



On G_α^* -open sets

Tabarak. A. Ali^{1*} , R. B. Esmael²  and Abdelaziz E. Radwan³ 

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science (Ibn Al Haitham), University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

*Corresponding Author.

Received: 15 April 2024

Accepted: 10 October 2024

Published: 20 April 2025

doi.org/10.30526/38.2.3938

Abstract

This study investigates new concepts in grill topological spaces by employing specified sets in which the α -open sets are defined. Many scholars, such as Choquet, who is considered the first to lay the foundation stone for the concept of a grill and formulate its definition, were interested in studying it. After that, many attempts have been made to study the properties associated with this concept and to understand the relationships among these properties. There are several types of grill topological spaces, including discrete and cofinite topologies. Properties of this set and certain relationships are studied, as well as examining a group of functions, like open, closed, and continuous functions, determining their relation with each other and giving examples and properties associated with this set. This shall serve as the beginning of examining numerous topological properties with this set.

Keywords: Grill, α -o sets, G_α^* -o set, α -c sets, G_α^* -of, G_α^* -cf.

1. Introduction

Choquet first proposed the idea of a grill on a topological space in 1947(1-4). A grill is a collection of non-empty subsets of $(\wp, \tau)$. If i. $\mu \in \mathbb{G}$ and $\mu \subseteq \nu$ implies $\nu \in \mathbb{G}$, ii. $\mu, \nu \subseteq \wp$ and $\mu \cup \nu \in \mathbb{G}$, then $\mu \in \mathbb{G}$ or $\nu \in \mathbb{G}$. A grill topological space is denoted by $(\wp, \tau, \mathbb{G})$ (5-7). Scholars investigated topological concepts and defined a unique topology via a grill. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\wp) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\wp)$ be a mapping, and it is referred to as $\varphi(\mu) = \{x \in \wp: \mu \cap U \in \mathbb{G} \text{ for every } U \in \tau_\wp \text{ for every } \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\wp) \text{ and } \tau_\wp \text{ which is all open set containing } x\}$. Suppose that $\Theta: \mathcal{P}(\wp) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\wp)$ is a mapping, and it is referred to as $\Theta(\mu) = \mu \cup \varphi(\mu)$ for every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\wp)$ (8-12). The map Θ satisfies the closure axioms of Kuratowski (13-15)

- i. $\Theta(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- ii. when $\mu \subseteq \nu$, then $\Theta(\mu) \subseteq \Theta(\nu)$.
- iii. when $\mu \subseteq \wp$, then $\Theta(\Theta(\mu)) = \Theta(\mu)$.



iv. when $\mu, \nu \subseteq \wp$, then $\Theta(\mu \cup \nu) = \Theta(\mu) \cup \Theta(\nu)$. There are several types of grill topological spaces, including discrete and cofinite topologies. We can locate $\tau_{\mathbb{G}}$ in a grill $\mathbb{G}$ on a topological space $(\wp, \tau)$ via the base given by the following $B(\tau_{\wp}, \wp) = \{\nu - \mu : \nu \in \tau, \mu \notin \mathbb{G}\}$. There exists a unique topology with the formula $\tau_{\mathbb{G}} = \{u \subseteq \wp : \Psi(\wp - u) = (\wp - u)\}$, for any $\mu \subseteq \wp$. $\Psi(\mu) = \mu \cup \wp(\mu) = \tau_{\mathbb{G}} - \text{cl}(\mu)$ and $\tau \subseteq \tau_{\mathbb{G}}$ (4). Every set belongs to $\tau_{\mathbb{G}}$ is $\mathbb{G}$ -open sets, and its complement is $\mathbb{G}$ -closed sets; the family of all $\mathbb{G}$ -closed is denoted by (16-19). For instance, suppose that $(\wp, \tau)$ is a topological space, if $\mathbb{G} = \mathcal{P}(\wp) \setminus \{\wp\}$, then $\tau_{\mathbb{G}} = \tau$.

Let $(\wp, \tau)$ be a topological space; suppose that $\mu \subseteq \wp$, if $\mu \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{cl}(\text{Int}(\mu)))$, then μ is α -open set. Suppose $\nu \subseteq \wp$ it is α -closed set if $(\wp - \nu)$ is an α -open set. The collection of all α -open (respectively, α -closed) sets in $(\wp, \tau)$ will be symbolized by τ_{α} (respectively, $\alpha\mathcal{C}(\wp)$). Many academics have employed these combinations with the aim of producing novel generalizations (20,21). In this study, $\text{Int}(\mu)$ is employed to represent the interior(μ), and the symbol $\text{cl}(\mu)$ represents a closure of the set μ (22-25).

2. Materials and Methods On G_{α}^* -open sets

Definition 2.1: let $(\wp, \tau, \mathbb{G})$ is a G.T.S, $\varsigma \in \tau$. The closure grill alpha of ς denoted by $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{G}\alpha}(\varsigma)$ is defined by;

$$\text{cl}_{\mathbb{G}\alpha}(\varsigma) = \bigcap \{V \subseteq \wp : V \text{ is a closed set in } \tau_{\mathbb{G}\alpha} \text{ whenever } \varsigma \subseteq V\}.$$

Definition 2.2: let A be a subset of $(\wp, \tau, \mathbb{G})$, A is a G_{α} -open set if there exists $\varsigma \in \tau$; $\varsigma \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_{\alpha}}(\varsigma)$. The complement of G_{α} -open set is the G_{α} -closed set, the set of all G_{α} -open set denoted by G_{α} - $\mathcal{O}(\wp)$ and the complement denoted by G_{α} - $\mathcal{C}(\wp)$.

Definition 2.3: A sub set A of a grill topological space $(\wp, \tau, \mathbb{G})$ is said to be α -open set via grill if there exists $\varsigma \in \tau$; $\varsigma - A \notin \mathbb{G}$ and $A - \text{Intcl}_{G_{\alpha}}(\varsigma) \notin \mathbb{G}$. And denoted by G_{α}^* -open. $\wp - A$ is

G_{α}^* -closed, and the set of all G_{α}^* -open presently by $G_{\alpha}^*\mathcal{O}(\wp)$. the set of all G_{α}^* -closed presently by $G_{\alpha}^*\mathcal{C}(\wp)$.

Example 2.4: Let $(\wp, \tau, \mathbb{G})$ is a G.T.S, $\wp = \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\}$, $\tau = \{\wp, \emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_1\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2\}\}$, $\mathbb{G} = \{\varsigma \subseteq \wp; \mathcal{L}_2 \in \wp\}$, $\tau_{\mathbb{G}} = \{\wp, \emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1\}, \{\mathcal{L}_2\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2\}\}$,

$$\tau_{G_{\alpha}} = \{\wp, \emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1\}, \{\mathcal{L}_2\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2\}\}$$

$$F_{G_{\alpha}} = \{\wp, \emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1\}, \{\mathcal{L}_3\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_3\}\}$$

$$G_{\alpha}^*\mathcal{O}(\wp) = \{\wp, \emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_1\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_3\}\}.$$

Proposition 2.5.

i. Each open set is also a G_{α}^* -open set.

ii. Each closed set is a G_{α}^* -closed set.

Proof. (i) Suppose $A \in \tau$, let $\varsigma \in \tau$, such that $\varsigma \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_{\alpha}}(\varsigma)$, whenever $\varsigma = A \in \tau$ so, $\varsigma - A = \emptyset \notin \mathbb{G}$ $\wedge A - \text{Intcl}_{G_{\alpha}}(A) = \emptyset \notin \mathbb{G}$.

(ii) Let A is a closed set, thus $A^c \in \tau$, $A^c \in G_{\alpha}^*\mathcal{O}(\wp)$, then $A \in G_{\alpha}^*\mathcal{C}(\wp)$.

Proposition 2.6. whenever A is a G_{α} -open set, then A is a G_{α}^* -open set.

Proof. Suppose A is a G_{α} -open, then there exists ς is an open set such that $\varsigma \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_{\alpha}}(\varsigma)$, thus $\varsigma - A = \emptyset \wedge A - \text{Intcl}_{G_{\alpha}}(\varsigma) = \emptyset$ and $\varsigma - A \notin \mathbb{G} \wedge A - \text{Intcl}_{G_{\alpha}}(\varsigma) \notin \mathbb{G}$. then A is a G_{α}^* -open set.

Proposition 2.7. In G.T.S $(\wp, \tau, \mathbb{G})$, A is an G_{α} -o set if and only if A is a G_{α}^* -o set whenever $\mathbb{G} = \mathcal{P}(\wp) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Proof. Suppose A is a G_α -open set, then A is a G_α^* -open set by (theorem 2.5). Conversely, let A is a G_α^* -open set so, there exists $\varsigma \in \tau$ such that $\varsigma - A \notin G \wedge A - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) \notin G$. Thus, $\varsigma - A = \emptyset \wedge A - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) = \emptyset$ then $\varsigma \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma)$, so $\varsigma \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma)$. Therefore A is a G_α -open.

Note. G_α^* -o with α -o set are independent.

Example 2.8: Suppose $(\mathcal{Q}, \tau, G)$ is any Grill topology and $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\}$, $\tau = \{\mathcal{Q}, \emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_1\}\}$, $G = \{u \subseteq \mathcal{Q}; \mathcal{L}_1 \in \varsigma\}$, $\tau_G = \{\mathcal{Q}, \emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1\}, \{\mathcal{L}_2\}, \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2\}\}$, $G_\alpha^* o(\mathcal{Q}) = P(\mathcal{Q})$, and $\alpha o(\mathcal{Q}) = \{\varsigma \subseteq \mathcal{Q}; \mathcal{L}_1 \in \varsigma\}$, hence it is clear that $\{\mathcal{L}_2\} \in G_\alpha^* o(\mathcal{Q})$ but $\{\mathcal{L}_2\} \notin \tau_\alpha$.

Proposition 2.9: Suppose A is a G_α^* -open set and $H \subseteq X$ such that $A \subseteq H \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma)$ for each $\varsigma \in \tau$, then H is a G_α^* -open set.

Proof. Whenever A is a G_α^* -open set, then $\exists \varsigma \in \tau$ such that $\varsigma - A \notin G \wedge A - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) \notin G$, and since $A \subseteq H$, so $\varsigma - H \subseteq \varsigma - A \notin G$, then there exist $\varsigma \in \tau$ such that $\varsigma - H \notin G$, and since $H \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma)$ for each $\varsigma \in \tau$, then $H - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) = \emptyset \notin G$, therefore H is a G_α^* -open set.

Proposition 2.10: Suppose that $(\mathcal{Q}, \tau, G)$ is a grill topological space and A is a sub set of $\mathcal{Q}$. If $G = P(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. A is a G_α^* -o set if and only if A is α -o set.

Proof. Suppose A is a G_α^* -open set, there exists $\mathcal{Q} \in \tau$; $\mathcal{Q} - A \notin G$ and $A - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) \notin G$, $\varsigma - A = \emptyset \notin G$ and $A - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) = \emptyset$, $\varsigma \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma)$, $\varsigma \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma)$, and since $\text{cl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) = \text{cl}_G(\varsigma)$, Then $\varsigma \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_G(\varsigma)$. Therefore A is an α -open set. Conversely, let A be an α -open set it is clear that $\varsigma \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_G(\varsigma)$, since $\varsigma \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_G(\varsigma)$, then $\varsigma - A = \emptyset$ and $A - \text{Intcl}_G(\varsigma) = \emptyset \notin G$, since $\text{cl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma) = \text{cl}_G(\varsigma)$, $\varsigma \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma)$ So A is a G_α^* -open set.

Lemma 2.11: $(\cup_{t \in f} (\text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(A_t))) \subseteq (\text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\cup_{t \in f} A_t))$

Proof. $A_t \subseteq \cup_{t \in f} (A_t)$,

so $\text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(A_t) \subseteq \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\cup_{t \in f} (A_t))$,

$\cup_{t \in f} (\text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(A_t)) \subseteq (\text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\cup_{t \in f} A_t))$.

Proposition 2.12: The union of the collectin of G_α^* -o set also G_α^* -o.

Proof. Suppose that A_i is a G_α^* -open set for each i to show $\cup_{i \in f} A_i$ is G_α^* -o, since A_i is G_α^* -o set, then there exists $\varsigma_i \in \tau$, $(\varsigma_i - A_i) \notin G$, and $(A_i - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma_i)) \notin G$. Now, since $(\varsigma_i - A_i) \subseteq \cup_{i \in f} (\varsigma_i - A_i)$ and $(\varsigma_i - A_i) \notin G \forall i$, then by condition two of definition of the grill

$\cup_{i \in f} (\varsigma_i - A_i) \notin G$ but $(\cup_{i \in f} \varsigma_i - \cup_{i \in f} A_i) \subseteq \cup_{i \in f} (\varsigma_i - A_i) \notin G$. Therefore, $(\cup_{i \in f} \varsigma_i - \cup_{i \in f} A_i) \notin G$ and $\cup_{i \in f} \varsigma_i \in \tau$. Now, to proof $(\cup_{i \in f} A_i - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\cup_{i \in f} \varsigma_i)) \notin G$, hence $(A_i - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma_i)) \notin G$ for each i so, by condition two of the definition of the grill. $\cup_{i \in f} (A_i - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma_i)) \notin G$ and since

$(\cup_{i \in f} A_i - \cup_{i \in f} (\text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma_i))) \subseteq \cup_{i \in f} (A_i - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\varsigma_i)) \notin G$, therefore $(\cup_{i \in f} A_i - \text{Intcl}_{G_\alpha}(\cup_{i \in f} (\varsigma_i))) \notin G$ by (lemma 2.11) $\cup_{i \in f} A_i$ is a G_α^* -open set.

Note: A collection of every G_α^* -o sets is supra topology.

3. Some kinds of G_α^* -o Functions

Definition 3.1: Suppose $f: (\mathcal{Q}, \tau, G) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q}', \tau', G')$ is a function then f is :

- (1) G_α^* -open function symbolizes " G_α^* -o f " if $f(s) \in G_\alpha^* o(\vartheta)$ since $s \in G_\alpha^* o(\mathcal{Q})$.
- (2) G_α^{**} -open function symbolizes " G_α^{**} -o f " if $f(s) \in G_\alpha^{**} o(\vartheta)$ since $s \in \tau$.
- (3) G_α^{***} -open function symbolizes " G_α^{***} -o f " if $f(s) \in \tau'$ since $s \in G_\alpha^* o(\mathcal{Q})$.

Proposition 3.2: Let $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (\vartheta, \tau', G')$ be a function then

- (1) f is an o-f since f is a G_α^{***} -o f.
- (2) f is G_α^* -o f since f is a G_α^{***} -o f.
- (3) f is G_α^{**} -o f since f is a G_α^* -o f.
- (4) f is G_α^{**} -o f whenever f is an o-f.

Proof. (1) Suppose $\zeta \in \tau$ by (proposition 2.5 (i)), $\zeta \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$. Since f is a G_α^{***} -o f, $f(\zeta)$ is an open set in (ϑ, τ') . Hence, f is an o-f.

(2) Suppose $u \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$ since f is a G_α^{***} -o f then, $f(u)$ is an open set in (ϑ, τ') . By (proposition 2.5 (i)), $f(u) \in G_\alpha^*(\vartheta)$. Hence, f is a G_α^* -o f.

(3) Let $\zeta \in \tau$ by (proposition 2.5 (i)), $\zeta \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$. Since f is a G_α^* -o f, then $f(\zeta) \in G_\alpha^*(\vartheta)$. so f is a G_α^{**} -o f.

(4) Let $\zeta \in \tau$ and since f is an o-f so that $f(\zeta) \in \tau'$. By (proposition 2.5 (i)), $\zeta \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$. So f is a G_α^{**} -o f.

The reverse direction of (proposition 3.2) is not true in genera as the examples.

Example 3.3: Let $Q = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$, $\tau = \{Q, \phi, \{L_2\}\}$, $G = P(Q) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (Q, \tau, G)$, $f(L) = L$, $L \in Q$. It is clear that f is an open function, $G_\alpha^*(Q) = \{\zeta \subseteq Q; L_2 \in \zeta\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$, there exist $\{L_1, L_2\} \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$, $f(\{L_1, L_2\}) \notin \tau$. Then f is not G_α^{***} -o f.

Example 3.4: Let $Q = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$, $\tau = \{Q, \phi, \{L_1\}, \{L_1, L_2\}\}$, $G = \{u \subseteq Q; L_3 \in u\}$, $\tau_G = P(Q)$, $G_\alpha^{**}(Q) = P(Q)$, $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (Q, \tau, G)$, $f(L_1) = \{L_2\}$, $f(L_2) = \{L_1\}$, $f(L_3) = \{L_3\}$. We observe that the function is G_α^* -o f and G_α^{**} -o f but it is not open and not G_α^{***} -o f since $f(L_1) = \{L_2\} \notin \tau$.

Example 3.5: Let $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (Q, \tau, G)$, $Q = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$,

$\tau = \{Q, \phi, \{L_1, L_2\}\}$, $G = P(Q) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \cup \{L_1\}$, $f(L_2) = \{L_3\}$, $f(L_1) = \{L_2\}$, $f(L_3) = \{L_1\}$,

$\tau_G = \{Q, \phi, \{L_1, L_2\}, \{L_2, L_3\}, \{L_2\}\}$,

$G_\alpha^*(Q) = \{Q, \phi, \{L_1, L_2\}, \{L_2, L_3\}, \{L_2\}, \{L_1\}\}$. f is a G_α^{**} of but f is not G_α^* o f since there exists $\{L_2, L_3\} \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$, but $f(\{L_2, L_3\}) = \{L_1, L_3\} \notin G_\alpha^*(Q)$, and its not G_α^{***} o f since there exists $\{L_2\} \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$ but $f(\{L_2\}) = \{L_3\} \notin \tau$.




Figure 1. Functions via G_α^* -o f

Definition 3.6: Suppose $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (\vartheta, \tau', G')$ is a function then :

- (1) G_α^* -closed function, denoted by " G_α^* -c f" if $f(\zeta) \in G_\alpha^*(\vartheta)$ since $\zeta \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$.
- (2) G_α^{**} -closed function, denoted by " G_α^{**} -c f" if $f(u) \in G_\alpha^*(\vartheta)$ since ζ is a closed set in (Q, τ) .
- (3) G_α^{***} -c f, denoted by " G_α^{***} -c f" if $f(\zeta)$ is a closed set in (ϑ, τ') since $\zeta \in G_\alpha^*(Q)$.

Proposition 3.7: Suppose that $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (\vartheta, \tau', G')$ is a function

- (1) f is a c-f since f is a G_α^{***} -c f.

(2) f is $G_\alpha^* -c$ f since f is a $G_\alpha^{***} -c$ f .

(3) f is $G_\alpha^{**} -c$ f since f is a $G_\alpha^* -c$ f .

(4) f is $G_\alpha^{**} -c$ f since f is a c - f .

Proof by the same way of proposition 3.2 the proof has been completed.

The reverse direction of this proposition is not true. See (Example 3.3) (Example 3.4) and (Example 3.5) .

Remark 3.8: If f is onto function then:

(1) $G_\alpha^* -c$ f , $G_\alpha^* -o$ f are equivalents.

(2) $G_\alpha^{**} -c$ f , $G_\alpha^{**} -o$ f are equivalents.

(3) $G_\alpha^{***} -c$ f , $G_\alpha^{***} -o$ f are equivalents.




Figure 2. functions via $G_\alpha^* -c$ function

4. Some kinds of G_α^* - Continuous Functions.

Definition 4.1: Suppose $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (\vartheta, \tau', G')$ is a function then f is said to be

1. G_α^* - cont function, denoted by " G_α^* -cont f " if $f^{-1}(\varsigma) \in G_\alpha^* o(Q)$ for each $\varsigma \in \tau'$.
2. Strongly G_α^* -continuous function, denoted by " s G_α^* -cont f " if $f^{-1}(\varsigma) \in \tau$, for each $\varsigma \in G_\alpha^* o(\vartheta)$.
3. G_α^* -irresolute function, denoted by " G_α^* -irr f " if $f^{-1}(\varsigma) \in G_\alpha^* o(Q)$, for each $\varsigma \in G_\alpha^* o(Y)$.

Theorem 4.2: Suppose that $f: (Q, \tau, G) \rightarrow (\vartheta, \tau', G')$ is a function denoted that:

1. f is G_α^* -irr f whenever f is a s G_α^* -cont f
2. f is cont- f whenever f is a s G_α^* -cont f .
3. f is G_α^* -cont- f whenever f is a cont- f .
4. f is a G_α^* -cont- f whenever f is a G_α^* -irr f .

Proof.

1. let $\varsigma \in G_\alpha^* o(\vartheta)$ since f is a s G_α^* -cont f , then $f^{-1}(\varsigma) \in \tau$ by (proposition 2.5(i)) $f^{-1}(\varsigma) \in G_\alpha^* o(Q)$. This implies f is a G_α^* -irr f .
2. Suppose that ς is an open set in (ϑ, τ') . By (proposition 2.5(i)), $\varsigma \in G_\alpha o(\vartheta)$ since f is s G_α^* -cont f , then $f^{-1}(\varsigma)$ is an open set in (Q, τ) , Implies that f is a cont- f .
3. Let $\varsigma \in \tau'$ since f is a cont- f then $f^{-1}(\varsigma)$ is an open set in (Q, τ) . By (proposition 2.5(i)) $f^{-1}(\varsigma) \in G_\alpha^* o(Q)$ so f is G_α^* -cont f .
4. Let $\varsigma \in \tau$ by (proposition 2.5 (i)), $\varsigma \in G_\alpha^* o(Q)$ since f is G_α^* -irr f . Then, $f^{-1}(\varsigma) \in G_\alpha^* o(Q)$, so f is G_α^* -cont f .

The inverse of this theorem is not true by the example.

Example 4.3: Suppose $f: (\mathcal{Q}, \tau, G) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q}', \tau', G')$ is a function such that $f(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$ for each $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{Q}$ where $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, \{\mathcal{L}_2\}\}, G = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}, G' = \{\varsigma; \mathcal{L}_2 \in \varsigma\},$

$$G_{\alpha}^*o(\emptyset) = \{\varsigma; \mathcal{L}_2 \in \varsigma\} \cup \{\emptyset\}, G_{\alpha}^*o(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q}).$$

So that, f is G_{α}^* -continuous and continuous function but it is not $G_{\alpha}^*o(\mathcal{Q})$ -irresolute and it is not $G_{\alpha}^*o(\mathcal{Q})$ -strongly continuous since there exists $\{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\} \in G_{\alpha}^{**}(\mathcal{Q})$ but $f^{-1}\{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\} = \{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\} \notin G_{\alpha}^*o(\mathcal{Q})$

and $\{\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3\} \notin \tau$.




Figure 3. Continuity via G_{α}^* -open set

5. Conclusions

Through our research, unique characteristics was found that this group has. Also, investigated the continuity of this group, identified the relationships between the groups associated with it, and illustrated these relationships using diagrams. As described earlier. In the future, we can also study the properties of the group we selected in other spaces, such as topological fuzzy space or topological nano space, and we can also study the relationships between the properties of this group. In the future, we can study some properties of coverage and correlation across open groups.

Acknowledgment

Our researcher extends his Sincere thanks to the editor and members of the preparatory committee of the Ibn AL-Haitham Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences.

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Funding

There is no funding for the article.

References

1. Choquet G. Sur les notions de filtre et de grille. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris. 1947;224:171–172.
2. Roy B, Mukherjee MN. On a typical topology induced by a grill. Soochow Journal of Mathematics. 2007;33:771–786.

3. Njåstad O. On some classes of nearly open sets. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. 1965;15:961–970. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1965.15.961>
4. Al-Omari A, Noiri T. Decompositions of continuity via grills. *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*. 2011;4:33–46.
5. Mahmood AJ, Nasir AI. Connectedness via generalizations of semi-open sets. *Ibn AL-Haitham Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*. 2022;35:235–240. <https://doi.org/10.30526/35.4.2877>
6. El-Monsef MEA, Abd El-Monsef AM. Some generalized forms of compactness and closedness. *Delta Journal of Science*. 2012;7:2767–2782.
7. Hatir E, Jafari S. On some new classes of sets and a new decomposition of continuity via grills. *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies*. 2010;3:33–40.
8. Al-Hawary T, Al-Omari A. ω -continuous like mappings. *Al-Manarah Journal*. 2007;13:135–147.
9. Esmaeel RB, Nasir AI. Some properties of $\tilde{I}$ -semi-open soft sets with respect to soft ideals. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 2016;111:545–562. <https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v111i4.2>
10. Al-Hawary T, Al-Omari A. Between open and omega-open sets. *Questions and Answers in General Topology*. 2006;24:67.
11. Al-Hawary T. On generalized preopen sets. *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*. 2013;32:47–60. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-09172013000100004>
12. Al-Hawary T. p -closed sets. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*. 2013;29–36.
13. Mustafa, M.O.; Esmaeel, R.B. Some Properties in Grill-Topological Open and Closed Sets. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 2021, 1897, 12038. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1897/1/012038>
14. Esmaeel RB, Mohammad RJ. On nano soft J -semi- g -closed sets. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2020;1591:012071. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1591/1/012071>
15. Levine N. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. *The American Mathematical Monthly*. 1963;70:36. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2312781>
16. Mandal D, Mukherjee MN. On a class of sets via grill: A decomposition of continuity. *Analele Științifice ale Universității Ovidius Constanța, Seria Matematică*. 2012;20:307–316. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10309-012-0020-9>
17. Mashhour S, El-Monsef MEA, El-Deep SN. On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings. *Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical Society of Egypt*. 1982;53:47–53.
18. Bro Y, Mukherjee MN. Concerning topologies induced by principal grills. *Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza" din Iași - Matematică*. 2009;55:285–294.
19. Thron WJ. Proximity structures and grills. *Mathematische Annalen*. 1973;206:35–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01431527>
20. Nasef AA. *Ideals in general topology*. Tanta University, Egypt; 1992.
21. Vaidyanathaswamy V. The localization theory in set topology. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences - Section A*. 1945;20:51–61.
22. Zahan I, Nasrin R. An introduction to fuzzy topological spaces. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*. 2021;11:483–501. <https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2021.115034>
23. Ibrahim HZ. Bc -open sets in topological spaces. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*. 2013;3:34–40. <https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2013.31007>
24. AL-Khafaji MAK, Hussan MSM. General type-2 fuzzy topological spaces. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*. 2018;8:771–781. <https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2018.89047>
25. Esmaeel RB, Hammood AA. Soft convergence via soft- $\downarrow$ -pre-generalized-open sets. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2021;1879:011001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1879/1/011001>