



## Study of Cubic Q-ideals in Q-algebras

Hussein A. Habib<sup>1</sup>  , and Fatema F. Kareem<sup>2\*</sup>  

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences (Ibn Al-Haitham),  
University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

\*Corresponding Author.

Received: 22/ October /2025

Accepted: 2/February/2026

Published: 20/April/2026

[doi.org/10.30526/39.2.4311](https://doi.org/10.30526/39.2.4311)



© 2026. The Author(s). Published by College of Education for Pure Science (Ibn Al-Haitham), University of Baghdad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### Abstract

The concept of cubic ideals is an important generalization in an algebraic structure. In this work, we introduce the notion of a cubic Q-sub-algebra and a cubic Q-ideal within a Q-algebra. Some properties of a cubic Q-ideal with a cubic BCK-ideal are studied, and a few results of these ideals are discussed. Such that every cubic Q-ideal is a cubic BCK-ideal, and the opposite is not true. Also, the concept of the level set of a Q-algebra as a cubic set is defined, and some important results associated with it have been shown. The relationship between a cubic Q-ideal and a Q-ideal with a cubic Q-sub-algebra and a Q-sub-algebra by the level set is proved. Finally, the image and the inverse image of cubic Q-ideals under a homomorphism are introduced, and a few theorems are proven, such that the image and the inverse image of a cubic Q-ideal are also cubic Q-ideals by the homomorphism mapping.

**Keywords:** Q-algebra, cubic Q-ideal, cubic BCK-ideal, homomorphism map.

### 1. Introduction

The two new classes of abstract algebras, BCK and BCI-algebras, were introduced in 1966. BCI-algebra is a generalization of BCK-algebra. Various Mathematicians have studied these algebras extensively, and as a result, a lot of literature has emerged. So, many mathematical applications papers presented this structure in different ways.<sup>1-4</sup>

The structure of the BCH-algebra was studied, and it was shown that the class of BCI-algebra is a subclass of BCH-algebra. And then, it has been proven that the algebraic structure of a BH-algebra is a generalization of the BCH-algebra. After that, A Q-algebra was introduced as a generalization of BCK/BCI/BCH-algebras and several research papers have studied this structure using various algebraic concepts, including the idea of fuzzy Q-ideal, two notions of atoms and the strong Atoms for Q-ideals, new types of pseudo ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy pseudo ideals and a neutrosophic Q-ideal on Q-algebras<sup>5-10</sup>.

The concept of cubic ideals is an important generalization in algebraic structures. Cubic sets of BCK/BCI-algebras and some of the relationships between cubic subalgebras and cubic ideals are applied. Also, cubic KU-algebras and several significant results were introduced by some authors. Following this, the idea of cubic ideals of semigroups in KU-algebra and various types of ideals related to this framework are introduced. Additionally, two concepts, the cubic implicative and intuitionistic implicative ideals, were studied<sup>11-14</sup>. Also, many papers studied a cubic set in different ways, including the study of cubic dual ideals in BCK-Algebras and also the study of cubic intuitionistic fuzzy Topology, cubic ideals in semihypergroups, cubic ideals of TM-algebras, and cubic on B-algebras.<sup>15-19</sup>

In this paper, a cubic Q-ideal on a Q-algebra was introduced. Some properties related to a cubic Q-ideal and a BCK-ideal are studied, and new results of these ideals are discussed. Such that every Q-ideal is a BCK-ideal, and the opposite is not true. The concept of a cubic Q-sub-algebra

is defined, and some important results associated with it have been proven. Also, the level set of a cubic Q-ideal is determined, and the relationship between a cubic Q-ideal and a Q-ideal by level set is studied. Finally, a new definition of cubic Q-ideals under a homomorphism is introduced, and a few theorems are discussed, such that the image and the inverse image of a homomorphism mapping for a cubic Q-ideal are a cubic Q-ideal in  $\aleph'$  and  $\aleph$ , respectively.

## 2. Methodology and Methods

In this section, we review essential definitions, examples, and theoretical results that form the foundation of our study. These preliminary concepts will be used to develop and prove the main results regarding cubic Q-ideals and cubic Q-subalgebras in Q-algebras.

**2.1. Definition** A BCK-algebra is defined as a non-empty set  $\aleph$  with a binary relation  $*$  and a constant 0 satisfying: For all  $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph$

$$BCI_1 : [(\theta * \lambda) * (\theta * \epsilon)] * (\epsilon * \lambda) = 0,$$

$$BCI_2 : (\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) * \lambda = 0,$$

$$BCI_3 : \theta * \theta = 0,$$

$$BCI_4 : \theta * \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \lambda * \theta = 0 \text{ implies } \theta = \lambda \text{ and}$$

$$BCI_5 : 0 * \theta = 0.$$

For example, if  $\aleph = \{0,1,2\}$  is a set and  $*$  is a binary operation defined as follows:

**Table 1.** A BCK-algebra

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	2
1	1	0	0
2	2	2	0

Then  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is a BCK-algebra.<sup>20</sup>

**2.2. Definition** In a BCK-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ , the non-empty subset  $\psi$  of  $\aleph$  is called a BCK-ideal if it satisfies the following conditions: For any  $\theta, \lambda \in \aleph$

I.  $0 \in \psi$

II.  $\theta * \lambda \in \psi$  and  $\lambda \in \psi$  imply  $\theta \in \psi$ .<sup>20</sup>

**2.3. Definition** The structure of a Q-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is a nonempty subset  $\aleph$  and a constant “0” with a binary operation “\*” the following is achieved:

I.  $\theta * \theta = 0$

II.  $\theta * 0 = \theta$ ,

III.  $(\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon = (\theta * \epsilon) * \lambda, \forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph$ .

In a Q-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ , a binary operation  $\leq$  is defined by  $\theta \leq \lambda$  if and only if  $\theta * \lambda = 0$ .<sup>7</sup>

**2.4. Example** Let  $\aleph = \{0, z, x\}$  be a set as shown in the table below.

**Table 2.** A Q-algebra

*	0	z	x
0	0	0	0
z	z	0	0
x	x	0	0

Then  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is a Q-algebra.<sup>7</sup>

**2.5. Proposition** If  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is a Q-algebra, then  $(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) * \lambda = 0$  for any  $\theta, \lambda \in \aleph$ .<sup>21</sup>

**2.6. Theorem** Every Q-algebra  $\aleph$  satisfying the conditions

(i)  $[(\theta * \lambda) * (\theta * \epsilon)] = (\epsilon * \lambda)$ ,

(ii)  $(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) * \lambda = 0$ ,

(iii)  $(\theta * \lambda) * \theta = 0$ , for any  $\theta, \lambda \in \aleph$  is a BCK-algebra.<sup>22</sup>

**2.7. Definition** In a Q-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ , a non-empty subset  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\aleph$  is called a Q-subalgebra if  $\theta * \lambda \in \mathcal{L}$  whenever  $\theta, \lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ .<sup>7</sup>

**2.8. Proposition** Let  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  be Q-algebra. Then every BCK-ideal is a Q-subalgebra.<sup>23</sup>

**2.9. Definition** In Q-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ , the non-empty subset  $\psi$  of  $\aleph$  is called a Q-ideal of  $\aleph$  if,

- i)  $0 \in \psi$ ,
- ii)  $\forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph, ((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \in \psi, \lambda \in \psi$  imply  $\theta * \epsilon \in \psi$ .<sup>7</sup>

2.10. Example Let  $\aleph = \{0, l, m, n, k\}$  be a set defined by the table below.

Table 3. A Q-ideal

*	0	l	m	n	k
0	0	0	0	0	k
l	l	0	0	l	k
m	m	m	0	0	k
n	n	0	n	0	k
k	k	k	k	k	0

Then  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is a Q-algebra and it is clear that the set  $\psi = \{0, l, m, n\}$  is a Q-ideal of  $\aleph$ .<sup>7</sup>

2.11. Proposition In a Q-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ . Every Q-ideal of  $\aleph$  is a BCK-ideal.<sup>7</sup>

2.12. Definition In a BCK-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ . A fuzzy set  $\pi$  of  $\aleph$  is called a fuzzy BCK-ideal, If it satisfies

- (i)  $\pi(0) \geq \pi(\theta)$ , for any  $\theta \in \aleph$
- (ii)  $\pi(\theta) \geq \min\{\pi(\theta * \lambda), \pi(\lambda)\}$ , for any  $\theta, \lambda$  in  $\aleph$ .<sup>24</sup>

2.13. Definition In a Q-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ . A fuzzy set  $\pi$  of  $\aleph$  is called a fuzzy Q-ideal, If it satisfies

- i-  $\pi(0) \geq \pi(\theta)$ , for any  $\theta \in \aleph$
- ii-  $\pi(\theta * \epsilon) \geq \min\{\pi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \pi(\lambda)\}$ , for any  $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon$  in  $\aleph$ .<sup>22</sup>

2.14. Proposition In a Q-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ . Every fuzzy Q-ideal of  $\aleph$  is a fuzzy BCK-ideal.<sup>22</sup>

2.15. Proposition If  $\pi$  is a fuzzy Q-ideal of Q-algebra  $\aleph$ , then  $\theta \leq \lambda$  implies  $\pi(\theta) \geq \pi(\lambda)$ .<sup>22</sup>

2.16. Definition An interval-valued fuzzy set  $V = \langle \theta, \tilde{\pi}(\theta) \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{\pi}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$ , the degree of membership of the element  $\theta$  with defined as  $\tilde{\pi} = \{(\theta, [\pi^L(\theta), \pi^U(\theta)]): \theta \in \aleph\}$ , where  $\pi^L$  and  $\pi^U$  are two fuzzy sets and  $\pi^L(\theta) \leq \pi^U(\theta)$ , for all  $\theta \in \aleph$ . All closed sub-intervals of  $[0,1]$  is denoted by  $D[0,1]$  so,  $\tilde{\pi}(\theta) \in D[0,1]$  and  $D[0,1] = \{[m^L, m^U]: m^L \leq m^U \text{ for } m^L, m^U \in [0, 1]\}$ . Two elements  $M_1 = [a^L, a^U]$  and  $M_2 = [b^L, b^U]$  in  $D[0,1]$  are defined

$rmin(M_1, M_2) = [\min(a^L, b^L), \min(a^U, b^U)]$  and

$rmax(M_1, M_2) = [\max(a^L, b^L), \max(a^U, b^U)]$ .

Also,

- I.  $M_1 \geq M_2 \Leftrightarrow a^L \geq b^L \text{ and } a^U \geq b^U$ ,
- II.  $M_1 \leq M_2 \Leftrightarrow a^L \leq b^L \text{ and } a^U \leq b^U$ ,
- III.  $M_1 = M_2 \Leftrightarrow a^L = b^L \text{ and } a^U = b^U$ .<sup>25,26</sup>

2.17. Definition Let  $\aleph$  be a non-empty set. The structure  $\varpi = \{(\theta, \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\theta)): \theta \in \aleph\}$  is called a cubic set in  $\aleph$ , such that  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) = [\pi_\varpi^L(\theta), \pi_\varpi^U(\theta)]$  is an interval-valued fuzzy set, where  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$  and  $\alpha_\varpi: \aleph \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a fuzzy set.<sup>11</sup>

2.18. Definition The level subset of  $\varpi = \{(\theta, \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\theta)): \theta \in \aleph\}$  is defined as follows

$U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) = \{\theta \in \aleph: \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq \tilde{t}, \alpha_\varpi \leq r\}$ , for every  $[0,0] \leq \tilde{t} \leq [1,1]$  and  $r \in [0,1]$ .<sup>27-30</sup>

### 3. Cubic Q-ideals of Q-Algebras

Let  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is a Q-algebra. The structure  $\varpi = \{(\theta, \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\theta)): \theta \in \aleph\}$  is a cubic set in  $\aleph$ , where  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$  and  $\alpha_\varpi: \aleph \rightarrow [0,1]$ . For brevity, the cubic set in a Q-algebra is written as follows  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ . Also, we can define the level subset of  $\varpi$  as follows

$U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) = \{\theta \in \aleph: \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq \tilde{t}, \alpha_\varpi \leq r\}$ , for every  $[0,0] \leq \tilde{t} \leq [1,1]$  and  $r \in [0,1]$ .

3.1. Definition A cubic set  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  in  $\aleph$  is named a cubic Q-sub-algebra if

- (q<sub>1</sub>)  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}$ .
- (q<sub>2</sub>)  $\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \leq max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \forall \theta, \lambda \in \aleph$ .

3.2. Example Let  $\aleph = \{0, m, n, v\}$  be a set defined by the table below.

**Table 4.** A cubic Q-sub-algebra

*	0	<b>m</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>v</b>
0	0	0	0	0
<b>m</b>	m	0	0	0
<b>n</b>	n	0	0	0
<b>v</b>	v	0	0	0

The triple  $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$  is a Q-algebra. Define  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(\theta)$  by

$$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) = \begin{cases} [0.1, 0.8] & \text{if } \theta = \{0, m, n\} \\ [0.1, 0.2] & \text{if } \theta = v \end{cases}, \quad \alpha_\varpi(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } \theta = \{0, m, n\} \\ 0.5 & \text{if } \theta = v \end{cases}.$$

We can simply prove that  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-sub-algebra.

**3.3. Proposition** Let  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  be a cubic Q-sub-algebra, then  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(0) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\theta), \forall \theta \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

Proof. Since  $\theta * \theta = 0$ , then  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0) = \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \theta) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)\} = \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(0) = \alpha_\varpi(\theta * \theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\theta)\} = \alpha_\varpi(\theta)$ .

**3.4. Theorem** For a cubic set  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ , the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .
- (ii) Every nonempty level set of  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a Q-sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Assume  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-sub-algebra and  $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1], r \in [0, 1]$ , where  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\forall \theta, \lambda \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ , we have  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq \tilde{t}, \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda) \geq \tilde{t}$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq r, \alpha_\varpi(\lambda) \leq r$  and since  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-sub-algebra, we have

$$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} = r \min\{\tilde{t}, \tilde{t}\} = \tilde{t}.$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\} = \max\{r, r\} = r,$$

So that  $\theta * \lambda \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ . Hence,  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$  is a Q-sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) let  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$  be a Q-sub-algebra and  $\theta, \lambda \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

Take  $\tilde{t} = r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}$  and  $r = \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}$ , for any  $\theta, \lambda \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

It follows from the hypothesis that  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$  is Q-sub algebra of  $\mathfrak{N}$  implies:

$\theta * \lambda \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ , therefore  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \geq \tilde{t} = r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \leq r = \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}$ . Hence,  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

**3.5. Definition** A cubic set  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  of a BCK-algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$  is named a cubic BCK-ideal if:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(0) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\theta)$ .
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \forall \theta, \lambda \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

**3.6. Definition.** Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a Q-algebra. A cubic set  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is named a cubic Q-ideal if:

- (B<sub>1</sub>)  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(0) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\theta)$ ,
- (B<sub>2</sub>)  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

**3.7. Example** Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \{0, m, n, v\}$  in example 3.2, define a cubic set  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) = \begin{cases} [0.1, 0.9] & \text{if } \theta = 0 \\ [0.3, 0.5] & \text{if } \theta \in \{m, n\} \\ [0.1, 0.2] & \text{if } \theta \in v \end{cases}, \quad \alpha_\varpi(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } \theta = 0 \\ 0.4 & \text{if } \theta \in \{m, n\} \\ 0.8 & \text{if } \theta \in v \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal.

**3.8. Proposition** for a Q-algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$ . If  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal, then  $\theta \leq \lambda$  implies  $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)$  and  $\alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)$ .

Proof. Let  $\theta \leq \lambda$ , then  $\theta * \lambda = 0$  and  $\theta * 0 = \theta$ . Since  $\langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal, then

$$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * 0) = \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0 * 0), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} = \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda).$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * 0) = \alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\} \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(0 * 0), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}$$

$\leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(0), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)\} \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)$ . So,  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)$  and  $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)$ .

**3.9. Proposition** for a Q-algebra  $\aleph$ . If  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal, then  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)$ , and  $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)$ .

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 3.8, we get

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda), \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda).$$

**3.10. Proposition** Let  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  be a cubic Q-ideal. If  $\theta * \lambda \leq \epsilon$ , then

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\} \text{ and } \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)\}.$$

Proof. Let  $\theta * \lambda \leq \epsilon$  in  $\aleph$ , then  $(\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon = 0$  and we have

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\}, \text{ if we put } \epsilon = 0$$

$$\text{Then, } \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * 0) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\} \tag{1}$$

$$\text{But, } \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \epsilon) * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\} = \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\} = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon) \tag{2}$$

From **Equations (1) and (2)** we get  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\}$ .

Similarly, we can show that  $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)\}$ .

**3.11. Theorem** In a Q-algebra  $\aleph$ , every cubic Q-ideal is a cubic BCK-ideal.

Proof. Take  $\epsilon = 0$  in  $(B_2)$ , then  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda)), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\}$  and

$$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda)), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)\}. \text{ Hence, } \varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle \text{ is a cubic BCK-ideal.}$$

The converse of Theorem 3.11 is not generally true; that is, a cubic BCK-ideal is not necessarily a cubic Q-ideal. The following example illustrates this fact.

**3.12. Example** Let  $\aleph = \{0, f, g, j\}$  be a set and  $*$  defined by the table below.

**Table 5.** A cubic BCK-ideal

*	0	f	g	j
0	0	0	0	0
f	f	0	0	f
g	g	g	0	0
j	j	0	j	0

Then  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is a Q-algebra. Define  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta), \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta)$  by

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) = \begin{cases} [0.1, 0.8] & \text{if } \theta = \{0, f, g\} \\ [0.1, 0.3] & \text{if } \theta = j \end{cases}, \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } \theta = \{0, f, g\} \\ 0.8 & \text{if } \theta = j \end{cases}$$

Now,  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  is a cubic BCK-ideal but it is not a cubic Q-ideal since

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(j * g) < \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((j * f) * g), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(f)\} \text{ and } \alpha_{\varpi}(j * g) > \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((j * f) * g), \alpha_{\varpi}(f)\}.$$

**3.13. Theorem** Let  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  be a cubic set of  $\aleph$ . Then  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal if and only if a nonempty level set  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$  of  $\varpi$  is a Q-ideal.

Proof. Let  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) \neq \emptyset$  be a Q-ideal, for any  $\theta \in \aleph$ , then

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) = \tilde{t}, \forall \tilde{t} \in D[0,1], \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) = r, \forall r \in [0,1] \text{ so } \theta \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) \text{ and since } 0 \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r),$$

then  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq \tilde{t} = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta)$ , and  $\alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq r = \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta)$ , and

Now, we prove the condition  $(B_2)$ , if not, assume that there exists  $c, d, e \in \aleph$  such that

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(c * e) < \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(d)\}$$

$$\alpha_{\varpi}(c * e) > \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \alpha_{\varpi}(d)\}.$$

Let take  $\beta$ , and  $\delta$  as

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} \{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(c * e) + \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(d)\}\}, \text{ where } \beta \in D[0,1]$$

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \{\alpha_{\varpi}(c * e) + \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \alpha_{\varpi}(d)\}\}, \text{ where } \delta \in [0,1]$$

i.e  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(c * e) < \beta < \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(d)\}$ , and

$\alpha_{\varpi}(c * e) > \delta > \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \alpha_{\varpi}(d)\}$ , it is followed

$(c * d) * e \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r), d \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r),$  but  $(c * e) \notin U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r),$  but the set  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$  is a Q-ideal. This is a contradiction. So  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(c * e) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(d)\}$   
 $\alpha_{\varpi}(c * e) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((c * d) * e), \alpha_{\varpi}(d)\},$  and  $\varpi$  is a cubic Q-ideal.  
 Conversely, Assume that  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal and  $\tilde{t} \in D[0,1], r \in [0,1]$  s.t.  
 $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) \neq \emptyset,$  Then there exists  $\theta \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r),$  then  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\rho) \geq \tilde{t}$  and  $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq r.$  It follows from Definition 3.6 ( $B_1$ ) that  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \tilde{t}$  and  $\alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\rho) \leq r,$  hence  $0 \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r).$   
 Now, let  $((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r), \lambda \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r),$  Then  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \geq \tilde{t}$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda) \geq \tilde{t},$   
 $\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \leq r$  and  $\alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda) \leq r.$  Then from condition ( $B_2$ ), we get  
 $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\} = r \min\{\tilde{t}, \tilde{t}\} = \tilde{t}$  and  
 $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)\} = \max\{r, r\} = r.$   
 So that  $\theta * \epsilon \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r).$  Therefore,  $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$  is a Q-ideal.

**4.The image (inverse image) of cubic sets**

In this section, we present definitions of the image and the inverse image of a cubic Q-ideal, along with some results on this concept.

**4.1. Definition** Let  $\mathcal{M}: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'$  be a mapping from Q-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  into Q-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}'$  and  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  be a cubic set of  $\mathfrak{K},$  then

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi})(\lambda) = B(\lambda) = \begin{cases} r \sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\lambda)} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta), & \text{if } \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\lambda) = \{\theta \in \mathfrak{K}, \mathcal{M}(\theta) = \lambda\} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha_{\varpi})(\lambda) = C(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\lambda)} \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) & \text{if } \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\lambda) = \{\theta \in \mathfrak{K}, \mathcal{M}(\theta) = \lambda\} \neq \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is said to be the image of  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}$  and  $\alpha_{\varpi}$  under  $\mathcal{M}$  and if  $\eta = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}, \alpha_{\eta} \rangle$  is a cubic set in  $\mathfrak{K}',$  then we can define the inverse image for  $\eta$  by  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\eta) = \langle \theta, \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}), \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\alpha_{\eta}) \rangle,$  where  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\theta)) = \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta))$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\alpha_{\eta}(\theta)) = \alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta)),$  for any  $\theta \in \mathfrak{K}.$

**4.2. Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{M}: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'$  be a homeomorphism between Q-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  and  $\mathfrak{K}'.$  If  $\eta = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}, \alpha_{\eta} \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal of  $\mathfrak{K}',$  then the inverse image of  $\eta$  is a cubic Q-ideal of  $\mathfrak{K}.$

Proof. We have  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(0)) = \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(0)) = \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(0') \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\theta') = \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta)) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\theta))$

And  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\alpha_{\eta}(0)) = \alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(0)) = \alpha_{\eta}(0') \leq \alpha_{\eta}(\theta') = \alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta)) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\alpha_{\eta}(\theta))$

$\forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{K},$  we have  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\theta * \epsilon)) = \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta * \epsilon)) = \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta) *' \mathcal{M}(\epsilon))$

$\geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta) *' \mathcal{M}(\lambda) *' \mathcal{M}(\epsilon)), \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda))\}$

$= r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon)), \tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda))\} = r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\},$

$\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\alpha_{\eta}(\theta * \epsilon)) = \alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta * \epsilon)) = \alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta) *' \mathcal{M}(\epsilon))$

$\leq \max\{\alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\theta) *' \mathcal{M}(\lambda) *' \mathcal{M}(\epsilon)), \alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda))\}$

$= \max\{\alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon)), \alpha_{\eta}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda))\} = \max\{\alpha_{\eta}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\eta}(\lambda)\}.$

**4.3. Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{M}: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'$  be an epimorphism mapping from Q-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  to Q-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}',$  if  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal of  $\mathfrak{K},$  then  $\mathcal{M}(\varpi)$  is a cubic Q-ideal of  $\mathfrak{K}'.$

Proof. For each  $\theta', \lambda' \in \mathfrak{K}', \exists \theta, \lambda \in \mathfrak{K}$  s. t.  $\mathcal{M}(\theta) = \theta'$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = \lambda',$  we have

$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi})(\theta') = r \sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\theta')} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta),$  for some  $\theta \in \mathfrak{K},$  and

$\mathcal{M}(\alpha_{\varpi})(\theta') = \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\theta')} \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta),$  for some  $\theta \in \mathfrak{K},$  and since  $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$  is a cubic Q-ideal, then  $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta), \alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta), \forall \theta \in \mathfrak{K},$  so

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi})(0') = r\sup_{0 \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(0')} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq r\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\theta')} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) = \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi})(\theta')$$

$$\text{And } \mathcal{M}(\alpha_{\varpi})(0') = \inf_{0 \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(0')} \alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\theta')} \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) = \mathcal{M}(\alpha_{\varpi})(\theta')$$

For any  $\theta', \lambda', \epsilon' \in \aleph'$ , let  $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\theta')$ ,  $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\lambda')$ , and  $\epsilon_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\epsilon')$ , we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi})(\theta' * \epsilon') = r\sup_{(\theta_0 * \epsilon_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\theta' * \epsilon')} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta_0 * \epsilon_0)$$

$$\geq r\min\{r\sup_{((\theta_0 * \lambda_0) * \epsilon_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}((\theta' * \lambda') * \epsilon')} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta_0 * \lambda_0) * \epsilon_0), r\sup_{\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\lambda')} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda_0)\}$$

$$= r\min\{\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi})((\theta' * \lambda') * \epsilon'), \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi})(\lambda')\}. \text{ And}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha_{\varpi})(\theta' * \epsilon') = \inf_{(\theta_0 * \epsilon_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\theta' * \epsilon')} \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta_0 * \epsilon_0)$$

$$\leq \max\{\inf_{((\theta_0 * \lambda_0) * \epsilon_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}((\theta' * \lambda') * \epsilon')} \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta_0 * \lambda_0) * \epsilon_0), \inf_{\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\lambda')} \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda_0)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mathcal{M}(\alpha_{\varpi})((\theta' * \lambda') * \epsilon'), \mathcal{M}(\alpha_{\varpi})(\lambda')\}.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{M}(\varpi)$  is cubic Q-ideal of  $\aleph'$ .

## 5. Conclusion

This study explored cubic ideals in Q-algebra with the application of the idea of cubic ideals, including (BCK-ideals and Q-ideals) of Q-algebras, and discussed some of their important properties. A few relationships between a cubic sub-Q-algebra and a sub-Q-algebra using the level set of Q-algebras were presented. After that, we have proven that the image and inverse image of a cubic Q-ideal are also cubic Q-ideals by the isomorphism mapping. It is possible that in the future, we will work on the neutrosophic cubic Q-ideal and Filteristic Soft ideals of Q-algebras. Additionally, we can study the notion of a cubic intuitionistic Q-ideal.

## Acknowledgment

The researchers extend their thanks and appreciation to all those who contributed by providing suggestions and advice for this work.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this work.

## Funding

There is no funding for the article.

## References

1. Alcheikh M, Sabouh A. A Study of fuzzy ideals in BCK algebra. J Math Res. 2019;11(5):11–15. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v11n5p11>
2. Ahmed M, Amhed EA. Fuzzy BCK-Algebras. J Appl Math Phys. 2020;08(05):927–932.
3. Dogra S, Pal M. m-Polar picture fuzzy ideal of a BCK algebra. Int J Comput Intell Syst. 2020;13(1):409–420. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ijcis.d.200330.001>
4. Zhang X, Du Y. A Class of BCI-algebra and Quasi-Hyper BCI-Algebra. Axioms. 2022;11(2):1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11020072>
5. Chaudhry MA, Fakhar-Ud-Din H. On some classes of BCH-algebras. Int J Math Math Sci. 2001;25(3):205–211. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171201003957>
6. Jun YB, Ahn SS. on Pseudo Bh-Algebras. Honam Math J. 2015;37(2):207–219. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2015.37.2.207>
7. Neggers J, Ahn SS, Kim HS. On Q -algebras. Int J Math Math Sci. 2001;27(12):749–757. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171201006627>
8. Mostafa S, Han S, Adb-elfattah EF, Hassan M, Hur K. Crossing octahedron sets and their applications to Q-algebras. Ann Fuzzy Math Informatics. 2022;23(2):161–180. <https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2022.23.2.161>
9. Abdullah HK, Shadhan MT. Intuitionistic fuzzy pseudo ideals in Q-algebra. In: J Phys: Conf Series. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1591/1/012095>

10. Das S, Granados C. Neutrosophic Q -Ideals of Neutrosophic Q -Algebra. *Rev Fac Ciencias Básicas*. 2021;17(2):91–99. <https://doi.org/10.18359/rfcb.5690>
11. Jun YB, Lee KJ, Kang MS. Cubic structures applied to ideals of BCI-algebras. *Comput Math with Appl*. 2011;62(9):3334–3342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2011.08.042>
12. Yaqoob N, Mostafa SM, Ansari MA. On Cubic KU-Ideals of KU-Algebras. *ISRN Algebr*. 2013;2013:1–10.
13. Kareem FF, Hasan OA. Cubic ideals of semigroup in KU-algebra. In: *J Phys: Conf Series*. 2021.
14. Senapati T, Shum KP. Cubic commutative ideals of BCK-algebras. *Missouri J Math Sci*. 2018;30(1):5–19. <https://doi.org/10.35834/mjms/1534384948>
15. Satyanarayana B, Agboola AA., Madhavi UB. Cubic Dual Ideals in BCK-Algebras. *Int J Math Res*. 2016;5(2):103–110. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.24/2016.5.2/24.2.103.110>
16. Riaz M, Akmal K, Almalki Y, Ahmad D. Cubic Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topology with Application to Uncertain Supply Chain Management. *Math Probl Eng*. 2022;2022:1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9631579>
17. Gulistan M, Yaqoob N, Vougiouklis T, Abdul Wahab H. Extensions of cubic ideals in weak left almost semihypergroups. *J Intell Fuzzy Syst*. 2018;34(6):4161–4172. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-171744>
18. Ghilaim FM, Kareem FF. Cubic Ideals of TM-algebras. *Ibn AL-Haitham J Pure Appl Sci*. 2023;36(1):367–379. <https://doi.org/10.30526/36.1.2920>
19. Othman HJ, Khalaf AB. Cubic B -subalgebras and Its Properties. *Math Stat*. 2025;13(3):145–153. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ms.2025.130303>
20. Imai Y, Iséki K. On axiom systems of propositional calculi, XIV. *Proc Japan Acad Ser A, Math Sci*. 1966;42(1):19–22. <https://doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195522169>
21. Saeid AB. CI-algebra is equivalent to dual Q-algebra. *J Egypt Math Soc*. 2013;21(1):1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2012.08.021>
22. Anantayasethi A, Kunawat T, Moonnipa P. Relations between G-part and Atoms in Q-algebras. *Eur J Pure Appl Math*. 2024;17(4):3268–3276. <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v17i4.5403>
23. Saengsura K, Sarasit N, Anantayasethi A. On the Strong Atoms of Q-Algebras. *Axioms*. 2025;14(4):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms14040271>
24. Meng J, Guo X. On fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras. *Fuzzy Sets Syst*. 2005;149(3):509–525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2003.11.014>
25. Zadeh LA. The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning—I. *Inf Sci (Ny)*. 1975;8(3):199–249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0255\(75\)90036-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0255(75)90036-5)
26. Biswas R. Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups with interval-valued membership functions. *Fuzzy Sets Syst*. 1994;63(1):87–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)90148-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90148-1)
27. Senapati T, Shum KP. Cubic implicative ideals of BCK-algebras. *Missouri J Math Sci*. 2017;29(2):125–138. <https://doi.org/10.35834/mjms/1513306826>
28. Khalid M, Iqbal R, Broumi S. Neutrosophic soft cubic subalgebras of G-algebras. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst*. 2019;28:259–272. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3382551>
29. Ameri R, Hedayati H, Ghasemian E. Filteristic Soft BCK-Algebras. *J Math Comput Sci*. 2011;02(1):81–87. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.002.01.09>
30. Kaur G, Garg H. Generalized Cubic Intuitionistic Fuzzy Aggregation Operators Using t-Norm Operations and Their Applications to Group Decision-Making Process. *Arab J Sci Eng*. 2019;44(3):2775–2794. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-018-3532-4>