



Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules(I)

Omar A. Abdullah

Department of Mathematics College of
Computer Science and Mathematics
Tikrit University / Iraq.
omer.a.abdullah35383@st.tu.edu.iq

Haibat K. Mohammadali

Department of Mathematics College of
Computer Science and Mathematics
Tikrit University / Iraq.
H.mohammadali@tu.edu.iq

Article history: Received 16 September 2022, Accepted 6 November 2022, Published in April 2023.

doi.org/10.30526/36.2.3019

Abstract

The concept of a 2-Absorbing submodule is considered as an essential feature in the field of module theory and has many generalizations. This article discusses the concept of the Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodules and their relationship to the 2-Absorbing submodule, Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule, Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule, Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule, and the rest of the other concepts previously studied. The relationship between them has been studied, explaining that the opposite is not true and that under certain conditions the opposite becomes true. This article aims to study this concept and gives the most important propositions, characterizations, remarks, examples, lemmas, and observations related to it. In the end, we will present a very important equivalent of our concept with the rest of the concepts presented previously.

Keywords: 2-Absorbing submodule, Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule, socle of module, Jacobson of module, cyclic and multiplication modules.

1. Introduction

As a generalization of the 2-Absorbing Ideal, the 2-Absorbing Submodule notion was originally introduced in 2011 by Darani A. and Sohelinia F. Badawi A. first proposed the 2-Absorbing Ideal in 2007. In recent years, various generalizations of the 2-Absorbing submodule, including the Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule, have been introduced. In this article, we presented the Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule, a new generalization on previously studied concepts, particularly the 2-Absorbing submodule and Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule. It is worth noting that R is a commutative ring with a nonzero identity and W be a unitary R -module. In the end, we presented the most important propositions in this research and studied all possible relationships with this concept within the best conditions that helped us reach the best solutions.

2. Preliminaries

In the following, we mention some basic definitions and notations in module that will be used in this paper.

Definition 2.1[1].

A proper submodule V of an R -module W is called 2-Absorbing submodule if whenever $abx \in V$ for $a, b \in R, x \in W$ implies that either $ax \in V$ or $bx \in V$ or $ab \in [V:{}_R W]$. Where $[V:{}_R W] = \{a \in R: aW \subseteq V\}$ [2].

Definition 2.2[3].

$soc(W)$ is the intersection of all essential submodules of W and a nonzero submodule V of W is essential in W if $V \cap G \neq (0)$ for any nonzero submodule G of W .

Definition 2.3[4].

A submodule V of an R -module W is called a maximal submodule of W , if V is a proper submodule of W and for all $B \subseteq W$ with $V \subset B$, then $B = W$, $J(W)$ is the intersection of all maximal submodules of W .

Definition 2.4[5].

A proper submodule V of an R -module W is called Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule if whenever $rsm \in V$, for $r, s \in R, m \in W$, implies that either $rm \in V + J(W)$ or $sm \in V + J(W)$ or $rsW \subseteq V + J(W)$.

Definition 2.5[6].

A proper submodule V of an R -module W is called Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule if whenever $rstm \in V$ for $r, s, t \in R, m \in W$, implies that either $rtm \in V$ or $stm \in V$ or $rsW \subseteq V$.

Definition 2.6[7].

A proper submodule V of an R -module W is called Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule if whenever $rsm \in V$ for $r, s \in R, m \in W$, implies that either $rm \in V + soc(W)$ or $sm \in V + soc(W)$ or $rsW \subseteq V + soc(W)$. And in the same paper [7] the concept of Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule is introduced, where a proper submodule V of an R -module W is called Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule if for any $rstm \in V$ for $r, s, t \in R, m \in W$, implies that either $rsm \in V + soc(W)$ or $stm \in V + soc(W)$ or $rtm \in V + soc(W)$.

Definition 2.7[8].

A proper submodule V of an R -module W is called Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule if whenever $rstm \in V$ for $r, s, t \in R, m \in W$, implies that either $rtm \in V + J(W)$ or $stm \in V + J(W)$ or $rsW \subseteq V + J(W)$.

Definition 2.8[9].

An R -module W is a semisimple, if every submodule of W is a direct summand.

Definition 2.9[4].

An R -module W is cyclic if $W = Rx = \langle x \rangle$.

Lemma 2.10[4, Ex(12). P 239].

- 1) Let V is a submodule of an R -module W with V as a direct summand of W , then $J\left(\frac{W}{V}\right) = \frac{J(W)+V}{V}$.
- 2) An R -module W is a semisimple if and only if for each submodule V of W $soc\left(\frac{W}{V}\right) = \frac{soc(W)+V}{V}$.

Lemma 2.11[10, Ex12(5). P 242].

A submodule V of an R -module W is maximal and essential if and only if $soc(W) \subseteq V$.

Lemma 2.12[4, Lemma (2.3.15)].

“Let L, V and D are submodules of an R -module W with $V \subseteq D$, then $(L + V) \cap D = (L \cap D) + V = (L \cap D) + (V \cap D)$.”

Definition 2.13[11].

An R -module W is multiplication, if every submodule V of W is of the form $V = IW$ for some ideal I of R . Equivalently, W is a multiplication R -module if every submodule V of W of the form $V = [V:{}_R W]W$.

Lemma 2.14[12, Prop. (2.3)].

Let W be a multiplication R -module. Then a submodule V of W is a 2-Absorbing if and only if V Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

3. The Results

In this part, we define Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule and characterize some of its fundamental properties using examples:

Definition 3.1

A proper submodule V of an R -module W is said to be Extend Nearly Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing (for short EXNPQ2AB) submodule of W if whenever $abcx \in V$, where $a, b, c \in R, x \in W$ implies that either $acx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

And an ideal I of a ring R is called EXNPQ2AB ideal of R , if I is an EXNPQ2AB R -submodule of an R -module R .

Remarks and Examples 3.2

1. Every 2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W is EXNPQ2AB submodule however, the opposite is not true.

Proof.

Let V be a 2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W and $abcx \in V$, for $a, b, c \in R, x \in W$. That is $ab(cx) \in V$. But V is 2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $a(cx) \in V$ or $b(cx) \in V$ or $abW \subseteq V$. Thus, either $acx \in V \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ for all $x \in W$, then either $acx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Hence, V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

For the opposite, think about the following illustration:

Let $W = Z_{48}$, $R = Z$ and the submodule $V = \langle \overline{16} \rangle$ is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , since $soc(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$ and $J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$. That is for all $a, b, c \in Z$ and $m \in Z_{48}$ such that $abcm \in \langle \overline{16} \rangle$, implies that either $acm \in \langle \overline{16} \rangle + soc(Z_{48}) + J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{16} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ or $bcm \in \langle \overline{8} \rangle + soc(Z_{48}) + J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{16} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ or $abm \in \langle \overline{16} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$. But V is not 2-Absorbing, since $2 \cdot 4 \cdot \overline{2} \in \langle \overline{16} \rangle$, for $4, 2 \in Z$ and $\overline{2} \in Z_{48}$, implies that $4 \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{8} \notin \langle \overline{16} \rangle$ and $2 \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{4} \notin \langle \overline{16} \rangle$ and $2 \cdot 4 = 8 \notin 16Z$.

2. Every Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W is EXNPQ2AB submodule however, the opposite is not true.

Proof.

Let V be a Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W and $abcx \in V$, for $a, b, c \in R, x \in W$. Since V is Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $acx \in V \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$, hence either $acx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

For the opposite, think about the following illustration:

Let $W = Z_{48}$, $R = Z$ and the submodule $V = \langle \overline{12} \rangle$ is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , since $soc(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$ and $J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$. That is for all $a, b, c \in Z$ and $m \in Z_{48}$ such that $abcm \in$

$\langle \overline{12} \rangle$, implies that either $acm \in \langle \overline{12} \rangle + soc(Z_{48}) + J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{12} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ or $bcm \in \langle \overline{12} \rangle + soc(Z_{48}) + J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{12} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ or $abm \in \langle \overline{12} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$. But V is not Quasi-2-Absorbing, since $2.3.2. \overline{1} \in \langle \overline{12} \rangle$, for $3, 2 \in Z$ and $\overline{1} \in Z_{48}$, implies that $2.2. \overline{1} = \overline{4} \notin \langle \overline{12} \rangle$ and $2.3. \overline{1} = \overline{6} \notin \langle \overline{12} \rangle$.

3. Every Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W is EXNPQ2AB submodule however, the opposite is not true.

Proof.

Let V be a Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W and $abcx \in V$, for $a, b, c \in R, x \in W$. That is $ab(cx) \in V$. But V is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $a(cx) \in V + J(W)$ or $b(cx) \in V + J(W)$ or $abW \subseteq V + J(W)$. Thus either $acx \in V + J(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + J(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + J(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ for all $x \in W$, then either $acx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Hence V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

For the opposite, think about the following illustration:

Take a look at the Z -module Z_{60} and the submodule $V = \langle \overline{30} \rangle$, we see that the only essential submodules of Z_{60} are Z_{60} itself and the submodule $\langle \overline{2} \rangle$, so that $soc(Z_{60}) = Z_{60} \cap \langle \overline{2} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$. And the only maximal submodules $\langle \overline{2} \rangle, \langle \overline{3} \rangle$ and $\langle \overline{5} \rangle$. So that $J(Z_{60}) = \langle \overline{30} \rangle$, hence $\langle \overline{30} \rangle$ is EXNP-2-Absorbing submodule of Z_{60} , however Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of Z_{60} , because $2.3. \overline{5} \in V$, for $2, 3 \in Z, \overline{5} \in Z_{60}$, implies that $2. \overline{5} \notin V + J(Z_{60}) = \langle \overline{30} \rangle + \langle \overline{30} \rangle = \langle \overline{30} \rangle$ and $3. \overline{5} \notin \langle \overline{30} \rangle$ and $2.3 \notin 30Z$.

4. Every Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W is EXNPQ2AB submodule however, the opposite is not true.

Proof.

Clear.

For the opposite, think about the following illustration:

See the submodule $\langle \overline{30} \rangle$ as the Z -module Z_{60} .

5. Every Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W is EXNPQ2AB submodule however, the opposite is not true.

Proof.

Let $abcx \in V$, for $a, b, c \in R, x \in W$. That is $ab(cx) \in V$. But V is Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $a(cx) \in V + soc(W)$ or $b(cx) \in V + soc(W)$ or $abW \subseteq V + soc(W)$. Thus either $acx \in V + soc(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + soc(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ for all $x \in W$, then either $acx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Hence V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

For the opposite, think about the following illustration:

Let $W = Z_{48}, R = Z$ and the submodule $V = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$. Clear that V is not Pseudo-2-Absorbing, but V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

6. Every Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of an R -module W is EXNPQ2AB submodule however, the opposite is not true.

Proof.

Direct.

For the converse, see the example in (5).

7. The intersection of two EXNPQ2AB submodules of an \mathbb{R} -module W need not to be EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , as the following example shows:

Consider the Z -module Z and the submodules $3Z$ and $4Z$ are EXNPQ2AB submodules of Z , but $3Z \cap 4Z = 12Z$ is not EXNPQ2AB submodule of the Z -module Z , since if $2.3.2.1 \in 12Z$, but $2.2.1 = 4 \notin 12Z + soc(Z) + J(Z)$ and $3.2.1 = 6 \notin 12Z + soc(Z) + J(Z)$ and $2.3.1 = 6 \notin 12Z + soc(Z) + J(Z)$ (since $soc(Z) = (0)$ and $J(Z) = (0)$).

Proposition 3.3

A proper submodule V of W is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in W$ such that $abx \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Then $[V :_{\mathbb{R}} abx] \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} ax] \cup [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} bx]$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Let V be EXNPQ2AB submodule of W and $t \in [V :_{\mathbb{R}} abx]$, then $abtx \in V$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W and $abx \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then either $atx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $btx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Thus either $t \in [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} ax]$ or $t \in [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} bx]$. Hence, $t \in [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} ax] \cup [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} bx]$. Then we get $[V :_{\mathbb{R}} abx] \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} ax] \cup [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} bx]$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $abcx \in V$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in W$ and let $abx \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Since $abcx \in V$, then $c \in [V :_{\mathbb{R}} abx] \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} ax] \cup [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} bx]$. It follows that either $c \in [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} ax]$ or $c \in [V + soc(W) + J(W) :_{\mathbb{R}} bx]$. That is either $acx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore, V EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 3.4

A proper submodule V of W is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if $abc\mathbb{L} \subseteq V$, for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{L} is a submodule of W , implies that either $ac\mathbb{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bc\mathbb{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ab\mathbb{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Let V be EXNPQ2AB submodule of W and $abc\mathbb{L} \subseteq V$, for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{L} is a submodule of W . Suppose that $ab\mathbb{L} \not\subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$, $ac\mathbb{L} \not\subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $bc\mathbb{L} \not\subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Then there is $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{L}$ such that $abe_1 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, $ace_2 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $bce_3 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Now, $abce_1 \in V$ and since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W with $abe_1 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then either $bce_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ace_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Also since $abce_2 \in V$ and $ace_2 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then either $bce_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abe_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Again $abce_3 \in V$ and since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W with $bce_3 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then either $ace_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abe_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Now, $abc(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \in V$ and V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , implies that either $ab(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ac(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bc(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. If $ab(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) = abe_1 + abe_2 + abe_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. But $abe_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $abe_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then $abe_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, which is incongruent. If $ac(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) = ace_1 + ace_2 + ace_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. But $ace_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $ace_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then

$ace_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ which is contradiction. If $bc(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) = bce_1 + bce_2 + bce_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. But $bce_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $bce_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then $bce_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ which is contradiction. Hence $ac\mathbb{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bc\mathbb{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ab\mathbb{L} \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $abcn \in V$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, n \in W$, then $abc(n) \subseteq V$, hence by hypothesis either $ac(n) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bc(n) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ab(n) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. That is either $acn \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcn \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abn \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 3.5

Let W be *module* and V be a *proper* submodule of W . Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for every submodule A of W and for every ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of \mathbb{R} such that $I_1I_2I_3A \subseteq V$, implies that either $I_1I_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_1I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_2I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Let $I_1I_2I_3A \subseteq V$, where I_1, I_2, I_3 are ideals of \mathbb{R} and A is a submodule of W , with $I_1I_2A \not\subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} W}$. To demonstrate that $I_1I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_2I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Suppose that $I_1I_3A \not\subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $I_2I_3A \not\subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$, that is there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ and a nonzero $r \in I_1, s \in I_2$ and $t \in I_3$ such that $rsa_1 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $rta_2 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $sta_3 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Now, $rsta_1 \in V$ and $rsa_1 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, implies that either $rta_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sta_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Also $rsta_2 \in V$ and $rta_2 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, implies that either $rsa_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sta_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Again, $rsta_3 \in V$ and $sta_3 \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, implies that either $rta_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rsa_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Now, $rst(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \in V$ and V is EXNPQ2AB, then either $rs(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rt(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $st(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. If $rs(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) = rsa_1 + rsa_2 + rsa_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $rsa_2, rsa_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, hence $rsa_1 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ which is a contradiction. If $rt(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) = rta_1 + rta_2 + rta_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $rta_1, rta_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, hence $rta_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ which is a contradiction. If $st(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) = sta_1 + sta_2 + sta_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $sta_1, sta_2 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, hence $sta_3 \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ which is a contradiction. Thus either $I_1I_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_1I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_2I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

(\Leftarrow) Clear.

Proposition 3.6

Let W be *module* and V be a *proper* submodule of W . Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for any $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and I of \mathbb{R} and $x \in W$ with $rsIx \subseteq V$ implies that either $rsx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rIx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sIx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Let $rsIx \subseteq V$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and I is an ideal of \mathbb{R} and $x \in W$, it follows that $I \subseteq [V]_{\mathbb{R} rsx}$. If $rsx \in V \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$, hence $rsx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then we are done. Suppose that $rsx \notin V + soc(W) + J(W)$, then by **Proposition 3.3** $[V]_{\mathbb{R} rsx} \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} rx} \cup [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} sx}$. But $rsIx \subseteq V$, then $I \subseteq [V]_{\mathbb{R} rsx} \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} rx} \cup [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} sx}$, hence $I \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} rx} \cup [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} sx}$, it follows that either $I \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} rx}$ or $I \subseteq [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R} sx}$, thus either $rIx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sIx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $rstx \in V$ for $r, s, t \in R$ and $x \in W$, that is $rs\langle t \rangle x \subseteq V$. It follows by hypothesis either $rsx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $r\langle t \rangle x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $s\langle t \rangle x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Hence either $rsx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rtx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $stx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

From the **Proposition 3.5** and **Proposition 3.6** we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.7

Let W be an R -module and V be a proper submodule of W . Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for each $r \in R$, $x \in W$ and every ideals I, J of R with $rIx \subseteq V$, implies that either $rix \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rjx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $Ijx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Corollary 3.8

Let W be an R -module and V be a proper submodule of W . Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for every ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of R and $x \in W$ such that $I_1I_2I_3x \subseteq V$ implies that either $I_1I_2x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_1I_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_2I_3x \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Corollary 3.9

Let W be an R -module and V be a proper submodule of W . Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for any $r, s \in R$ and any ideal I of R and every submodule A of W with $rsIA \subseteq V$ implies that either $rsa \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $ria \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sIA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Corollary 3.10

Let W be an R -module and V be a proper submodule of W . Then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W if and only if for each $r \in R$ and any ideals I, J of R and every submodule A of W with $rIJA \subseteq V$ implies that either $ria \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rja \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $IJA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$.

Proposition 3.11

Let V be EXNPQ2AB submodule of an R -module W and L is a submodule of W with $L \subseteq V$, then $\frac{V}{L}$ is EXNPQ2AB submodule of an R -module $\frac{W}{L}$.

Proof.

Let V be EXNPQ2AB submodule of W and $aIj(e + L) = aIje + L \subseteq \frac{V}{L}$ for $a \in R, I, J$ are ideals of R and $e + L \in \frac{W}{L}$, $e \in W$, implies that $aIje \subseteq V$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , then by **Corollary 3.7** either $aIe \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $aJe \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $IJe \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. It follows, either $aI(e + L) \subseteq \frac{V + soc(W) + J(W)}{L} \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + \frac{V + soc(W) + J(W)}{L} \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + soc\left(\frac{W}{L}\right) + J\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)$ or $aJ(e + L) \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + soc\left(\frac{W}{L}\right) + J\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)$ or $IJ(e + L) \subseteq \frac{V + soc(W) + J(W)}{L} \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + \frac{V + soc(W) + J(W)}{L} \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + soc\left(\frac{W}{L}\right) + J\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)$, that is either $aI(e + L) \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + soc\left(\frac{W}{L}\right) + J\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)$ or $aJ(e + L) \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + soc\left(\frac{W}{L}\right) + J\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)$ or $IJ \subseteq \frac{V}{L} + soc\left(\frac{W}{L}\right) + J\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)$. Hence by **Corollary 3.7** $\frac{V}{L}$ is EXNPQ2AB submodule of $\frac{W}{L}$.

Proposition 3.12

Let W is a *semi simple* R -module V and H_1 are submodules for W such that $H_1 \subseteq V$, and V is a *proper* submodule of W . If H_1 and $\frac{V}{H_1}$ are EXNPQ2AB submodules of W and $\frac{W}{H_1}$ respectively, then V is EXNPQ2AB submodules of W .

Proof.

Suppose H_1 and $\frac{V}{H_1}$ are EXNPQ2AB submodules for W and $\frac{W}{H_1}$ respectively, and let $I_1 I_2 I_3 m \subseteq V$, for I_1, I_2, I_3 are ideals of R , $m \in W$. So $I_1 I_2 I_3 (m + H_1) = I_1 I_2 I_3 m + H_1 \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1}$. If $I_1 I_2 I_3 m \subseteq H_1$ and H_1 is EXNPQ2AB submodules of W , implies that by **Corollary 3.8** either $I_1 I_2 m \subseteq H_1 + (soc(W) + J(W)) \subseteq V + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $I_1 I_3 m \subseteq H_1 + (soc(W) + J(W)) \subseteq V + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $I_2 I_3 m \subseteq H_1 + (soc(W) + J(W)) \subseteq V + (soc(W) + J(W))$, hence V is EXNPQ2AB submodules for W . So, we may assume that $I_1 I_2 I_3 m \not\subseteq H_1$. It follows that $I_1 I_2 I_3 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{N}{H_1}$, but $\frac{V}{H_1}$ is EXNPQ2AB submodules of $\frac{W}{H_1}$, again by **Corollary 3.8** either $I_1 I_2 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1} + \left(soc \left(\frac{W}{H_1} \right) + J \left(\frac{W}{H_1} \right) \right)$ or $I_1 I_3 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1} + \left(soc \left(\frac{W}{H_1} \right) + J \left(\frac{W}{H_1} \right) \right)$ or $I_2 I_3 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1} + \left(soc \left(\frac{W}{H_1} \right) + J \left(\frac{W}{H_1} \right) \right)$. Since W is a *semi simple* then by **Lemma 2.10** either $I_1 I_2 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + soc(W)}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + J(W)}{H_1}$ or $I_1 I_3 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + soc(W)}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + J(W)}{H_1}$ or $I_2 I_3 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + soc(W)}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + J(W)}{H_1}$. But $H_1 \subseteq V$, it follows that $H_1 + soc(W) \subseteq V + soc(W)$ and $H_1 + J(W) \subseteq V + J(W)$, hence $\frac{V}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + soc(W)}{H_1} + \frac{H_1 + J(W)}{H_1} \subseteq \frac{V}{H_1} + \frac{V + soc(W)}{H_1} + \frac{V + J(W)}{H_1} = \frac{V + soc(W) + J(W)}{H_1}$. Thus either $I_1 I_2 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V + (soc(W) + J(W))}{H_1}$ or $I_1 I_3 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V + (soc(W) + J(W))}{H_1}$ or $I_2 I_3 (m + H_1) \subseteq \frac{V + (soc(W) + J(W))}{H_1}$, it follows that either $I_1 I_2 m \subseteq V + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $I_1 I_3 m \subseteq V + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $I_2 I_3 m \subseteq V + (soc(W) + J(W))$. Hence by **Corollary 3.8** V is EXNPQ2AB submodules of W .

According to the following proposition, under specific circumstances, the intersection of two EXNPQ2AB submodules is an EXNPQ2AB submodule.

Proposition 3.13

Let W be an R -module either E or V is *maximal essential* submodule of W and E not contained in V . If E and V are EXNPQ2AB submodules of W , then $V \cap E$ is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

Since E not contained in V , then $V \cap E$ is a *proper* submodule of V and since V is a *proper* submodule of W , hence $V \cap E$ is a *proper* submodule of W . Now, let $rsIx \subseteq E \cap V$, for $r, s \in R$, $x \in W$ and I is an ideal of R , it follows that $rsIx \subseteq E$ and $rsIx \subseteq V$. But both E and V are EXNPQ2AB submodules of W , then by **Proposition 3.6** we have either $rsx \in E + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rIx \subseteq E + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sIx \subseteq E + soc(W) + J(W)$ and $rsx \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $rIx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $sIx \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Thus either $rsx \in (E + soc(W) + J(W)) \cap (V + soc(W) + J(W))$ or $rIx \subseteq (E + soc(W) + J(W)) \cap (V + soc(W) + J(W))$ or $sIx \subseteq (E + soc(W) + J(W)) \cap (V + soc(W) + J(W))$. Since either E or V is *maximal essential* submodule of W , then either $soc(W) \subseteq E$ or $soc(W) \subseteq V$. Suppose that E is *maximal essential* submodule of W , so that by **Lemma 2.11** $soc(W) \subseteq E$ and since E is *maximal* submodule of W , then $J(W) \subseteq E$. It follows that $E + soc(W) + J(W) = E$. Hence either $rsx \in E \cap (V + soc(W) + J(W))$ or $rIx \subseteq E \cap (V + soc(W) + J(W))$ or $sIx \subseteq E \cap (V + soc(W) + J(W))$. Therefore by **Lemma 2.12** we get either $rsx \in (E \cap V) + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or

$rlx \subseteq (E \cap V) + (soc(W) + J(W))$ or $slx \subseteq (E \cap V) + (soc(W) + J(W))$. Hence by **Proposition 3.6** $V \cap E$ is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 3.14

Let W be an \mathbb{R} -module with $soc(W)$ is Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W . If $V \subset W$ such that $V \subseteq soc(W)$, then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

Let $I_1I_2I_3A \subseteq V$, for I_1, I_2, I_3 are ideals of \mathbb{R} and A is a submodule of W . Since $V \subseteq soc(W)$, it follows that $I_1I_2I_3A \subseteq soc(W)$. But $soc(W)$ is Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $I_1I_3A \subseteq soc(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_2I_3A \subseteq soc(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_1I_2A \subseteq soc(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. That is either $I_1I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_2I_3A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $I_1I_2A \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore by **Proposition 3.5** V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 3.14

Let W be an \mathbb{R} -module with $J(W)$ is Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W . If $V \subset W$ such that $V \subseteq J(W)$, then V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

Let $abcA \subseteq V$, for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and A is a submodule of W . Since $V \subseteq J(W)$, it follows that $abA \subseteq J(W)$. But $J(W)$ is Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $acA \subseteq J(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcA \subseteq J(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abA \subseteq J(W) \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. That is either $acA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abA \subseteq V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Therefore by **Proposition 3.4** V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

4. The Relationship between the Concept of EXNPQ2AB Submodules and Other Concepts.

The relationships between EXNPQ2AB submodules, 2-Absorbing submodules and other types of submodules are discussed in this section.

Proposition 4.1

Let W be a cyclic \mathbb{R} -module and V is an essential submodule of W with $J(W) \subseteq V$. Then V is 2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) By **Remarks and Examples 3.2(1)**.

(\Leftarrow) Let $W = \mathbb{R}w$ for some $w \in W$ and assume that V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W . Let $abh \in V$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, h \in W$, then there exist an element $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $abh = abcw \in V$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , then either $acw \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bcw \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $abw \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. That is either $ab \in [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}w} = [V + soc(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}W}$ or $ah \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$ or $bh \in V + soc(W) + J(W)$. Since V is essential submodule of W , then $soc(W) \subseteq V$ and by hypotheses $J(W) \subseteq V$, we get $V + soc(W) = V$ and $V + J(W) = V$, thus $V + soc(W) + J(W) = V$. Hence either $ab \in [V]_{\mathbb{R}W}$ or $bh \in V$ or $ah \in V$. Therefore V is 2-Absorbing submodule of W .

The Proof of the **Proposition 4.2 and 4.3** is straightforward.

Proposition 4.2

Let W be a cyclic \mathbb{R} -module and V is maximal submodule of W with $soc(W) \subseteq V$. Then V is 2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 4.3

Let W be a cyclic \mathbb{R} -module and V is a proper submodule of W with $\text{soc}(W) + J(W) \subseteq V$. Then V is 2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 4.4

Let W be \mathbb{R} -module and V is an essential submodule of W with $J(W) \subseteq V$. Then V is Quasi-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ-2-Absorbing.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) By **Remarks and Examples 3.2(2)**.

(\Leftarrow) Let $abch \in V$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in W$, hence either $abh \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bch \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $ach \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. Since V is *essential* submodule of W , then $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq V$ and by hypotheses $J(W) \subseteq V$, we get $V + \text{soc}(W) = V$ and $V + J(W) = V$, thus $V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W) = V$. Hence either $abh \in V$ or $bch \in V$ or $ach \in V$. Therefore V is Quasi-2-Absorbing of W .

Proposition 4.5

Let W be \mathbb{R} -module and V is a *proper* submodule of W with $\text{soc}(W) + J(W) \subseteq V$. Then V is Quasi-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

Direct.

Proposition 4.6

Let W be a cyclic \mathbb{R} -module with V is a *proper* submodule of W and $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq J(W)$. Then V is Nearly-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) By **Remarks and Examples 3.2(3)**.

(\Leftarrow) Let $W = \mathbb{R}w$ for some $w \in W$ and assume that V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W . Let $abh \in V$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in W$, then *there exist* an element $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $abh = abcw \in V$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , then either $acw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bcw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $abw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. That is either $ab \in [V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}w} = [V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}W}$ or $ah \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bh \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. But $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq J(W)$, thus $\text{soc}(W) + J(W) = J(W)$. Hence either $ab \in [V + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}W}$ or $bh \in V + J(W)$ or $ah \in V + J(W)$. Therefore V is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

Proposition 4.7

Let W be a cyclic \mathbb{R} -module with $V \subset W$ and $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq V$. Then V is Nearly-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W . **Proof.**

(\Rightarrow) Clear.

(\Leftarrow) Let $W = \mathbb{R}w$ for some $w \in W$ and assume that V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W . Let $abh \in V$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in W$, then *there exist* an element $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $abh = abcw \in V$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , then either $acw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bcw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $abw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. That is either $ab \in [V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}w} = [V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}W}$ or $ah \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bh \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. Since $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq V$, then $V + \text{soc}(W) = V$, so $V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W) = V + J(W)$. Hence either $ab \in [V + J(W)]_{\mathbb{R}W}$ or $bh \in V + J(W)$ or $ah \in V + J(W)$. Therefore V is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

The Proof of the **Proposition 4.8 and 4.9** is straightforward.

Proposition 4.8

Let W be a cyclic R -module with V is proper of W and $\text{soc}(W) = (0)$. Then V is Nearly-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 4.9

Let W be a cyclic R -module and V is an essential submodule of W . Then V is Nearly-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proposition 4.10

Let W be R -module, $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq J(W)$ and $V \subset W$. Then V is Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) By **Remarks and Examples 3.2(4)**.

(\Leftarrow) Let $abch \in V$ for $a, b, c \in R, h \in W$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB, then either $abh \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bch \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $ach \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. Since $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq J(W)$, then $\text{soc}(W) + J(W) = J(W)$, thus either $abh \in V + J(W)$ or $bch \in V + J(W)$ or $ach \in V + J(W)$. Hence V is Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing of W .

Proposition 4.11

Let W be R -module, $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq V$ and $V \subset W$. Then V is Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

Direct.

Proposition 4.12

Let W be a cyclic R -module and V is an maximal submodule of W . Then V is Pseudo-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) By **Remarks and Examples 3.2(5)**.

(\Leftarrow) Let $W = Rw$ for some $w \in W$ and assume that V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W . Let $abh \in V$ for $a, b \in R, h \in W$, then there exist an element $c \in R$ such that $abh = abcw \in V$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , then either $acw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bcw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $abw \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. That is either $ab \in [V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)]_{;R} w = [V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)]_{;R} W$ or $ah \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bh \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. Since V is an maximal submodule of W , then $J(W) \subseteq V$, hence $V + J(W) = V$, so $V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W) = V + \text{soc}(W)$. Hence either $ab \in [V + \text{soc}(W)]_{;R} W$ or $bh \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $ah \in V + \text{soc}(W)$. Therefore, V is Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

Proposition 4.13

Let W be a cyclic R -module with V is proper of W and $J(W) = (0)$. Then V is Pseudo-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

Direct.

Proposition 4.14

Let W be R -module and V is a maximal submodule of W . Then, V is Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing if and only if V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W .

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) By **Remarks and Examples 3.2(6)**.

(\Leftarrow) Clear.

Finally, we will present a Proposition that equals all the previous concepts.

Proposition 4.15

Let W be a multiplication R -module and V is a proper submodule of W with $J(W) = V$ and $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq V$. Consequently, the following claims are equal:

1. V is a 2-Absorbing submodule of W .
2. Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W .
3. Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W .
4. Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of W .
5. EXNPQ-2-Absorbing submodule of W .
6. Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of W .
7. Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

Proof.

(1 \Leftrightarrow 2) By **Lemma 2.14**.

(2 \Rightarrow 3) Clear.

(3 \Rightarrow 4) Let $abx \in V$ for $a, b \in R, x \in W$ and assume that V is Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W . That is $ab(x) \subseteq V$, since W is a multiplication R -module, then there exist an ideal I of R such that $(x) = IW$, hence $abIW \subseteq V$. Since V is Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $aIW \subseteq V + J(W)$ or $bIW \subseteq V + J(W)$ or $abW \subseteq V + J(W)$. That is either $ab \in [V + J(W);_R W]$ or $ax \in V + J(W)$ or $bx \in V + J(W)$. Therefore V is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

(4 \Rightarrow 5) By **Remarks and Examples 3.2(3)**.

(5 \Rightarrow 6) Let $abx \in V$ for $a, b \in R, x \in W$ and assume that V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W . That is $ab(x) \subseteq V$, since W is a multiplication R -module, then there exist an ideal I of R such that $(x) = IW$, hence $abIW \subseteq V$. Since V is EXNPQ2AB submodule of W , then either $aIW \subseteq V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bIW \subseteq V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $abW \subseteq V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. That is either $ab \in [V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W);_R W]$ or $ax \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$ or $bx \in V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W)$. But $J(W) \subseteq V$, then $V + J(W) = V$ and $V + \text{soc}(W) + J(W) = V + \text{soc}(W)$. Thus either $ab \in [V + \text{soc}(W);_R W]$ or $bx \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $ax \in V + \text{soc}(W)$. Therefore V is Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

(6 \Rightarrow 7) Let $abcx \in V$, for $a, b, c \in R, x \in W$. That is $ab(cx) \in V$. But V is Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $a(cx) \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $b(cx) \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $abW \subseteq V + \text{soc}(W)$. Thus either $acx \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $bcx \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $abx \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ for all $x \in W$. Hence V is Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W .

(7 \Rightarrow 1) Let $abx \in V$ for $a, b \in R, x \in W$ and assume that V is Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W . That is $ab(x) \subseteq V$, since W is a multiplication R -module, then there exist an ideal I of R such that $(x) = IW$, hence $abIW \subseteq V$. Since V is Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing submodule of W , then either $aIW \subseteq V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $bIW \subseteq V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $abW \subseteq V + \text{soc}(W)$. That is either $ab \in [V + \text{soc}(W);_R W]$ or $ax \in V + \text{soc}(W)$ or $bx \in V + \text{soc}(W)$. But $\text{soc}(W) \subseteq V$, hence $V + \text{soc}(W) = V$. Thus either $ab \in [V;_R W]$ or $bx \in V$ or $ax \in V$. Therefore, V is 2-Absorbing submodule of W .

5. Conclusion

The term EXNPQ2AB submodules is a novel generalization of (2-Absorbing, Quasi-2-Absorbing, Nearly-2-Absorbing, Nearly Quasi-2-Absorbing, Pseudo-2-Absorbing and Pseudo Quasi-2-Absorbing) submodules that we introduce in this article. Using examples, we also discuss the opposite generalization introduced in several different characterizations. There are given connections between this generalization and other types of modules.

References

1. Darani, A.Y; Soheilniai. F. 2-Absorbing and Weakly 2-AbsorbingSubmodules, *Tahi Journal. Math*, **2011**,(9), 577-584.
2. Lu, C. P. Prime Submodules of Modules, *Comm. Math, University Spatula*, **1981**, (33), 61-69.
3. Goodearl, K. R., (1976), Ring Theory, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York and Basel., **1976**, 206.
4. Kash, F. Modules and Rings. London Math. Soc. Monographs New York , Academic Press , **1982**, 370.
5. Reem, T; Shwkea, M. Nearly 2-Absorbing Submodules and Related Concept, *Tikrit Journal for Pure Sci*, **2018**, 2(3), 215-221.
6. Osama A. Naji; Mohammed M. Al-Ashker and Arwa E. Ashour. On n-2-Absorbing Submodules, M. Sc. Thesis, The Islamic University -Gaza. , **2016**, 42.
7. Haibat K. Mohammedali and Omar A.Abdalla. Pseudo-2-Absorbing and Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, **2019**, 2096,020006, 1-9.
8. Haibat, K. M and Khalaf, H .A. Nearly Quasi2-Absorbing Submodules, *Tikrit Journal. for Pure. Sci*, **2018**, 23(9), 99-102.
9. Anderson, E. W and Fuller, K. R. Rings and Categories of Modules , *springer Verlag, New York*. **1992**.
10. Payman, M. H. Hollow Modules and Semi Hollow Modules. M. Sc. Thesis, University of Baghbad. **2005**.
11. El-Bast, Z. A ; Smith, P. F. Multiplication Modules, *Comm. In Algebra*, **1988**, 16(4), 755-779.
12. Faranak. F. Quasi 2-absorbing Submodules, *KYUNGPOOK Mathematical Junral*, **2019**, 59(2), 209-214.