



The Homomorphism of Cubic bipolar ideals of a KU-semigroup

Wisam K. Awad

aa.ww21@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Ibn-Al-Haitham College of Education,
University of Baghdad.

Fatema F. Kareem

fa_sa20072000@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Ibn-Al-Haitham College of Education,
University of Baghdad.

Article history: Received 22, June 2021, Accepted 4, October 2021, Published in January 2022.

Doi: 10.30526/35.1.2801

Abstract

The idea of a homomorphism of a cubic set of a KU-semigroup is studied and the concept of the product between two cubic sets is defined. And then, a new cubic bipolar fuzzy set in this structure is discussed, and some important results are achieved. Also, the product of cubic subsets is discussed and some theorems are proved.

2010 AMS Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 08A72 .

Key words: A KU-semigroup, a cubic sub KU-semigroup, a cubic bipolar k -ideal, homomorphism.

1. Introduction

In 2009, Prabpayak and Leerawat [1,2] studied a new algebra called a KU-algebra. They introduced homomorphism in a KU-algebra and discussed some recent results. After that, Mostafa et al. [3, 4] studied the concepts of fuzzy KU-ideals and an interval value fuzzy KU-ideals. In [5] Kareem and Hasan presented the structure of a KU-semigroup and introduced some ideals of this structure. After that, they introduced the fuzzy ideals of this structure in [6]. In [7] Kareem and Talib gave the concept of an interval value fuzzy some ideal in KU-semigroup.

Jun et al. [8, 9] introduced the concept of cubic subalgebras/ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras. Yaqoob et al [10] presented a cubic KU-algebra and discussed a few interesting theorems. This work studied the idea of a homomorphism of a cubic set of a KU-semigroup, and a new cubic bipolar fuzzy set in this structure is defined, and some important results are achieved. Also, the product of cubic subsets was discussed, and a few theorems were proved.



2. Basic concepts

We introduce some definitions, propositions and theorems of KU-algebra and KU-semigroup in this part.

Definition 2.1[1]. A KU-algebra $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$ is satisfied the following conditions, for all $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{K}$,

$$(ku_1) (\alpha * \beta) * [(\beta * \delta) * (\alpha * \delta)] = 0$$

$$(ku_2) \alpha * 0 = 0$$

$$(ku_3) 0 * \alpha = \alpha$$

$$(ku_4) \alpha * \beta = 0 \text{ and } \beta * \alpha \text{ implies } \alpha = \beta \text{ and}$$

$$(ku_5) \alpha * \alpha = 0$$

The relation \leq on a KU-algebra \mathfrak{K} is define $\alpha \leq \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta * \alpha = 0$.

Example 2.2 [1]. The following table define the binary operation $*$ on the set $\mathfrak{K} = \{0, a, b, c\}$

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	0	b
b	0	b	0	a
c	0	0	0	0

Then $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$ is a KU-algebra.

Theorem 2.3[2]. The following axioms are satisfying, in a KU-algebra \mathfrak{K} .

For all $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{K}$,

$$(1) \text{ if } \alpha \leq \beta \text{ imply } \beta * \delta \leq \alpha * \delta$$

$$(2) \alpha * (\beta * \delta) = \beta * (\alpha * \delta)$$

$$(3) ((\beta * \alpha) * \alpha) \leq \beta$$

Definition 2.4[5]. The set $\mathfrak{K} \neq \varnothing$ and two binary operations $*, \circ$ with a constant 0 is named a KU-semigroup if

(I) The triple $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$ is a KU-algebra

(II) the ordered pair (\mathfrak{K}, \circ) is a semigroup

$$(III) \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{K}, \alpha \circ (\beta * \delta) = (\alpha \circ \beta) * (\alpha \circ \delta) \text{ and } (\alpha * \beta) \circ \delta = (\alpha \circ \delta) * (\beta \circ \delta).$$

Example 2.5[5]. If $\mathfrak{K} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a set and two binary operations $*$ and \circ are defined by the following.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	0	1
3	0	0	0	0

◦	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

Then $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup.

Definition 2.6[5]. A non-empty subset A of \mathfrak{K} is named A subKU-semigroup if it is satisfied $\alpha * \beta, \alpha \circ \beta \in A$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$.

Definition 2.7[5]. A non-empty subset $I \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$ is called an S-ideal of \mathfrak{K} , if

$$(i) \quad 0 \in I$$

- (ii) $\alpha * \beta \in I$ and $\alpha \in I$ imply $\beta \in I$.
- (iii) $\forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{K}, e \in I$, we have $\alpha \circ e \in I$ and $e \circ \alpha \in I$.

Definition 2.8[5]. The set $\varphi \neq A \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$ is named a *k-ideal* of \mathfrak{K} , if

- i) $0 \in I$
- ii) $\forall \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{K}, (\alpha * (\beta * \delta)) \in I, \beta \in I$ imply $\alpha * \delta \in I$.
- iii) $\forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{K}, e \in A$, we have $\alpha \circ e \in A$ and $e \circ \alpha \in A$.

Definition 2.9[5]. Let \mathfrak{K} and \mathfrak{K}' be two KU-semigroups. A mapping $f: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'$ is called a KU-semigroup homomorphism if $f(\alpha * \beta) = f\alpha * f(\beta)$ and $f(\alpha \circ \beta) = f(\alpha) \circ f(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{K}$. The set $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{K}: f(\alpha) = 0\}$ is called the kernel of f and denoted by $\ker f$. Moreover, the set $\{f(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{K}': \alpha \in \mathfrak{K}\}$ is called the image of f and denoted by $\text{im} f$.

We recall that a cubic bipolar valued fuzzy subset in [11] as follows:

Definition 2.10[11]. Let \mathfrak{K} be a non-empty set. A cubic bipolar set in a set \mathfrak{K} is the structure $\Omega = \{\langle \alpha, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathfrak{K} \rangle\}$ where $N(\alpha) = \{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha)\}$ is called interval valued bipolar fuzzy set and $K(\alpha) = \{\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha)\}$ is a bipolar fuzzy set. Consider $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow D[0,1]$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha) = [\delta_{\Omega_L}^+(\alpha), \delta_{\Omega_U}^+(\alpha)]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow D[-1,0]$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha) = [\delta_{\Omega_L}^-(\alpha), \delta_{\Omega_U}^-(\alpha)]$, also $\lambda_\Omega^+: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow [0,1]$, and $\lambda_\Omega^-: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow [-1,0]$ it follows that

$$\Omega = \{ \langle \alpha, \{[\delta_{\Omega_L}^+(\alpha), \delta_{\Omega_U}^+(\alpha)], [\delta_{\Omega_L}^-(\alpha), \delta_{\Omega_U}^-(\alpha)]\}, \lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha) \rangle : \alpha \in \mathfrak{K} \}$$

It is a cubic bipolar set and can be denoted by $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$.

Definition 2.11[11]. A Cubic bipolar set $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$ is named a cubic bipolar sub KU-semigroup if: $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{K}$,

- (1) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha * \beta) \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha * \beta) \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\beta)\}$
 $\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha * \beta) \geq \min\{\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha * \beta) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(\beta)\},$
- (2) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha \circ \beta) \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\beta)\}$
 $\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha \circ \beta) \geq \min\{\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(\beta)\},$

Example 2.12[11]. If $\mathfrak{K} = \{0,1,2,3\}$ is a set and two binary operations $*$ and \circ are define by the following.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	0	1
3	0	0	0	0

o	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

Then $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup. Define $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$ as follows

$$N(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \{[-0.2, -0.5], [0.1, 0.9]\} & \text{if } \alpha = \{0,1\} \\ \{[-0.6, -0.2], [0.2, 0.5]\} & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}, \lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } \alpha = \{0,1\} \\ 0.3 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -0.6 & \text{if } \alpha = \{0,1\} \\ -0.3 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$ is a cubic bipolar sub KU-semigroup of \mathfrak{K} .

Definition 2.13[11]. A cubic bipolar set $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$ in \mathfrak{K} is called a cubic bipolar ideal of \mathfrak{K} , $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{K}$

(BC₁) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^+(0) \geq \lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(0) \leq \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha)$

- (BC₂) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\beta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha * \beta), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha)\}, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\beta) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha * \beta), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha)\}$ and
 $\lambda_\Omega^+(\beta) \geq min\{\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha * \beta), \lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha)\}, \lambda_\Omega^-(\beta) \leq max\{\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha * \beta), \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha)\},$
 (BC₃) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha \circ \beta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\beta)\}$ and
 $\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha \circ \beta) \geq min\{\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq max\{\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(\beta)\}.$

Example 2.14[11]. If $\aleph = \{0,1,2\}$ is a set and two binary operations $*$ and \circ are defined by the following.

*	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	0	0	1
2	0	1	0

o	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
2	0	0	2

Then $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup. Define $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$ as follows

$$N(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \{[-0.3, -0.1], [0.1, 0.8]\} & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ \{[-0.7, -0.3], [0.4, 0.6]\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0.9 & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ 0.4 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -0.8 & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ -0.3 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can easily prove that $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$ is a cubic bipolar ideal of \aleph .

Definition 2.15[11]. A cubic bipolar set $\Omega = \langle N, K \rangle$ in \aleph is called a cubic bipolar k -ideal of \aleph if, $\forall \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \aleph$

- (a) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^+(0) \geq \lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(0) \leq \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha).$
 (b) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha * \delta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\beta)\},$
 $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha * \delta) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\beta)\}$ and
 $\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha * \delta) \geq min\{\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \lambda_\Omega^+(\beta)\},$
 $\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha * \delta) \leq max\{\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \lambda_\Omega^-(\beta)\},$
 (c) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha \circ \beta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^-(\beta)\}$
 $\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha \circ \beta) \geq min\{\lambda_\Omega^+(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^+(\beta)\}, \lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha \circ \beta) \leq max\{\lambda_\Omega^-(\alpha), \lambda_\Omega^-(\beta)\}.$

3. A Cubic Bipolar k -ideal under Homomorphism

We study some definitions of homomorphism; the product of cubic bipolar k -ideals and a cubic bipolar ideal. Also some theorems are discussed.

Definition 3.1. For any $\alpha \in \aleph$. We define a new cubic bipolar fuzzy set

$$\Omega_f = (\alpha, \tilde{\mu}_f^+, \tilde{\mu}_f^-, \lambda_f^-, \lambda_f^+) \text{ in } \aleph \text{ by } \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha)) \text{ and } \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha) = \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha)), \lambda_f^-(\alpha) = \lambda^-(f(\alpha)) \text{ and } \lambda_f^+(\alpha) = \lambda^+(f(\alpha)),$$

where $f: \aleph \rightarrow \aleph'$ is a KU-semigroup homomorphism. For short $\Omega_f = (\alpha, \tilde{\mu}_f^+, \tilde{\mu}_f^-, \lambda_f^-, \lambda_f^+)$ is written Ω_f and a cubic bipolar is ACB.

Example 3.2. In Example 2.14, we have $\aleph' = \{0', a, b\}$ is a set and $f: \aleph \rightarrow \aleph'$ is mapping such that $f(\chi) = \chi'$ with two tables

*	0'	a	b
0'	0'	a	b
a	0'	0'	b
b	0'	b	0'

o	0'	a	b
0'	0'	0'	0'
a	0'	a	0'
b	0'	0'	a

Then $f: \aleph \rightarrow \aleph'$ is a KU-semigroup homomorphism and $N(\chi') =$
 $\begin{cases} \{[-0.2, -0.1], [0.2, 0.9]\} & \text{if } \chi = 0 \\ \{[-0.8, -0.2], [0.3, 0.5]\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, $\lambda_{\Omega}^+(x) = \begin{cases} 0.6 & \text{if } \chi = 0 \\ 0.2 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $\lambda_{\Omega}^-(x) = \begin{cases} -0.9 & \text{if } \chi = 0 \\ -0.4 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$

We have: $\tilde{\mu}_f^-(0) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(0)) = \tilde{\mu}^-(0') = [-0.2, -0.1]$ and

$\tilde{\mu}_f^-(1) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(1)) = \tilde{\mu}^-(a) = [-0.8, -0.2]$ and so on.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: \aleph \rightarrow \aleph'$ be a KU-semigroup homomorphism and onto mapping. Then Ω_f is ACB k -ideal of \aleph' if and only if Ω_f is ACB k -ideal of \aleph .

Proof. For any $\alpha' \in \aleph'$ there exists $\alpha \in \aleph$ such that $f(\alpha) = \alpha'$, we have

$$\tilde{\mu}_f^+(0) = \tilde{\mu}^+(f(0)) = \tilde{\mu}^+(0') \geq \tilde{\mu}^+(\alpha') = \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha)) = \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha)$$

And $\tilde{\mu}_f^-(0) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(0)) = \tilde{\mu}^-(0') \leq \tilde{\mu}^-(\alpha') = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha)) = \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha)$.

Also, $\lambda_f^+(0) = \lambda^+(f(0)) = \lambda^+(0') \geq \lambda^+(\alpha) = \lambda^+(f(\alpha)) = \lambda_f^+(\alpha)$

And $\lambda_f^-(0) = \lambda^-(f(0)) = \lambda^-(0') \leq \lambda^-(\alpha) = \lambda^-(f(\alpha)) = \lambda_f^-(\alpha)$.

Let $\alpha, \delta \in \aleph, \gamma' \in \aleph'$ then there exists $\beta \in \aleph$ such that $f(\beta) = \beta'$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha * \delta) &= \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha * \delta)) = \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha) * f(\delta)) \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha) * (\beta' * f(\delta))), \tilde{\mu}^+(\beta')\} \\ &= r\min\{\tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha) * (f(\beta) * f(\delta))), \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\beta))\} \\ &= r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha * \delta) &= \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha * \delta)) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha) * f(\delta)) \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha) * (\beta' * f(\delta))), \tilde{\mu}^-(\beta')\} \\ &= r\max\{\tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha) * (f(\beta) * f(\delta))), \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\beta))\} \\ &= r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_f^+(\alpha * \delta) &= \lambda^+(f(\alpha * \delta)) = \lambda^+(f(\alpha) * f(\delta)) \geq \min\{\lambda^+(f(\alpha) * (\beta' * f(\delta))), \lambda^+(\beta')\} \\ &= \min\{\lambda^+(f(\alpha) * (f(\beta) * f(\delta))), \lambda^+(f(\beta))\} = \min\{\lambda_f^+(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \lambda_f^+(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_f^-(\alpha * \delta) &= \lambda^-(f(\alpha * \delta)) = \lambda^-(f(\alpha) * f(\delta)) \leq \max\{\lambda^-(f(\alpha) * (\beta' * f(\delta))), \lambda^-(\beta')\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda^-(f(\alpha) * (f(\beta) * f(\delta))), \lambda^-(f(\beta))\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_f^-(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \lambda_f^-(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And the condition (c) is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha \circ \beta) &= \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha \circ \beta)) = \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha) \circ f(\beta)) \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}^+(f(\alpha)), \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\beta))\} \\ &= r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha \circ \beta) &= \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha \circ \beta)) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha) \circ f(\beta)) \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}^-(f(\alpha)), \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\beta))\} \\ &= r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_f^+(\alpha \circ \beta) &= \lambda^+(f(\alpha \circ \beta)) = \lambda^+(f(\alpha) \circ f(\beta)) \geq \min\{\lambda^+(f(\alpha)), \lambda^+(f(\beta))\} \\ &= \min\{\lambda_f^+(\alpha), \lambda_f^+(\beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_f^-(\alpha \circ \beta) &= \lambda^-(f(\alpha \circ \beta)) = \lambda^-(f(\alpha) \circ f(\beta)) \leq \max\{\lambda^-(f(\alpha)), \lambda^-(f(\beta))\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_f^-(\alpha), \lambda_f^-(\beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, since $f: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}'$ is an onto mapping, then for any $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{N}'$.

It follows that, there exists $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that $f(\mathbf{a}) = \alpha, f(\mathbf{b}) = \beta$

and $f(\mathbf{c}) = \delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha * \delta) &= \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\mathbf{a}) * f(\mathbf{c})) = \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c})) = \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c}) \\ &\geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_f^+(\mathbf{a} * (\mathbf{b} * \mathbf{c})), \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\mathbf{b})\} \\ &= r\min\{\tilde{\mu}^+(f(\mathbf{a}) * (f(\mathbf{b}) * f(\mathbf{c}))), \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\mathbf{b}))\} \\ &= r\min\{\tilde{\mu}^+(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \tilde{\mu}^+(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha * \delta) &= \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\mathbf{a}) * f(\mathbf{c})) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c})) = \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c}) \\ &\leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_f^-(\mathbf{a} * (\mathbf{b} * \mathbf{c})), \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\mathbf{b})\} \\ &= r\max\{\tilde{\mu}^-(f(\mathbf{a}) * (f(\mathbf{b}) * f(\mathbf{c}))), \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\mathbf{b}))\} \\ &= r\max\{\tilde{\mu}^-(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \tilde{\mu}^-(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_f^+(\alpha * \delta) &= \lambda^+(f(\mathbf{a}) * f(\mathbf{c})) = \lambda^+(f(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c})) = \lambda_f^+(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c}) \geq \min\{\lambda_f^+(\mathbf{a} * (\mathbf{b} * \mathbf{c})), \lambda_f^+(\mathbf{b})\} \\ &= \min\{\lambda^+(f(\mathbf{a}) * (f(\mathbf{b}) * f(\mathbf{c}))), \lambda^+(f(\mathbf{b}))\} = \min\{\lambda^+(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \lambda^+(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_f^-(\alpha * \delta) &= \lambda^-(f(\mathbf{a}) * f(\mathbf{c})) = \lambda^-(f(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c})) = \lambda_f^-(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{c}) \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_f^-(\mathbf{a} * (\mathbf{b} * \mathbf{c})), \lambda_f^-(\mathbf{b})\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda^-(f(\mathbf{a}) * (f(\mathbf{b}) * f(\mathbf{c}))), \lambda^-(f(\mathbf{b}))\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda^-(\alpha * (\beta * \delta)), \lambda^-(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And the condition (c) is

$$\tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha \circ \beta) = \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\mathbf{a}) \circ f(\mathbf{b})) \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}^+(f(\mathbf{a})), \tilde{\mu}^+(f(\mathbf{b}))\} = r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_f^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_f^+(\beta)\}$$

And

$$\tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha \circ \beta) = \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\mathbf{a}) \circ f(\mathbf{b})) \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}^-(f(\mathbf{a})), \tilde{\mu}^-(f(\mathbf{b}))\} = r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_f^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_f^-(\beta)\}$$

Also,

$$\lambda_f^+(\alpha \circ \beta) = \lambda^+(f(\mathbf{a}) \circ f(\mathbf{b})) \geq \min\{\lambda^+(f(\mathbf{a})), \lambda^+(f(\mathbf{b}))\} = \min\{\lambda_f^+(\alpha), \lambda_f^+(\beta)\}$$

And

$$\lambda_f^-(\alpha \circ \beta) = \lambda^-(f(\mathbf{a}) \circ f(\mathbf{b})) \leq \max\{\lambda^-(f(\mathbf{a})), \lambda^-(f(\mathbf{b}))\} = \max\{\lambda_f^-(\alpha), \lambda_f^-(\beta)\}$$

Therefore Ω_f is ACB k -ideal of \mathfrak{N}' .

In the following, we introduce the product of the cubic bipolar k -ideals and a cubic bipolar ideal as follows.

Definition 3.4. Let Ω_{f_1} and Ω_{f_2} be two CB fuzzy sets of \mathfrak{N} . The product $\Omega_{f_1} \times \Omega_{f_2} =$

$((\alpha, \beta), \tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-, \tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+, \lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-, \lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)$ is defined by the following:

$(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha, \beta) = r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)\}, (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha, \beta) = r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta)\}$ and

$(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha, \beta) = \max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(\beta)\}, (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha, \beta) =$

$\min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^+(\beta)\}$ where

$\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-: \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow [-1, 0], \tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+: \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-: \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow [-1, 0], \lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+: \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let Ω_{f_1} and Ω_{f_2} be two CB k -ideals of \aleph , then $\Omega_{f_1} \times \Omega_{f_2}$ is ACB k -ideal of $\aleph \times \aleph$.

Proof. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \aleph \times \aleph$, we have

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, 0) = rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(0)\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta)\} = (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha, \beta) \text{ and}$$

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, 0) = rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0)\} \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)\} = (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha, \beta).$$

Let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ and $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \aleph \times \aleph$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1 * \delta_1, \alpha_2 * \delta_2) &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha_1 * \delta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2 * \delta_2)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\beta_1)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2)), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} = \\ &rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\beta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)((\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), (\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1 * \delta_1, \alpha_2 * \delta_2) &= rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha_1 * \delta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2 * \delta_2)\} \\ &\leq rmax\{rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\beta_1)\}, rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2)), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} = \\ &rmax\{rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))\}, rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\beta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} \\ &= rmax\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)((\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), (\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1 * \delta_1, \alpha_2 * \delta_2) &= min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha_1 * \delta_1), \lambda_2^+(\alpha_2 * \delta_2)\} \\ &\geq min\{min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \lambda_1^+(\beta_1)\}, min\{\lambda_2^+(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2)), \lambda_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} \\ &= \\ &min\{min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \lambda_2^+(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))\}, min\{\lambda_1^+(\beta_1), \lambda_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} \\ &= min\{min(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)((\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), (\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1 * \delta_1, \alpha_2 * \delta_2) &= max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha_1 * \delta_1), \lambda_2^-(\alpha_2 * \delta_2)\} \\ &\leq max\{max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \lambda_1^-(\beta_1)\}, max\{\lambda_2^-(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2)), \lambda_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} = \\ &= \\ &max\{max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), \lambda_2^-(\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))\}, max\{\lambda_1^-(\beta_1), \lambda_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} \\ &= max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)((\alpha_1 * (\beta_1 * \delta_1)), (\alpha_2 * (\beta_2 * \delta_2))), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2)\} \geq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha_1), \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\beta_1)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} = \\ &rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\beta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} = rmin\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) &= rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2)\} \\ &\leq rmax\{rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha_1), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\beta_1)\}, rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} = \\ &rmax\{rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2)\}, rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\beta_1), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} \\ &= rmax\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) &= min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1), \lambda_2^+(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2)\} \\ &\geq min\{min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha_1), \lambda_1^+(\beta_1)\}, min\{\lambda_2^+(\alpha_2), \lambda_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha_1), \lambda_2^+(\alpha_2)\}, \min\{\lambda_1^+(\beta_1), \lambda_2^+(\beta_2)\}\} \\ & = \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) = \max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1), \lambda_2^-(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2)\} \\ & \leq \max\{\max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha_1), \lambda_1^-(\beta_1)\}, \max\{\lambda_2^-(\alpha_2), \lambda_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} = \\ & \max\{\max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha_1), \lambda_2^-(\alpha_2)\}, \max\{\lambda_1^-(\beta_1), \lambda_2^-(\beta_2)\}\} \\ & = \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Omega_{f_1} \times \Omega_{f_2}$ is ACB k -ideal of $\mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let Ω_{f_1} and Ω_{f_2} be two CB ideal of KU-semigroup \mathfrak{N} , such that $\Omega_{f_1} \times \Omega_{f_2}$ is ACB ideal of $\mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N}$. We have

(i) Either $\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$ or $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)$, also, $\lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(\alpha)$ or $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\beta), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}$.

(ii) If $\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$ and $\lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$. Then either $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha)$ and $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\alpha)$ or $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)$ and $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\beta), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}$.

(iii) If $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha)$, and $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$, then either $\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$, and $\lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(\alpha)$ or $\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$ and $\lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)$, also, $\lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(\alpha)$ and $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\beta), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\beta)$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}$. Then

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha, \beta) = r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta)\} \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(0)\} = (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, 0)$$

And

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha, \beta) = r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)\} \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0)\} = (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, 0)$$

Also,

$$(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha, \beta) = \min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^+(\beta)\} \geq \min\{\lambda_1^+(0), \lambda_2^+(0)\} = (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, 0)$$

And

$(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha, \beta) = \max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(\beta)\} \leq \max\{\lambda_1^-(0), \lambda_2^-(0)\} = (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, 0)$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, either $\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$ or $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)$, also, $\lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(\alpha)$ or $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\beta), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}$.

(ii) Suppose that $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)$ also, $\lambda_2^+(0) \leq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(0) \geq \lambda_1^-(\alpha)$ and $\lambda_2^+(0) \leq \lambda_2^+(\beta), \lambda_2^-(0) \geq \lambda_2^-(\beta)$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Then $(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, 0) = r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(0)\} = \tilde{\mu}_2^+(0)$

And

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha, \beta) = r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta)\} \geq \{\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(0)\} = \tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) = (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, 0)$$

And $(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, 0) = r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0)\} = \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha, \beta) = r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)\} \leq r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2^-(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0)\} = \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) = \\ & (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0,0) = \min\{\lambda_1^+(0), \lambda_2^+(0)\} = \lambda_2^+(0)$$

And

$$(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha, \beta) = \min\{\lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^+(\beta)\} \geq \{\lambda_2^+(0), \lambda_2^+(0)\} = \lambda_2^+(0) = (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0,0).$$

And $(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0,0) = \max\{\lambda_1^-(0), \lambda_2^-(0)\} = \lambda_2^-(0)$.

$$(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha, \beta) = \max\{\lambda_1^-(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(\beta)\} \leq \max\{\lambda_2^-(0), \lambda_2^-(0)\} = \lambda_2^-(0) = (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0,0)$$

. This is a contradiction. Therefore, either $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\chi), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha)$ and $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(x)$ or $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta)$ and $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\beta), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\beta)$.

(iii) The proof is similar to (ii).

The partial converse of Theorem (3.5) is the following.

Theorem 3.7. In a KU-semigroup \mathfrak{N} . If $\Omega_{f_1} \times \Omega_{f_2}$ is ACB ideal of $\mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N}$, then Ω_{f_1} or Ω_{f_2} is ACB ideal of \mathfrak{N} .

Proof. By use the Theorem (3.5) (i), without loss of generality we suppose that $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha)$, and $\lambda_2^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\alpha), \lambda_2^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$. It follows from Theorem (4.6)(iii) that either then either

$$\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_1^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_1^-(\alpha), \text{ and } \lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_1^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_1^-(\alpha) \text{ or } \tilde{\mu}_1^+(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha), \tilde{\mu}_1^-(0) \leq \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha) \text{ and } \lambda_1^+(0) \geq \lambda_2^+(\alpha), \lambda_1^-(0) \leq \lambda_2^-(\alpha).$$

, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$.

$$\text{Then } (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \alpha) = r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_1^+(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha)\} = \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha) \dots \dots (1)$$

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \alpha) = r\max\{\tilde{\mu}_1^-(0), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha)\} = \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha) \dots \dots (2)$$

Also,

$$(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \alpha) = \min\{\lambda_1^+(0), \lambda_2^+(\alpha)\} = \lambda_2^+(\alpha) \dots \dots (3)$$

$$(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, \alpha) = \max\{\lambda_1^-(0), \lambda_2^-(\alpha)\} = \lambda_2^-(\alpha) \dots \dots (4)$$

Since $\Omega_{f_1} \times \Omega_{f_2}$ is ACB ideal of $\mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N}$, then

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2) \geq r\min\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\} = r\min\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1 * \beta_1, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \beta_2) \geq r\min\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)((0, \alpha_2) * (0, \beta_2)), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \alpha_2)\} = r\min\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \alpha_2)\}$$

and by equation (1), then

$\tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta_2) \geq r\min\{\tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2 * \beta_2), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2)\}$. And

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq r\max\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\} = r\max\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1 * \beta_1, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \beta_2) \leq r\max\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)((0, \alpha_2) * (0, \beta_2)), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \alpha_2)\} = r\max\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \alpha_2)\}$$

and by using equation (2), we have

$$\tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta_2) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2 * \beta_2), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2)\}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2) &\geq \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\} \\ &= \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1 * \beta_1, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \beta_2) &\geq \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)((0, \alpha_2) * (0, \beta_2)), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \alpha_2)\} \\ &= \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \alpha_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

and by using equation (3), we have

$$\lambda_2^+(\beta_2) \geq \min\{\lambda_2^+(\alpha_2 * \beta_2), \lambda_2^+(\alpha_2)\}.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2) &\leq \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\} \\ &= \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1 * \beta_1, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, \beta_2) &\leq \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)((0, \alpha_2) * (0, \beta_2)), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, \alpha_2)\} = \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \\ &\lambda_2^-)(0, \alpha_2 * \beta_2), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, \alpha_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

and by using equation (4), we have

$$\lambda_2^-(\beta_2) \leq \max\{\lambda_2^-(\alpha_2 * \beta_2), \lambda_2^-(\alpha_2)\}.$$

And the condition **(BC3)** is

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \circ (\beta_1, \beta_2)) &\geq rmin\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \\ (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) &\geq rmin\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \geq rmin\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^+ \times \tilde{\mu}_2^+)(0, \beta_2)\}$$

and by using equation (1), we have $\tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_2^+(\alpha_2), \tilde{\mu}_2^+(\beta_2)\}$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \circ (\beta_1, \beta_2)) &\leq rmax\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \\ (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) &\leq rmax\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \leq rmax\{(\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \alpha_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1^- \times \tilde{\mu}_2^-)(0, \beta_2)\}$$

And by using equation (2), we have $\tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\mu}_2^-(\alpha_2), \tilde{\mu}_2^-(\beta_2)\}$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \circ (\beta_1, \beta_2)) &\geq \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \\ (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) &\geq \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \geq \min\{(\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^+ \times \lambda_2^+)(0, \beta_2)\}$$

and by using equation (3), we have $\lambda_2^+(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \geq \min\{\lambda_2^+(\alpha_2), \lambda_2^+(\beta_2)\}$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \circ (\beta_1, \beta_2)) &\leq \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \\ (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) &\leq \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(\beta_1, \beta_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \leq \max\{(\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, \alpha_2), (\lambda_1^- \times \lambda_2^-)(0, \beta_2)\}$$

and by using equation (4), we have $\lambda_2^-(\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) \leq \max\{\lambda_2^-(\alpha_2), \lambda_2^-(\beta_2)\}$

Then, it follows that Ω_{f_2} is ACB ideal of \mathfrak{K} .

This completes the proof.

References

1. Prabpayak,C.; Leerawat. U. On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras. *scientia Magna*. **2009**, 5, 1, 54-57.
2. Prabpayak, C.; Leerawat, U. On isomorphisms of KU-algebras. *scientia magna* (International Book Series). **2009**. 5, 3, 25-31.
3. Mostafa, S.M.; Abd-Elnaby ,M.A. ; Yousef, M.M.M. Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras. *Int. Math, Forum*. **2011**. 6, 63, 3139-3149.
4. Mostafa, S.M.; Abd-Elnaby ,M.A. ; Elgendy, O. R. Interval-valued Fuzzy KU-ideals in KU-algebras. *Int. Math, Forum*. **2011**. 6, 64, 3151-3159.
5. Kareem, F.F.; Hasan,E.R. On KU-semigroups. *International Journal of Science and Nature*. **2018**. 1, 9, 79-84.
6. Hasan, E. R.; Kareem, F.F. Fuzzy KU-Semi-Groups and Investigate Some Basic Properties, *Journal of Engineering and Applied Science*. **2018**. 13, 18, 7739-7744.
7. Kareem, F.F.; Talib, S.A. Interval value fuzzy k -ideal of a KU-semigroup, *Ibn Al-Haitham Jour for Pure & Appl. Sci*. **2020**. 33, 2, 95-106.
8. Jun, Y. B.; Kim, C. S.; Kang,M. S. Cubic subalgebras and ideals of BCK /BCI-algebras. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **2010**. 2, 44, 239–250.
9. Jun, Y. B.; Kim C. S.; Yang, K.O. Cubic sets, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*. **2012**. 1, 4, 83–98.
10. Yaqoob, N.; Mostafa, S. M.; Ansari, M. A. On cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, **2013**.
11. Kareem F. F.; Awad,W. K. Cubic bipolar ideals of a semigroup in KU-algebra, Accepted for AIP Conference Ser. **2021**.