi, \gamma \in \aleph$ ,

- (1)  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi * \gamma) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\gamma)\}, \lambda_{\Theta}(\chi * \gamma) \leq \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Theta}(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}(\gamma)\}$
- (2)  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\gamma)\}, \lambda_{\Theta}(\chi \circ \gamma) \leq \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Theta}(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}(\gamma)\}$ .

**Definition(12)[7-8].** The set  $\Theta$  in  $\aleph$  is named a cubic ideal of a KU-semigroup

$(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$  if,  $\forall \chi, \gamma \in \aleph$

(CI<sub>1</sub>)  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi)$  and  $\lambda_{\Theta}(0) \leq \lambda_{\Theta}(\chi)$ ,

(CI<sub>2</sub>)  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\gamma) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi * \gamma), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi)\}$  ,  $\lambda_{\Theta}(\gamma) \leq \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Theta}(\chi * \gamma), \lambda_{\Theta}(\chi)\}$

(CI<sub>3</sub>)  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}(\gamma)\}, \lambda_{\Theta}(\chi \circ \gamma) \leq \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Theta}(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}(\gamma)\}$ .

**Example(13)[7-8].** Let  $\aleph = \{0,1,2\}$  be a set. Define the operations  $*$ ,  $\circ$  by the following tables.

*	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	0	0	1
2	0	1	0

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
2	0	0	2

Then the structure  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$  is a KU-semi group. A cubic set  $\Theta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Theta, \lambda_\Theta \rangle$  is defined by:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} [0.4, 0.8] & \text{if } x \in \{0, 2\} \\ [0.1, 0.3] & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } x \in \{0, 2\} \\ 0.3 & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Theta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Theta, \lambda_\Theta \rangle$  is a cubic ideal of  $\aleph$ .

**Definition(14)[7-8].** In a KU-semigroup  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ , a cubic set  $\Theta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Theta, \lambda_\Theta \rangle$  in  $\aleph$  is named a cubic  $k$ -ideal if  $\forall \chi, \gamma, \tau \in \aleph$

$$(Ck_1) \tilde{\mu}_\Theta(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Theta(\chi), \text{ and } \lambda_\Theta(0) \leq \lambda_\Theta(x)$$

$$(Ck_2) \tilde{\mu}_\Theta(\chi * \tau) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta(\gamma)\},$$

$$\lambda_\Theta(\chi * \tau) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Theta(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_\Theta(\gamma)\}$$

$$(Ck_3) \tilde{\mu}_\Theta(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta(\gamma)\}, \lambda_\Theta(\chi \circ \gamma) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Theta(\chi), \lambda_\Theta(\gamma)\}.$$

In the following, we recall some basic concepts of a bipolar fuzzy set.

**Definition(15)[9].** A bipolar fuzzy set  $B$  in a set  $\aleph$  is a form  $B = \{(\chi, \mu^-(\chi), \mu^+(\chi)) : \chi \in \aleph\}$ ,

where  $\mu^-(\chi) : \aleph \rightarrow [-1, 0]$  and  $\mu^+(\chi) : \aleph \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are two fuzzy mappings. The two membership degrees  $\mu^+(\chi)$  and  $\mu^-(\chi)$  denote the fulfillment degree of  $\aleph$  to the property corresponding of  $B$  and the fulfillment degree of  $\aleph$  to some implicit counter-property of  $B$ , respectively.

Kareem and Awad[10] introduced the cubic bipolar ideals of a KU-semigroup in KU-algebra as follows:

**Definition(16)[10].** Let  $\aleph$  be a non-empty set. A cubic bipolar set in a set  $\aleph$  is the structure  $\Theta = \{(\chi, \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), \lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \lambda_\Theta^-(\chi)) : \chi \in \aleph\}$  is denoted as

$\Theta = \langle N, K \rangle$ , where  $N(\chi) = \{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi)\}$  is called interval-valued bipolar fuzzy set and  $K(\chi) = \{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \lambda_\Theta^-(\chi)\}$  is a bipolar fuzzy set. Consider  $\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+ : \aleph \rightarrow D[0, 1]$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi) = [\xi_{\Theta_L}^+(\chi), \xi_{\Theta_U}^+(\chi)]$  and

$\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^- : \aleph \rightarrow D[-1, 0]$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi) = [\xi_{\Theta_L}^-(\chi), \xi_{\Theta_U}^-(\chi)]$ , also  $\lambda_\Theta^+ : \aleph \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda_\Theta^- : \aleph \rightarrow [-1, 0]$  it follows that

$$\Theta = \{ \langle \chi, \{ [\xi_{\Theta_L}^+(\chi), \xi_{\Theta_U}^+(\chi)], [\xi_{\Theta_L}^-(\chi), \xi_{\Theta_U}^-(\chi)] \}, \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi) \rangle : \chi \in \aleph \}$$

**Definition(17)[10].** A (CB)  $\Theta = \langle N, K \rangle$  in  $\aleph$  is named a (CB) sub-KU-semigroup if:  $\forall \chi, \gamma \in \aleph$ ,

- (1)  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \gamma) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma)\}, \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \gamma) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma)\}$   
 $\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \gamma) \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma)\}, \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \gamma) \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma)\},$
- (2)  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma)\}, \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi \circ \gamma) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma)\}$   
 $\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma)\}, \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi \circ \gamma) \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma)\},$

**Example(18)[10]:** The following table is Illustrates that the set  $\aleph = \{0,1,2,3\}$  with binary operations  $*$  and  $\circ$

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	0	1
3	0	0	0	0

o	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

Then  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$  is a KU-semigroup. Define  $\Theta = \langle N, K \rangle$  as follows

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} \{[-0.2, -0.5], [0.1, 0.9]\} & \text{if } \chi = \{0,1\} \\ \{[-0.6, -0.2], [0.2, 0.5]\} & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_{\Theta}^+(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } \chi = \{0,1\} \\ 0.3 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \lambda_{\Theta}^-(x) = \begin{cases} -0.6 & \text{if } \chi = \{0,1\} \\ -0.3 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And by applying definition 2.17, we can easily prove that  $\Theta = \langle N, K \rangle$  is a cubic bipolar sub KU-semigroup of  $\aleph$ .

### 3. Cubic bipolar ideals of a KU-semi group with thresholds $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$

In this part, the notion of cubic bipolar  $k$ -ideals with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of a KU-semi group and some properties are defined. In the following, we denote a cubic bipolar fuzzy set by (CBF), and let  $\alpha, \beta \in D[0,1]$ , and  $\omega, \vartheta \in [0,1]$ , such that

$$[0,0] < \alpha < \beta < [1,1] \quad , 0 < \omega < \vartheta < 1, \text{ where } \omega, \vartheta \text{ are arbitrary values, and}$$

$\alpha, \beta$ , are arbitrary closed sub-intervals

**Definition(19).** A (CBF) set  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is named a (CBF) sub-KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  if  $\forall \chi, \gamma \in \aleph$

- (1)  $min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \gamma), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$   
 $rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \gamma), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}$   
 $min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \gamma), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \gamma), \omega\} &\geq \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\} \\ (2) r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi \circ \gamma), -\alpha\} &\leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\} \\ r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi \circ \gamma), \alpha\} &\geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\} \\ \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi \circ \gamma), -\omega\} &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\} \\ \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi \circ \gamma), \omega\} &\geq \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark(20).** Every (CBF) sub-KU-semi group of  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a (CBF) sub-KU-semigroup with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$ , but not converse as it is shown in the following example

**Example(21).** Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \{0,1,2,3\}$  be a set with two operations  $*$  and  $\circ$  which are defined by the following tables.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	0	1
3	0	0	0	0

o	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

Then  $(\mathfrak{N}, *, \circ, 0)$  is a KU-semi group. Now, we define  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  by the next

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} [-0.9, -0.8], [0.8, 0.9] & \text{if } \chi = 0 \\ [-0.8, -0.7], [0.7, 0.8] & \text{if } \chi = 1 \\ [-0.6, -0.5], [0.5, 0.6] & \text{if } \chi = 3 \\ [-0.3, -0.2], [0.2, 0.3] & \text{if } \chi = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$L(x) = \begin{cases} -0.9, & 0.9 & \text{if } \chi = 0 \\ -0.5, & 0.6 & \text{if } \chi = 1 \\ -0.4, & 0.5 & \text{if } \chi = 3 \\ -0.2, & 0.2 & \text{if } \chi = 2 \end{cases}$$

And by applying definition (19), we can easily prove that  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a (CBF) sub KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta) = ([0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.2])$ , and  $(\omega, \vartheta) = (0.1, 0.2)$ , but not a (CBF) sub KU-semi group since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(1 * 3) &\geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(1), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(3)\} \\ \{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(2)\} &\geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(1), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(3)\} \\ [0.2, 0.3] &\geq r\min\{[0.7, 0.8], [0.5, 0.6]\} \\ [0.2, 0.1] &\geq [0.5, 0.6], \text{ which is incorrect phrase} \\ \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(1 * 3) &\leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(1), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(3)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(2) &\leq rmax\{[-0.8, -0.7], [-0.6, -0.5]\} \\ [-0.3, -0.2] &\leq [-0.6, -0.5], \text{ which is the incorrect phrase, and} \\ \lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(1 * 3) &\geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(1), \lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(3)\} \\ \lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(2) &\geq min\{0.6, 0.5\} \\ 0.2 &\geq 0.5, \text{ it is wrong} \\ \lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(1 * 3) &\leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(1), \lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(3)\} \\ \lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(2) &\leq max\{-0.5, -0.4\} \\ -0.2 &\leq -0.4, \text{ which is also wrong.} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark(22).** If  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a (CBF) sub KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  such that  $\alpha = [0,0], \beta = [1,1], \omega = 0$ , and  $\vartheta = 1$ , then  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a (CBF) sub-KU-semi group of  $\aleph$ .

**Proposition(23).** If  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a cubic bipolar sub-KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$ , then for all  $\chi \in \aleph$

- (1)  $rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(0), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \beta\}$
- (2)  $rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(0), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\beta\}$
- (3)  $max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(0), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \vartheta\}$
- (4)  $min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(0), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\vartheta\}$

**Proof:** by (kus)  $\chi * \chi = 0$ , and since  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a cubic bipolar sub-KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(0), \alpha\} &= rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi * \chi), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \beta\} \\ &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \beta\}, \text{ that is (1)} \\ rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(0), -\alpha\} &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi * \chi), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\beta\} \\ &= rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\beta\}, \text{ that is (2)} \\ max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(0), \omega\} &= max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi * \chi), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \vartheta\} \\ &= min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \vartheta\}, \text{ that is (3)} \\ min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(0), -\omega\} &= min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi * \chi), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), \lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\vartheta\} \\ &= max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\vartheta\}, \text{ that is (4)} \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition(24).** If  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a (CBF) sub-KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$ , then for all  $\chi \in \aleph$

- (1)  $rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(0 \circ \chi), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \beta\}$
- (2)  $rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(0 \circ \chi), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\beta\}$
- (3)  $max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(0 \circ \chi), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{+}(\chi), \vartheta\}$
- (4)  $min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(0 \circ \chi), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^{-}(\chi), -\vartheta\}$

**Proof:** Since  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a (CBF) sub-KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$ , we have

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(0 \circ \chi), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(0), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \beta\} = rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \beta\}, \text{ which is (1)}$$

$$rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(0 \circ \chi), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(0), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi) - \beta\} = rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), -\beta\}, \text{ which is (2)}$$

$$max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(0 \circ \chi), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(0), \lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \vartheta\} = min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \vartheta\}, \text{ which is (3)}$$

$$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(0 \circ \chi), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(0), \lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), -\vartheta\} = max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), -\vartheta\}, \text{ which is (4)}$$

**Definition(25).** A (CBF) set  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is named a (CBF) ideal of the KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  if  $\forall \chi, \gamma \in \aleph$

$$(CBT_1) \ rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(0), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), -\beta\}$$

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(0), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \beta\}, \text{ and}$$

$$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(0), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), -\vartheta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(0), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \vartheta\}$$

$$(CBT_2) \ rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi * \gamma), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), -\beta\}$$

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\gamma), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi * \gamma), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \beta\}$$

$$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi * \gamma), \lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), -\vartheta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\gamma), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi * \gamma), \lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \vartheta\}$$

$$(CBT_3) \ rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi \circ \gamma), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi \circ \gamma), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi \circ \gamma), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), \lambda_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi \circ \gamma), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \lambda_\Theta^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

**Example(26).** The following table illustrates the set  $\aleph = \{0,1,2\}$  with binary operations  $*$  and  $\circ$

*	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	0	0	1
2	0	1	0

o	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
2	0	0	2

Then  $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$  is a KU-semigroup. Define  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  as follows:

$$M(\chi) = \begin{cases} [-0.8, -0.7], [0.6, 0.8] & \text{if } \chi = 0 \\ [-0.6, -0.5], [0.4, 0.6] & \text{if } \chi = 1 \\ [-0.4, -0.3], [0.3, 0.2] & \text{if } \chi = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$L(\chi) \begin{cases} (-0.6, 0.8) & \text{if } \chi = 0 \\ (-0.5, 0.6) & \text{if } \chi = 1 \\ (-0.3, 0.3) & \text{if } \chi = 2 \end{cases}$$

We can show that  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a **(CBF)** ideal with thresholds  $([0.1, 0.1], [0.3, 0.2])$  and  $(0.4, 0.2)$  of  $\aleph$

**Definition(27).** A **(CBF)**set  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is named a **(CBF)** $k$ -ideal of KU-semigroup with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  if  $\forall \chi, \gamma, \tau \in \aleph$

$$(CBK_1) rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(0), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), -\beta\}$$

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(0), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \beta\}$$

$$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(0), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), -\vartheta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(0), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \vartheta\}$$

$$(CBK_2) rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi * \tau), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi * \tau), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi * \tau), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi * \tau), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_\Theta^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

$$(CBK_3) rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi \circ \gamma), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi \circ \gamma), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi \circ \gamma), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), \lambda_\Theta^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi \circ \gamma), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \lambda_\Theta^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

**Lemma(28).** Every **(CBF)**  $k$ -ideal of  $\aleph$  is a **(CBF)**  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a **(CBF)**  $k$ -ideal of  $\aleph$ , then let

$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(0), \alpha\} < rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \beta\}$ , and  $\alpha < \beta$  it follows that  $\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(0) < \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi)$ . But that is a contradiction, since  $\Theta$  is a **(CBF)**  $k$ -ideal of  $\aleph$ ,

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(0), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi), \beta\},$$

also let  $min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(0), -\omega\} > max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), -\vartheta\}$ , and  $\omega < \vartheta$ , it follows that

$\lambda_\Theta^-(0) > \lambda_\Theta^-(\chi)$ ; this is a contradiction since  $\Theta$  is a **(CBF)**  $k$ -ideal of  $\aleph$ . this means that

$min\{\lambda_\Theta^-(0), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_\Theta^-(\chi), -\vartheta\}$ , in the same way, we can prove

$$rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(0), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^-(\chi), -\beta\}, \text{ and } max\{\lambda_\Theta^+(0), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_\Theta^+(\chi), \vartheta\}$$

Again, assume that

$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi * \tau), \alpha\} < rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\gamma), \beta\}$ , and  $\alpha < \beta$  it follows that

$\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi * \tau) < rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_\Theta^+(\gamma)\}$ , which is a contradiction, so

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \tau), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\},$$

Also let

$$min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau), -\omega\} > max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}, \text{ and } \omega < \vartheta, \text{ so}$$

$$\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau) > max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma)\}, \text{ which is a contradiction. That is } min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

In the same way, we get

$$rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \tau), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}, \text{ and the condition } (CBK_3)$$

Then,  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a (CBF)  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$ .

**Proposition(29).** Let  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  be a cubic bipolar  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$  if  $\chi \leq \gamma$ , then

$$(a) \ rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}, \ rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$(b) \ min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi), -\omega\} \leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}, \ max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

**Proof:** Since  $\chi \leq \gamma$ , then  $\gamma * \chi = 0$ , and by  $(ku_3)$   $0 * \chi = \chi$

Since  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a (CB)  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\aleph$ , we get

$$rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi), -\alpha\} = rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(0 * \chi), -\alpha\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(0 * (\gamma * \chi)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$= rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(0 * 0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$= rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$= rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \alpha\} = rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(0 * \chi), \alpha\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(0 * (\gamma * \chi)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(0 * 0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}, \text{ which is (a), And}$$

$$min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi), -\omega\} = min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(0 * \chi), -\omega\}$$

$$\leq max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(0 * (\gamma * \chi)), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$= max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(0 * 0), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$= max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(0), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$= max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi), \omega\} = max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(0 * \chi), \omega\} \geq min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(0 * (\gamma * \chi)), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

$$= min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(0 * 0), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

$$= min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(0), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

$$= \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}, \text{ which is } (b)$$

**Theorem(30).** Let  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  be a cubic bipolar fuzzy set of a KUsemigroup  $(\mathfrak{N}, *, \circ, 0)$  then,  $\Theta$  is a **(CBF) k-ideal** with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  if and only if it is a **(CBF)-ideal** with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$  Let  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  be a cubic bipolar  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ , if we put  $\chi = 0$  in **(CBK<sub>2</sub>)**, we get

$$r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(0 * \tau), -\alpha\} \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(0 * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\tau), -\alpha\} \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma * \tau), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$$

$$\text{,also } r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(0 * \tau), \alpha\} \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(0 * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}$$

$$r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\tau), \alpha\} \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma * \tau), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(0 * \tau), -\omega\} \leq \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(0 * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}$$

$$\min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\tau), -\omega\} \leq \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma * \tau), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\},$$

$$\text{Also } \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(0 * \tau), \omega\} \geq \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(0 * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

$\max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\tau), \omega\} \geq \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma * \tau), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$ , the other conditions **(CBT<sub>1</sub>)**, **(CBT<sub>3</sub>)** are holds from the definition of **(CBF)k-ideal**; therefore  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  is a **(CB)-ideal** with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$

$\Leftarrow$  Let  $\Theta = \langle M, L \rangle$  be a cubic bipolar ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ ,

By **(CBT<sub>2</sub>)**  $r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau), -\alpha\} \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma * (\chi * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\}$ , also

$$r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \tau), \alpha\} \leq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma * (\chi * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\},$$

$$\min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau), -\omega\} \leq \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma * (\chi * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\}, \text{ also}$$

$$\max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \tau), \omega\} \geq \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma * (\chi * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}$$

Applying theorem 2 (2) to the previous four steps, we obtain

$$r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau), -\alpha\} \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\beta\},$$

$$r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \tau), \alpha\} \leq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \beta\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * \tau), -\omega\} \leq \max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^-(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^-(\gamma), -\vartheta\},$$

$$\max\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi * \tau), \omega\} \geq \min\{\lambda_{\Theta}^+(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \lambda_{\Theta}^+(\gamma), \vartheta\}, \text{ which is } a \text{ (CBF) } k\text{-ideal,}$$

The remaining two conditions **(CBK<sub>1</sub>)**, **(CBK<sub>3</sub>)** are holds from the definition of **(CBF)-ideal**.

#### 4. Conclusion

During this work, we present the definitions of the cubic bipolar sub-KU-semigroup with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  and cubic bipolar  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . The relationship among these types of ideals and some properties are studied, We obtained the following result: every **(CBF)** sub-KU-semi group of  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a **(CBF)** sub-KU-semi group with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ , but the converse is not true. Finally, we proved that a cubic bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  and a cubic bipolar fuzzy ideal with thresholds  $(\alpha, \beta), (\omega, \vartheta)$  of a KU-semi group are equivalents.

## References

1. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy Sets, Inform and Control, 1965, 8, 338-353.
2. Mostafa, S.M.; Abd-Elnaby, M.A.; Yousef, M.M.M. Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras, Int. Math, Forum, 2011, 6(63), 3139-3149.
3. Mostafa, S. M.; Kareem, F. F. bipolar fuzzy N-fold KU-ideals of KU-algebras, Mathematica Aeterna, 2014, 4, 633-650.
4. Jun, Y. B.; Kim, C. S.; Kang, M. S. Cubic subalgebras and ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 2010, 2 (44) , 239–250.
5. Jun, Y. B.; Kim, C. S.; Kang, J. G. Cubic q-ideals of BCIalgebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 2011, 1 (1), 25-34.
6. Jun, Y. B.; Kim, C. S.; Yang, K. O. Cubic sets, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 2012,4 (1) 83-98.
7. Kareem, F. F.; Hasan, O. A. Cubic ideals of semigroup in KU-algebra, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1804 (2021) 012018 IOP Publishing
8. Kareem, F. F.; Hasan, O. A. The Homomorphism of a cubic set of a semigroup in a KU-algebra, *Journal of Physics*, 1879 (2021) 022119 IOP Publishing.
9. Kareem, F.F.; Hasan, E. R. Bipolar fuzzy  $k$ -ideals in KU-semigroups, *Journal of New Theory*, 2019,9, 71-78.
10. K Awad, Wisam K., and Fatema F. Kareem. The Homomorphism of Cubic bipolar ideals of a KU-semigroup. *Ibn AL-Haitham Journal For Pure and Applied Sciences*, 2022, 35(1)., 73-83.
11. Kareem, F.F.; Abed, M. M. Generalizations of Fuzzy  $k$ -ideals in a KU-algebra with Semigroup, *Journal of Physics*, 2021, 1879,022108 IOP Publishing.
12. Prabpayak, C. Leerawat, U. On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras, *scientia Magna*, 2009, 5(1), 54-57.
13. Kareem, F.F.; Hasan, E. R. On KU-semigroups, *International Journal of Science and Nature*. 2018, 9 (1), 79-84.