

Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences Journal homepage: jih.uobaghdad.edu.iq



# A Comparative Correlation between the Oral Microbiome of Diabetes Mellitus and Healthy Individuals and their Relation with Some Demographic Parameters

Esraa.A. Aljubouri<sup>™</sup> Department of Biology, College of Sciences, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq. Mouruj A. Alaubydi ≥ Department of Biology, College of Sciences, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

\*Corresponding Author: israa.ahmed1206a@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Article history: Received 11 December 2022, Accepted 17 January 2023, Published October 2023.

## doi.org/10.30526/36.4.3133

## Abstract

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a chronic disease distributed worldwide and dominantly related to different types of diseases, especially microbial infections. This study aimed to find the relationship between the DM mouth microbiome and some demographic factors. Sixty saliva specimens and bacterial oral swabs were collected from randomly selected DM patients, including 29 females and 31 males enrolled in this study, which was obtained from the Al-Mustansiriya University national diabetes center in Baghdad. Other 40 apparently healthy people's specimens and swabs were collected from 25 females and 15 males as a control group for the period starting November 2021 to February 2022.. The results revealed that the most prevalent bacterial genera in the patients' group were Staphylococcus spp. 57(38.26%), Enterobacteriaceae spp. 55(36.91%), Pseudomonas spp. 13(8.72%), Streptococcus spp. and Acinetobacter spp. each was 8(5.37%), and then, Corynebacterium spp. 5(3.36%), and each Neisseria spp., and H. influenza were 2(1.34%). These percentages were significantly different from those in the control group which were Staphylococcus spp. 33(43.4%)(S. aureus 34.21%) and S. epidermidis 9.22%), Enterobacteriaceae spp. 32(42.11%), Bacillus spp. 4(5.2%), Acinetobacter spp. 3(3.9%), and each Pseudomonas spp. and Streptococcus spp. were 2(2.7%). In the same context, the results showed there is no significant difference between smokers for patients /control which were 25(17%)/15(19.74%), as well as, non-smokers 105 (71.43%) / 55 (72.37%) and ex-smokers 17(11.57%)/6(7.89%) in bacterial isolates foundation in the oral cavity of DM patients and controls. Acidic oral pH is the predominant pH among patients and control individuals, in spite of there being no significant differences among different oral pH levels. As well, as the results revealed that gender does not affect the types of the oral microbiome.



Keywords: DM, gender, pH, smoking habit, mouth microbiota.

#### **1. Introduction**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic condition that affects the body's capacity to use energy obtained from the diet [1]. Is characterized by hyperglycemia brought on either by insulin resistance or insulin insufficiency [2; 3]. It is the basis of several organ infections and other diseases [4]. DM is regarded as a major cause of death most recently, particularly in Iraq [2; 5]. Uncontrolled hyperglycemia is linked to several hazardous consequences, including renal failure, cardiovascular conditions, and oral diseases [6].

The oral cavity is a complex ecosystem that includes the tongue, soft and hard palate, teeth, buccal mucosa, and other structures that together make up a rich habitat for microbial life [7]. The mouth is home to a variety of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses.

The majority of living things in the mouth are bacteria, which are mostly Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinomycetes [8]. The majority of oral microbes are not harmful to humans, but opportunistic commensals fight against harmful pathogens and maintain oral health [9]. There are many factors such as age, pH, smoking, and gender that contribute to microbial proliferation in the mouth, which leads to oral illnesses [10]. Ageing influences the dialogue with inherent microorganisms. The risk of oral diseases increases dramatically through the course of life, to which it is argued that microorganisms contribute [11]. Low-pH saliva can cause changes in diabetics' mouths over time and contribute to tooth damage from acid-producing bacteria [12]. Smoking affects the microbial signatures of oral communities, with a decline in the commensal population and a corresponding rise in pathogens, according to findings from the nasopharyngeal environment [13]. Smoking even affects immune parameters in oral saliva. Also, saliva enzyme activity and quantity could influence personal mouth perception and consumption of dietary starch and can affect overall nutritional status [14] and types of mouth microbiome [15]. Moreover, studies have exposed that the form of the oral mucosa, roles of salivary glands, and salivary structures all alternate with age; these changes are increased dramatically with DM [16]. Therefore, this study aimed to find the relationship between the DM mouth microbiome and some demographic factors.

#### 2. Material and method

60 specimens of randomly selected DM patients, including 29 females and 31 males, were enrolled in this study, most of them type 2 diabetes, and only two patients had type 1 diabetes, which was obtained from the Al-Mustansiriya University national diabetes center in Baghdad for the period starting November 2021 to February 2022. The type of diabetes is determined based on a medical diagnosis by consultant doctors and the patient's medical treatment history. Patients' ages ranged from 18 to 74 years. 40 apparently healthy specimens were collected from 25 females and 15 males, with approximately the same age range as the patients' participants. A questionnaire format was specified in the datasheets to be filled out according to age, sex, drug administration, smoking, chronic disease, and workplace of each patient and control subject..

#### 2.1 Specimens collection

At the beginning, all participants were instructed to fast for at least 1 or 2 hours before saliva collection. The mouths of each patient and control subject must be rinsed using sterilized water and waited for 10 minutes before collecting at least 2 ml of unstimulated saliva in a sterile cup. Saliva pH was measured directly using a pH strip (CYBOW, China). After that, the saliva specimens were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min. to eliminate any insoluble material or cellular debris, and the supernatants were collected and stored in a freezer at -20 [17].

The major part of the oral infection test is based on swabs (Cito swabs, China). A sterile cotton swab is rubbed frequently between soft and hard mouth tissues in order to investigate the type of bacterial colonization in the mouth of diabetic patients and for comparison with the control group.

### 2.2 Bacterial isolates identification

A volume of 2 ml of sterile Brain Heart Infusion Broth (Himedia-India) was added to each swab tube and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. A loopful of activated growth was streaked into Brain Heart Infusion Agar, MacConkey Agar, Mannitol Salt Agar, Chocolate Agar, and Blood Agar (Himedia-India. Subsequently, incubate all media aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. These media were used to primary distinguish and obtain pure colonies [18]. Then, using biochemical tests to diagnose bacteria [19].

#### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed using the following software: Microsoft Excel, Minitab v17, and IBM SPSS A V26. Z-test was used to compare two proportions. Probability values less than 0.05 were considered significantly different [20].

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Diabetes patients are more likely to develop bacterial infections as well as other types of oral infections. Reduced salivary flow, weakened defenses, and poor metabolic regulation might all contribute to the development of infection. Oral problems in DM patients are regarded as serious disease complications and might negatively affect the patients' quality of life [21].

Different bacterial species, including gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, were isolated from the mouths of both patients and control subjects. The bacteria were isolated from the mouth (teeth and gingiva). The present results in **Table 1** show the most prevalent bacterial genera in the patients' group, which are listed in ascending order according to the percentages: *Staphylococcus* spp. 57(38.26%), *Enterobacteriaceae* spp. 55(36.91%), *Pseudomonas* spp. 13(8.72%), *Streptococcus* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. each were 8(5.37%), *Corynebacterium* spp. 5(3.36%), and each *Neisseria* spp., and *H. influenza* were 2(1.34%). These percentages were different from those in the control group, which were *Staphylococcus* spp. 33(43.4%), *Enterobacteriaceae* spp. 32(42.11%), *Bacillus* spp. 4(5.2%), *Acinetobacter* spp. 3(3.9%), and each *Pseudomonas* spp. and *H. influenza* were 2(2.7%). The characteristic thing each *Corynebacterium* spp., *Neisseria* spp., and *H. influenza* were not found in the control group,

while *Bacillus* was not found completely in patients. Besides *Corynebacterium* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Bacillus* spp. recorded a significant difference between experimental groups ( $p \le 0.05$ ). There is a noticeable increase in the number of bacteria in DM patients compared to healthy ones.

		Grou	ıp		
Bacteria	Pati	ent (60)	Contr	ol (40)	<b>P-value</b>
	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Staphylococcus spp.	57	38.26%	33	43.42%	0.457
Bacillus spp.	0	0.0%	4	5.26%	0.040*
Streptococcus spp.	7	4.67%	2	2.63 %	0.413
Neisseria spp.	2	1.34%	0	0.0%	0.155
Enterobacteriaceae spp.	55	36.91%	32	42.11%	0.452
Corynebacterium spp.	5	3.36%	0	0.0%	0.023*
Acinetobacter spp.	8	5.37%	3	3.95%	0.624
Pseudomonas spp.	13	8.72%	2	2.63%	0.039*
H. influenza	2	1.34%	0	0.0%	0.155
Total	149	100.0%	76	100.0%	

Table 1. Groups test for Bacterial isolates

Despite the fact that the majority of bacterial isolates are thought to be part of the normal oral flora, some of them have the potential to become pathogenic, particularly in people with impaired immune systems or because of other factors like diabetes. According to [22], many species of *Staphylococcus, Enterococcus*, and *Streptococcus* are present in the mouth of healthy individuals but become more prevalent in diabetes patients.

While [23] found that *Streptococcus* and *Neisseria* species were also discovered as subgingival flora of T2DM individuals with chronic periodontitis. Also, *Neisseria mucosa* was detected in the saliva of patients with T2DM but not in non-diabetics [24]. Moreover, [25] clarified that patients without gingival bleeding had higher levels of *Actinobacteria spp.*, those with diabetes or pre-diabetes with gingival hemorrhage had higher levels of *Bacteroidetes*.

Whereas, [26] found that the *Actinomyces* and *Selenomonas* taxa were substantially more prevalent in the DM group. [27] found a high prevalence of *Bacillus cereus* in non-diabetic individuals, which was confirmed by the present study.

On another hand, the relationship between bacteria and smoking habit results was shown in **Table 2**. The results showed there was no significant difference between smokers for patients and controls, which were 25(17%)/15(19.74%), as well as non-smokers, 105(71.43%)/55(72.37%) and ex-smokers 17(11.57%)/6(7.89%) in bacterial isolates foundation in the oral cavity of DM patients and control for all bacterial isolates except *Corynebacterium* spp. in non-smoking and *Pseudomonas* spp. in smoking patients recorded a significant variation. It is suggested that bacteria are found in the oral cavity in either DM patients or healthy individuals; this may be related to mouth hygiene and some eating and drinking habits. The resulting match with [28] result showed there are no differences in oral bacteria between smokers and non-smokers in diabetes patients. While [29] found that diabetic smokers often have a more varied microbiome and harmful species than non-smokers, [30] concluded that diabetic smokers have a higher chance of developing periodontal disease than non-smoking patients, which is another reason why people with DM should be persuaded not to smoke.

	Smoking habits									
		Smoking			Nonsmoking			Ex-smoking		
	Patient	Control		Patient	Control		Patient	Control		
Bacteria	n=10	n=7	p-	n=44	n=30	p-	n=6	n=3	р-	
	Ν	Ν	value	Ν	Ν	value	Ν	Ν	value	
	%	%		%	%		%	%		
Stankylogogaus snn	8	4	0.718	43	26	0.599	6	3	0.621	
siuphylococcus spp.	32.0%	26.66%		40.95%	47.27%		31.58%	50.0%		
Racillus spp	0	3	0.053	0	1	0.313	0	0	1.00	
bacinus spp.	0.0%	20.0%		0.0%	1.81%		0.0%	0.0%		
Strantococcus snn	1	1	0.724	4	1	0.400	2	0	0.135	
strepiococcus spp.	4.0%	6.67%		3.80%	1.81%		10.52%	0.0%		
Neisseria snn	0	0	1.00	2	0	0.153	0	0	1.00	
weisseriu spp.	0.0%	0.0%		1.90%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
Enterobacteriaceae	9	6	0.801	40	23	0.476	6	3	0.621	
spp.	36.0%	40.0%	0.801	38.09%	41.81%		31.58%	50.0%		
Corynebacterium	0	0	1.00	4	0	0.041*	1	0	0.304	
spp.	0.0%	0.0%	1.00	3.80%	0.0%		5.26%	0.0%		
Acinetobacter	3	1	0 560	3	2	0.498	2	0	0.135	
spp.	12.0%	6.67%	0.300	2.86%	3.63%		10.52%	0.0%		
<b>D</b> saudomonas spp	4	0	0.020*	8	2	0.227	1	0	0.304	
Pseudomonas spp. 16.	16.0%	0.0%	0.029*	7.62%	3.63%		5.26	0.0%		
U influenzae	0	0	1.00	1	0	0.315	1	0	0.304	
11 .injiuenzue	0.0%	0.0%	1.00	1.95%	0.0%	0.515	5.26%	0.0%	0.304	
Total percentage of	25	15	0.653	105	55	0.335	19	6	0.491	
patient/control	16.78%	19.74%		70.47%	72.37%		12.75%	7.89%		
*mean p≤ 0.05										

#### Table 2. Relation of oral bacterial isolates with smoking habit

The results in **Table 3** show that the number of bacteria in DM patients with an acidic pH is higher than in neutral and alkaline patients because diabetes increases the acidity in the oral cavity, which leads to the growth of bacteria. The result reveals a non-significant difference between patients and control groups. As [31] demonstrated, a reduction in salivary pH encourages the formation of acidic bacteria, which further permits the growth of acidogenic bacteria, providing an unfavorable environment for bacteria that protect the oral cavity. Therefore, the balance of the oral environment might shift in favor of harmful bacteria, which then lower salivary pH and keep the cycle going. The acidic pH in DM patients is related to microbial activity or a decline in bicarbonate with the rate of salivary flow [32].

While a small number of bacteria appear in alkaline pH, only *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Enterobacteriaceae* appear in all patients with alkaline pH because they are tolerant to alkalinity and can grow in pH from 5 to 10 [33; 34]. In the same context, some oral normal flora, such as *Streptococcus salivarius*, have the capability to prevent inflammation. The members of the bacterial community also help to adjust the oral acidity; people without caries have bacterial species that are capable of converting arginine or urea in the diet to pH-balancing ammonia. Since any disturbances in the number of such bacterial species may lead to the increased alkalinity of saliva [35], Therefore, it can be suggested that the oral microbiome increases in acidic pH more than other pHs; this shift in bacterial number may be a decline in several oral microbiomes due to using an excessive agent to manage oral hygiene.

	pH saliva									
		Acidic		Neutral			Alkaline			
	Patient	Control		Patient	Control		Patient	Control		
Bacteria	n=46	n=22	р-	n=11	n=13	р-	n=3	n=5	р-	
	Ν	Ν	value	Ν	Ν	value	Ν	Ν	value	
	%	%		%	%		%	%		
Staphylococcus	44	18		10	10		3	5		
Snn	39 64%	43 90%	0.637	32.25%	40.0%	0.648	42.86%	50.0	0.771	
Spp.	57.0170	15.9070		52.2570	10.070		12.0070	%		
<b>Bacillus Snn</b>	0	1	0 311	0	3	0.062	0	0	1.00	
Ductitus Spp.	0.0%	2.44%	0.511	0.0%	12.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
Streptococcus spp	6	1	0.358	1	1	0.782	0	0	1.00	
sirepiococcus spp.	5.41%	2.44%		3.22%	4.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
Noissoria spp	2	0	0.154	0	0	1.00	0	0	1.00	
weisseriu spp.	1.80%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
	40	18		12	0		2	5		
Enterobacteriaceae	40 26 0.40/	13 00%	0.382	28 70%	26.004	0.820	12 8604	50.0	0.771	
	50.04%	43.90%		38.70%	30.0%		42.00%	%		
Corynebacterium	3	0	0.070	2	0	0.144	0	0	1.00	
spp.	2.70%	0.0%	0.079	6.45%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
	5	2	0.024	3	1	0.387	0	0	1.00	
Acinetobacter spp.	4.51%	4.88%	0.924	9.67%	4.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
ו מ	10	1	0.070	2	1	0.831	1	0	0.280	
Pseudomonas spp. 9.09	9.0%	2.44%	0.070	6.45%	4.0%		14.28%	0.0%		
<b>XX</b> • <i>C</i> I	1	0	0 0.0% 0.315	1	0	0.310	0	0	1.00	
H .influenzae	0.90%	0.0%		3.22%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
Total of	111	41	0.050	31	25		7	10	0.0.00	
patients/control	74.5%	53.95%	0.358	20.8%	32.89%	0.553	4.7%	13.16%	0.869	
1			*m	ean $p < 0.05$	5					

Table 3. Relation of bacterial isolates with saliva pH.

Simultaneously, the results in **Tables 4**, **5** recorded no significant difference between males and females in the microbiome types in both the patient and control groups. These results were confirmed by [36], who found the oral microbiome has no significant correlation with gender.

	Male (31)		Fen	nale (29)	n voluo
Bacteria	Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value
Staphylococcus Spp.	30	37.04%	27	39.71%	0.739
Bacillus Spp.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.00
Streptococcus spp.	5	6.17%	2	2.94%	0.337
Neisseria spp.	1	1.23%	1	1.47%	0.901
Enterobacteriaceae	28	34.6%	27	39.71%	0.518
Corynebacterium spp.	2	2.47%	3	4.41%	0.521
Acinetobacter spp.	5	6.17%	3	4.41%	0.630
Pseudomonas spp.	9	11.11%	4	5.88%	0.246
H .influenzae	1	1.23%	1	1.47%	0.901
Total of patients	81	100%	68	100%	

Table 4. Relation of bacterial isolates according to gender in patients group

Whilet his result was incompatible with [37], who documented that in the fed condition, Porphyromonas and Capnocytophaga were more prevalent in the male salivary samples compared to female saliva, variations in the saliva microbiota between men and women were even more noticeable. Therefore, biological indicators and microbiota in saliva may serve as indicators of eating circumstances and gender identity. [38] clarify that overweight women or

women with diabetes affect oral health, and 98% of them have *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Veillonella parvula* in their oral. Also **Table 5** exhibits no significant difference between gender in the oral microbiome for control.

	Μ	ale (15)	Fema			
Bacteria	Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value	
Staphylococcus Spp.	15	44.12%	18	42.86%	0.912	
Bacillus Spp.	1	2.94%	3	7.14%	0.393	
Streptococcus spp.	1	2.94%	1	2.38%	0.881	
Neisseria spp.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.00	
Enterobacteriaceae	15	44.12%	17	40.48%	0.749	
Corynebacterium spp.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.00	
Acinetobacter spp.	1	2.94%	2	4.76%	0.678	
Pseudomonas spp.	1	2.94%	1	2.38%	0.881	
H .influenzae	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.00	
Total of patients	34	100%	42	100%		

Table 5. Relation of bacterial isolates according to gender in control group.

## 4. Conclusion

The present study concluded that DM as a chronic disease has a significant effect on the number of bacterial spp. in the oral cavity. Acidic saliva pH is the most predominant among patients and controls and is more directly correlated with oral bacterial percentage than other pH parameters, which may reflect bacterial activity and oral hygiene management. Additionally, the present study showed that gender is not affected by the type of oral microbiome.

## References

- 1. Mahmoud, E. A.; Al-Bakri, N. A.; Qasim, B. J. Histomorphometrical Study of Placental from Male and Female Neonates of Diabetic Women. *IHJPAS*, **2019**; *32*, *2*, 1–8.
- 2. Mikhael, E.M.; Hassali, M.A.; Hussain, S.A.; Shawky, N. Self-management knowledge and practice of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Baghdad, Iraq: a qualitative study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*, **2018**; 1-17.
- **3.** Gorial, F.I.; Sayyid, O.S.; Abd Al Obaidi, S. Prevalence of sarcopenia in sample of Iraqi patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a hospital based study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*, **2020**; *14,4*, 413-416.
- 4. Hussein, H. K. A.; Asa, d, S. S.; Farid, Y. Y. Z. Level of Serum Complements and Immunoglobulins in Iraqi Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. *IHJPAS*, 2017; 23, 2.
- **5.** Hussein, S.I.; Kaluf, A.F.; Ahmed, Y.; Ahmed, B.; Iyad, A. Determination of inhibition activity of α-Amylase enzyme, antioxidant activity, antibacterial activity and phenolic compounds by using some medical plants. *Iraqi J. Agric. Sci.*, **2020**; *51*, *1*, 411-421.
- 6. Chawla, A.; Chawla, R.; Jaggi, S. Microvasular and macrovascular complications in diabetes mellitus: Distinct or continuum. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*, **2016**; *20*, *4*, 546–551.
- 7. Kilian, M. The oral microbiome-friend or foe. EJOS, 2018; 126, 5-12.
- 8. Lu, M.; Xuan, S.; Wang, Z. Oral microbiota: A new view of body health. *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness*, 2019; *8*, *1*, 8-15.

- **9.** Zbinden, A.; Bostanci, N.; Belibasakis, G.N. The novel species Streptococcus tigurinus and its association with oral infection. *Virulence*, **2015**; *6*, *3*, 177-182.
- 10. Almeida-Santos, A.; Martins-Mendes, D.; Gayà-Vidal, M.; Pérez-Pardal, L.; Beja-Pereira, A. Characterization of the oral microbiome of medicated type-2 diabetes patients. *Front Microbiol*, 2021; 12, 610370.
- 11. Lin, D.; Hu, Q.; Yang, L.; Zeng, X.; Xiao, Y.; Wang, D.; Dai, W.; Lu, H.; Fang, J.; Tang, Z.; Wang, Z. The niche-specialist and age-related oral microbial ecosystem: crosstalk with host immune cells in homeostasis. *Microb Genom*, 2022; *8*, *6*, 000811.
- 12. Amer, Y.; Saeed, L.M.; Naser, N. Biochemical Evaluation of Saliva in Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus Children in Hilla City-Iraq. *Iraqi J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2019; *11*, *2*, 627-630.
- **13.** Kumar, P.S.; Matthews, C.R.; Joshi, V.; de Jager, M.; Aspiras, M. Tobacco smoking affects bacterial acquisition and colonization in oral biofilms. *Infection and Immunity*, **2011**; *79*, *11*, 4730-4738.
- **14.** Mandel, A.L.; Peyrot des Gachons, C.; Plank, K.L.; Alarcon, S.; Breslin, P.A. Individual differences in AMY1 gene copy number, salivary α-amylase levels, and the perception of oral starch. *PloS one*, **2010**; *5*, *10*, 13352.
- **15.** Yang, Z.M.; Chen, L.H.; Zhang, M.; Lin, J.; Zhang, J.; Chen, W.W.; Yang, X.R. Age differences of salivary alpha-amylase levels of basal and acute responses to citric acid stimulation between Chinese children and adults. *Front Physiol*, **2015**; *6*, 340
- 16. Ghezzi, E.M.; Ship, J.A. Aging and secretory reserve capacity of major salivary glands. J. Dent. Res, 2003; 82, 10, 844-848.
- 17. Navazesh, M.; Kumar, S. K. Measuring salivary flow: challenges and opportunities. *J Am Dent Assoc*, 2008; 35–40.
- 18. Holt, J.J.; Krieg, N.R.; Sneath, B.H.; Staley, A.J.T.; Williams, S.T. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. *Williams and Wilkins*, 1994; 175-248.
- **19.** Prescott, L. M.; Harley, J.P.; Klein, D.A. Microbiology. 6th International Edition. *Mcgraw-Hill Publishing Company*, **2005**; 652-668.
- **20.** Daniel, W.W.; Cross, L.C. Biostatistics, A Foundation for analysis in the health sciences., John wiely and sons. New York, **2013**, 958.
- **21.** Rohani, B. Oral manifestations in patients with diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes*, **2019**; *10*, 9, 485–489.
- 22. Coulter, W.A.; Murray, S.D.; Kinirons, M.J. The use of a concentrated oral rinse culture technique to sample oral candida and lactobacilli in children, and the relationship between candida and lactobacilli levels and dental caries experience: a pilot study. *Int J Paediatr Dent*, **1993**; *3*,*1*, 17-21.
- **23.** Hernández, R.A.; Marquéz Corona, M.L.; Pontigo-Loyola, A.P.; Ximenez-Fyvie, L.A. Subgingival microbiota of an indigenous Mexican population with chronic periodontitis and T2DM. *J Dent Health Oral Disord Ther*, **2017**; *7*, *2*, 1-11.
- 24. Kampoo, K. Bacteriological investigations of the human oral microbiota in health and disease. Doctoral dissertation, University of Manchester, 2011.
- 25. Matsha, T.E.; Prince, Y.; Davids, S.; Chikte, U.; Erasmus, R.T.; Kengne, A.P.; Davison, G.M. Oral microbiome signatures in diabetes mellitus and periodontal disease. *J. Dent. Res.*, 2020; 99, 6, 658-665.
- 26. Ogawa, T.; Honda-Ogawa, M.; Ikebe, K.; Notomi, Y.; Iwamoto, Y.; Shirobayashi, I.; Hata, S.; Kibi, M.; Masayasu, S.; Sasaki, S.; Kawabata, S. Characterizations of oral microbiota in elderly nursing home residents with diabetes. *J. Oral Sci.*, 2017; 16-0722.

- 27. Ali, T.; Rumnaz, A.; Urmi, U.L.; Nahar, S.; Rana, M.; Sultana, F.; Iqbal, S.; Rahman, M.M.; Rahman, N.A.A.; Islam, S.; Haque, M. Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus Individuals Carry Different Periodontal Bacteria. *Pesqui Bras Odontopediatria Clin Integr*, 2021; 21.
- 28. Almeida-Santos, A.; Martins-Mendes, D.; Gayà-Vidal, M.; Pérez-Pardal, L.; Beja-Pereira, A. Characterization of the oral microbiome of medicated type-2 diabetes patients. *Front Microbiol*, 2021; 12, 610370.
- **29.** Takeshita, T.; Kageyama, S.; Furuta, M.; Tsuboi, H.; Takeuchi, K.; Shibata, Y.; Shimazaki, Y.; Akifusa, S.; Ninomiya, T.; Kiyohara, Y.; Yamashita, Y. Bacterial diversity in saliva and oral health-related conditions: the Hisayama Study. *Sci. Rep*, **2016**; *6*, 1, 1-11.