

On A Bitopological $(1,2)^*$ - Proper Functions

Sabiha I . Mahmood

Department of Mathematics/College of Science/
Al-Mustansiriyah University

Received in: 14 junaury 2013 Accepted in : 1 april 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new type of functions in bitopological spaces, namely, $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions. Also, we study the basic properties and characterizations of these functions . One of the most important of equivalent definitions to the $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions is given by using $(1,2)^*$ -cluster points of filters . Moreover we define and study $(1,2)^*$ -perfect functions and $(1,2)^*$ -compact functions in bitopological spaces and we study the relation between $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions and each of $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions , $(1,2)^*$ -perfect functions and $(1,2)^*$ -compact functions and we give an example when the converse may not be true .

Key words: $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions, $(1,2)^*$ -perfect functions , $(1,2)^*$ -compact functions , $(1,2)^*$ -cluster points, $(1,2)^*$ - T_2 -spaces , $(1,2)^*$ -compactly closed sets and $(1,2)^*$ -K-spaces .

Introduction

The concept of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) was first introduced by Kelly [1], where X is a nonempty set and τ_1, τ_2 are topologies on X . Lellis Thivagar et. al. [2] introduced the concepts of $(1,2)^*$ -compact spaces and studied their properties. Ravi et. al. [3] introduced the concepts of $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions. The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new class of functions, namely, $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions. We give the definition by depending on the definition of $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions. Also, we study the characterizations and basic properties of $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions. We can prove that a $(1,2)^*$ -continuous function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper if and only if whenever ξ is a filter on X and $y \in Y$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point of $f(\xi)$, then there is a $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point x of ξ such that $f(x) = y$. Moreover we study $(1,2)^*$ -perfect functions and $(1,2)^*$ -compact functions in bitopological spaces and study the relation between $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions and each of $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions, $(1,2)^*$ -perfect functions and $(1,2)^*$ -compact functions and we give an example when the converse may not be true.

Throughout this paper $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and (Z, η_1, η_2) (or simply X, Y and Z) represent non-empty bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless otherwise mentioned. If $A \subseteq X$, then $(A, \tau_{1A}, \tau_{2A})$ is called a bitopological subspace of (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

1.Preliminaries

First we recall the following definitions:

Definition(1.1)[4]: A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open if $A = U_1 \cup U_2$ where $U_1 \in \tau_1$ and $U_2 \in \tau_2$. The complement of a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed.

Notice that $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets need not necessarily form a topology [4].

Definition(1.2)[4]: Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then:

i) The $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure of A , denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(A)$, is defined by:-

$$\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(A) = \bigcap \{F : A \subseteq F \text{ \& } F \text{ is } \tau_1\tau_2\text{-closed}\}.$$

ii) The $\tau_1\tau_2$ -interior of A , denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{int}(A)$, is defined by:-

$$\tau_1\tau_2\text{int}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \subseteq A \text{ \& } U \text{ is } \tau_1\tau_2\text{-open}\}.$$

Definition(1.3)[2]: A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ from a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2)

into a bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is called $(1,2)^*$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set in X

for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set V in Y .

Definition(1.4)[5]: Let $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha, \tau'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of bitopological spaces. On the product set $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$ we define a bitopological structure (τ, τ') by taking τ as the product topology generated by the τ_α s and τ' as the product topology generated by the τ'_α s.

Definition(1.5)[6]: A filter ξ on a set X is a non-empty collection of non-empty subsets of X which has the following properties:-

- i) Every finite intersection of sets of ξ belongs to ξ .
- ii) Every subset of X which contains a set of ξ belongs to ξ .

Definition(1.6)[6]: A non-empty collection ξ_0 of non-empty subsets of a set X is called a filter base for some filter on X if and only if for each $F_0^1, F_0^2 \in \xi_0$, there exists $F_0^3 \in \xi_0$ such that $F_0^3 \subseteq F_0^1 \cap F_0^2$.

Definition(1.7):A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a $(1,2)^*$ -neighborhood of a point x in X if there exists a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U in X such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. The family of all $(1,2)^*$ -neighborhoods of a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $N^*(x)$.

Definition(1.8): A filter ξ on a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) has $x \in X$ as an $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point (written $\xi \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} x$) iff each $F \in \xi$ meets each $N \in N^*(x)$.

Remark(1.9): A filter ξ on a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) has $x \in X$ as an $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point iff $x \in \bigcap \{ \tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi \}$.

Proof: To prove that $\xi \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} x \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap \{ \tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi \}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \xi \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} x &\Leftrightarrow \forall N \in N^*(x) \ \& \ \forall F \in \xi, N \cap F \neq \phi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall N \in N^*(x), F \cap N \neq \phi, \forall F \in \xi \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F), \forall F \in \xi \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap \{ \tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi \}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition(1.10): A filter base ξ_0 on a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) has $x \in X$ as an $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point (written $\xi_0 \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} x$) iff each $F \in \xi_0$ meets each $N \in N^*(x)$.

Definition(1.11)[5]: A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a $(1,2)^*$ - T_2 -space (or quasi-Hausdorff space) if for any two distinct points x and y of X , there are two $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Definition(1.12)[2]: A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be a $(1,2)^*$ -compact space if and only if every $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover of X has a finite subcover .

Theorem(1.13): A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)^*$ -compact if and only if given any family $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed subsets of X such that the intersection of any finite number of the F_α is non-empty, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha \neq \phi$.

Proof: It is Obvious .

Theorem(1.14): A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)^*$ -compact if and only if every filter on X has an $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point .

Proof: \Rightarrow Suppose that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact space and ξ be a filter on X .

Take $\Omega = \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi\}$, then by (1.5) Ω has the finite intersection property (f.i.p) .

But X is $(1,2)^*$ -compact , then by (1.13) , $\bigcap \Omega \neq \phi$. This implies that any point of this intersection is an $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point of ξ .

\Leftarrow Let Ω be a collection of $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed subsets of X such that Ω has the f.i.p .

Then there exists a filter ξ on X such that $\Omega \subseteq \xi$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi\} \subseteq \bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(A) : A \in \Omega\}$. Since ξ has an $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point , then there exists $x \in \bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi\} \Rightarrow \bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi\} \neq \phi$.

Since $\bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi\} \subseteq \bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(A) : A \in \Omega\}$, then $\bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(A) : A \in \Omega\} \neq \phi$. But Ω is

a collection of $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed subsets of X , then $\bigcap \{A : A \in \Omega\} = \bigcap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{cl}(A) : A \in \Omega\}$.

Hence $\bigcap \{A : A \in \Omega\} \neq \phi$ and by (1.13) , X is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact space .

2. (1,2)*-CLOSED FUNCTIONS

Definition(2.1)[3]: A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ from a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2)

into a bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is called $(1,2)^*$ -closed (resp. $(1,2)^*$ -open) if $f(F)$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -

closed (resp. $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open) in Y for every $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed (resp. $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open) set F in X .

Examples(2.2):

i) Let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by : $f(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Then f is an $(1,2)^*$ -closed function .

ii) An inclusion function $i : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (X, \tau, \tau')$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed iff F is a $\tau\tau'$ -closed set in X .

Theorem(2.3): A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed if and only if for each subset B of Y and each $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U in X containing $f^{-1}(B)$, there exists a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V in Y containing B such that $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$.

Proof: \Rightarrow Suppose that B is an arbitrary subset in Y and U is an arbitrary $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set in X containing $f^{-1}(B)$. Put $V = Y - f(X - U)$. Then by (2.1) , V is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set in Y . Since $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq U \Rightarrow X - U \subseteq f^{-1}(Y - B) \Rightarrow f(X - U) \subseteq Y - B \Rightarrow B \subseteq Y - f(X - U) \Rightarrow B \subseteq V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$.

Conversely , Let F be any $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set in X . Put $B = Y - f(F)$, then we have $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq X - F$. Since $X - F$ is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open , then by hypothesis there exists a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set

V in Y such that $B \subseteq V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X - F$. Therefore, we obtain $f(F) = Y - V$ and hence $f(F)$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed in Y . This shows that f is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function.

Theorem(2.4): Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) , (Y, σ_1, σ_2) and (Z, η_1, η_2) be three bitopological spaces,

and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ be two functions. Then:-

- i) If f and g are $(1,2)^*$ -closed, then $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed.
- ii) If $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed and f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and onto, then g is $(1,2)^*$ -closed.
- iii) If $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed and g is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and one-to-one, then f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed.

Proof:

i) To prove that $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function. Let F be any $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed subset of X . Since f is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function, then $f(F)$ is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set in Y . Since g is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function, then $g(f(F))$ is a $\eta_1\eta_2$ -closed set in Z , hence $(g \circ f)(F)$ is a $\eta_1\eta_2$ -closed set in Z . Thus $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function.

ii) To prove that $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function. Let F be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed subset of Y . Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous, then $f^{-1}(F)$ is a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set in X . Since $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed, then $(g \circ f)(f^{-1}(F)) = g(f \circ f^{-1}(F))$ is a $\eta_1\eta_2$ -closed set in Z . Since f is onto, then $g(F)$ is a $\eta_1\eta_2$ -closed set in Z . Thus $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function.

iii) To prove that $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function. Let F be any $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed subset of X . Since $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed, then $(g \circ f)(F)$ is a $\eta_1\eta_2$ -closed set in Z . Since g is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous, then $g^{-1}(g \circ f(F)) = (g^{-1} \circ g)(f(F))$ is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set in Y . Since g is one-to-one, then $f(F)$ is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set in Y . Thus $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function.

Theorem(2.5): Let $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \sigma')$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function. Then for each subset T of Y , the function $f_T : (f^{-1}(T), \tau_{f^{-1}(T)}, \tau'_{f^{-1}(T)}) \rightarrow (T, \sigma_T, \sigma'_T)$ which agrees with f on $f^{-1}(T)$ is also $(1,2)^*$ -closed function.

Proof: Let F be a $\tau_{f^{-1}(T)} \tau'_{f^{-1}(T)}$ -closed subset of $f^{-1}(T)$. Then there is a $\tau\tau'$ -closed subset F_1 of X such that $F = F_1 \cap f^{-1}(T)$. Since $f_T(F) = f(F_1) \cap T$ and f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed function, then $f(F_1)$ is $\sigma\sigma'$ -closed in Y . Hence $f(F_1) \cap T$ is a $\sigma_T\sigma'_T$ -closed set in T . Thus f_T is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function.

Theorem(2.6): If $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function, then the restriction of f

to a $\tau\tau'$ -closed subset F of X is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function of F into Y .

Proof: Since F is a $\tau\tau'$ -closed set in X , then the inclusion function $i : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (X, \tau, \tau')$

is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function . Since $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function , then by (2.4) $f \circ i : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function . But $f \circ i = f / F$, thus the restriction function $f / F : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function .

Remark(2.7): If $f_1 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $f_2 : (X_2, \tau'_1, \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_2, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ are two $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions . Then $f_1 \times f_2 : (X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \times \tau'_1, \tau_2 \times \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma'_1, \sigma_2 \times \sigma'_2)$ is not necessarily a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function .

Example: Let $f_1 : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by : $f_1(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$. And Let $f_2 : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by : $f_2(x) = x$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Where f_2 is the identity function on \mathfrak{R} . Clearly f_1 and f_2 are $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions , but $f_1 \times f_2 : (\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}, \mu', \mu') \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}, \mu', \mu')$ such that $(f_1 \times f_2)(x, y) = (0, y)$, $\forall (x, y) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$ (where μ' is the product topology on $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$) is not a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function , since the set $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} : xy = 1\}$ is $\mu'\mu'$ -closed in $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$, but $(f_1 \times f_2)(A) = \{0\} \times \mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is not $\mu'\mu'$ -closed in $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$.

Theorem(2.8): Let $f_1 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $f_2 : (X_2, \tau'_1, \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_2, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ be functions . If $f_1 \times f_2 : (X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \times \tau'_1, \tau_2 \times \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma'_1, \sigma_2 \times \sigma'_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed , then f_1 and f_2 are also $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions .

Proof: Suppose that $f_1 \times f_2 : (X_1 \times X_2, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2, \rho_3, \rho_4)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function where $\rho_i, i = 1,2,3,4$ be the product topology on $X_1 \times X_2$ and $Y_1 \times Y_2$ respectively . To prove that $f_1 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Let F be a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed subset of X_1 , to prove that $f_1(F)$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed in Y_1 . Suppose that $G = f_1(F) \Rightarrow F \times X_2$ is $\rho_1\rho_2$ -closed in $X_1 \times X_2$. Since $f_1 \times f_2$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed $\Rightarrow (f_1 \times f_2)(F \times X_2) = f_1(F) \times f_2(X_2) = G \times f_2(X_2)$ is $\rho_3\rho_4$ -closed in $Y_1 \times Y_2$. i.e. $\rho_3\rho_4 \text{cl}(G \times f_2(X_2)) = G \times f_2(X_2) \Rightarrow \sigma_1\sigma_2 \text{cl}(G) = G \Rightarrow G = f_1(F)$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed in $Y_1 \Rightarrow f_1 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function . By the same way we can prove that f_2 is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function . Thus f_1 and f_2 are $(1,2)^*$ -closed functions .

Definition(2.9)[7]: A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ from a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2)

into a bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is called $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism if :-

- i) f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous .
- ii) f is one-to-one and onto .
- iii) f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed (or $(1,2)^*$ -open) .

3. $(1,2)^*$ -PROPER FUNCTIONS

In this section we introduce a new type of functions in bitopological spaces which we call $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions . Besides we give examples and theorems .

Definition(3.1): A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ from a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) into

a bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is called $(1,2)^*$ -proper if :-

- i) f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous .
- ii) $f \times I_Z : (X \times Z, \tau_1 \times \tau, \tau_2 \times \tau') \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \sigma_1 \times \tau, \sigma_2 \times \tau')$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed for every bitopological space (Z, τ, τ') .

Examples(3.2):

i) Let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by : $f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Notice

that f is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function , but f is not a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function , since for the usual bitopological space (\mathfrak{R}, μ, μ) the function $f \times I_{\mathfrak{R}} : (\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}, \mu \times \mu, \mu \times \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}, \mu \times \mu, \mu \times \mu)$ which is defined by $(f \times I_{\mathfrak{R}})(x, y) = (0, y), \forall (x, y) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$ is not a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function .

- ii) An inclusion function $i : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (X, \tau, \tau')$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper iff F is a $\tau\tau'$ -closed set in X .

Theorem(3.3):Every $(1,2)^*$ -proper function is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function .

Proof: Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function , then the function $f \times I_Z : (X \times Z, \tau_1 \times \tau, \tau_2 \times \tau') \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \sigma_1 \times \tau, \sigma_2 \times \tau')$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed for every bitopological space (Z, τ, τ') . Let $Z = \{t\}$, then $X \times Z = X \times \{t\} \cong X$ & $Y \times Z = Y \times \{t\} \cong Y$ and we can replace $f \times I_Z$ by f . Thus $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function .

Remark(3.4): The converse of (3.3) may not be true in general . Consider the following example:

Example: In (3.2) (i) , $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function, but it is not a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Theorem(3.5):Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and one-to-one function.

Then the following statements are equivalent:-

- i) f is $(1,2)^*$ -proper .
- ii) f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed .
- iii) f is a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism of X onto a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed subset of Y .

Proof: By theorem (3.3), (i \rightarrow ii) .

(ii \rightarrow iii) . Assume that $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed function . Since X is a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set in X , then $f(X)$ is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set in Y . Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and one-to-one, then f is a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism of X onto a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed subset $f(X)$ of Y .

(iii \rightarrow i) . To prove that $f \times I_Z : (X \times Z, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \rho_3, \rho_4)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed for every bitopological space (Z, τ, τ') , where $\rho_i, i=1,2,3,4$ be the product topology on $X \times Z$ and $Y \times Z$ respectively . Since f is a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism of X onto a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed subset F of Y , then $f \times I_Z$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism of $X \times Z$ onto a $\rho_3\rho_4$ -closed subset $F \times Z$ of

$Y \times Z$ and therefore $f \times I_Z$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Thus $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Corollary(3.6): Every $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Remark(3.7): The converse of (3.6) may not be true in general . Consider the following example:-

Example: Let $f : ([0,1], \mu', \mu') \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \mu, \mu)$ be a function which is defined by :

$$f(x) = x, \forall x \in [0,1].$$

(where μ' is the relative usual topology on $[0,1]$) . Clearly that f is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function, but it

is not $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism .

Theorem(3.8): Let $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \sigma')$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function . Then for each subset T of Y , the function $f_T : (f^{-1}(T), \tau_{f^{-1}(T)}, \tau'_{f^{-1}(T)}) \rightarrow (T, \sigma_T, \sigma'_T)$ which agrees with f on $f^{-1}(T)$ is also $(1,2)^*$ -proper .

Proof: To prove that $f_T : (f^{-1}(T), \tau_{f^{-1}(T)}, \tau'_{f^{-1}(T)}) \rightarrow (T, \sigma_T, \sigma'_T)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper . Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous , then so is f_T . Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -proper , then for every bitopological space (Z, τ, τ') the function $f \times I_Z : (X \times Z, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \rho_3, \rho_4)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed , where $\rho_i, i = 1,2,3,4$ be the product topology on $X \times Z$ and $Y \times Z$ respectively . Since $f_T \times I_Z = (f \times I_Z)_{T \times Z}$, then by (2.5) $f_T \times I_Z$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Thus $f_T : (f^{-1}(T), \tau_{f^{-1}(T)}, \tau'_{f^{-1}(T)}) \rightarrow (T, \sigma_T, \sigma'_T)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Definition(3.9): If the function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a

$(1,2)^*$ - T_2 -space, then f is called a $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function .

Corollary(3.10): Every $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Remark(3.11): The converse of (3.10) may not be true in general . Consider the following example:-

Example: Let $f : (\mathfrak{R}, \tau_{\text{cof.}}, \tau_{\text{cof.}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, \tau_{\text{cof.}}, \tau_{\text{cof.}})$ be the identity function , where $\tau_{\text{cof.}}$ be the cofinite

topology on \mathfrak{R} . Then f is a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism and by (3.6) , f is $(1,2)^*$ -proper . Since

$(\mathfrak{R}, \tau_{\text{cof.}}, \tau_{\text{cof.}})$ is not a $(1,2)^*$ - T_2 -space, then f is not a $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function .

Theorem(3.12): Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ be two $(1,2)^*$ -

continuous functions . Then:-

i) If f and g are $(1,2)^*$ -proper, then $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper .

ii) If $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper and f is onto, then g is $(1,2)^*$ -proper .

iii) If $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper and g is one-to-one , then f is $(1,2)^*$ -proper .

Proof:

i) It is clear that $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous . Let (Z_1, τ, τ') be any bitopological space . We have : $(g \circ f) \times I_{Z_1} = (g \times I_{Z_1}) \circ (f \times I_{Z_1})$. Since f and g are $(1,2)^*$ -proper, then $f \times I_{Z_1}$ and $g \times I_{Z_1}$ are $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Hence by (2.4) , no.(i) $(g \circ f) \times I_{Z_1}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Thus $g \circ f$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

ii) To prove that $g \times I_{Z_1} : (Y \times Z_1, \sigma_1 \times \tau, \sigma_2 \times \tau') \rightarrow (Z \times Z_1, \eta_1 \times \tau, \eta_2 \times \tau')$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed for every bitopological space Z_1 . Since $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper, then

$$(g \circ f) \times I_{Z_1} = (g \times I_{Z_1}) \circ (f \times I_{Z_1})$$

is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and onto, then so is $f \times I_{Z_1}$, hence by (2.4), no.

(ii) $g \times I_{Z_1}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Thus $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

iii) To prove that $f \times I_{Z_1} : (X \times Z_1, \tau_1 \times \tau, \tau_2 \times \tau') \rightarrow (Y \times Z_1, \sigma_1 \times \tau, \sigma_2 \times \tau')$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed for every bitopological space Z_1 . Since $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper, then

$$(g \circ f) \times I_{Z_1} = (g \times I_{Z_1}) \circ (f \times I_{Z_1})$$

is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Since g is one-to-one and $(1,2)^*$ -continuous, then so is $g \times I_{Z_1}$, hence by (2.4) , no. (iii) $f \times I_{Z_1}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Thus $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Corollary(3.13): If $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function, then the restriction of f to a $\tau\tau'$ -closed subset F of X is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function of F into Y .

Proof: Since F is a $\tau\tau'$ -closed set in X , then the inclusion function $i : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (X, \tau, \tau')$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function . Since $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function , then by (3.12) , no.(i) $f \circ i : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function . But $f \circ i = f / F$, thus the restriction function $f / F : (F, \tau_F, \tau'_F) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Corollary(3.14): If $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function, then the restriction of f to a $\tau\tau'$ -closed subset F of X is a $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function of F into Y .

Proof: It is Obvious .

Corollary(3.15): The composition of two $(1,2)^*$ -perfect functions is a $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function.

Corollary(3.16): Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ be functions . If f is a $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function and g is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function . Then $g \circ f$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -perfect function .

Theorem(3.17): If $f_1 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $f_2 : (X_2, \tau'_1, \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_2, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ are two $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions . Then $f_1 \times f_2 : (X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \times \tau'_1, \tau_2 \times \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma'_1, \sigma_2 \times \sigma'_2)$ is also $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Proof: Let (Z, τ, τ') be any bitopological space .We can write $f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z$ by the composition of $I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z$ and $f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z$. Since f_1 and f_2 are $(1,2)^*$ -proper functions, then

$f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z$ and $I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z$ are (1,2)*-closed functions , hence by (2.4), no. (i) $(I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z) \circ (f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z)$ is (1,2)*-closed . But $f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z = (I_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times I_Z) \circ (f_1 \times I_{X_2} \times I_Z) \Rightarrow f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z$ is a (1,2)*-closed function . Thus $f_1 \times f_2 : (X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \times \tau'_1, \tau_2 \times \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma'_1, \sigma_2 \times \sigma'_2)$ is a (1,2)*-proper function .

Theorem(3.18): Let $f_1 : (X_1, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $f_2 : (X_2, \tau'_1, \tau'_2) \rightarrow (Y_2, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ be two (1,2)*-continuous functions such that $f_1 \times f_2$ is a (1,2)*-proper function . Then , f_1 and f_2 are (1,2)*-proper .

Proof: Let (Z, τ, τ') be any bitopological space . Since $f_1 \times f_2$ is (1,2)*-proper , then $f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z : (X_1 \times X_2 \times Z, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Z, \rho_3, \rho_4)$ is (1,2)*-closed , where $\rho_i, i=1,2,3,4$ be the product topology on $X_1 \times X_2 \times Z$ and $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Z$ respectively . To prove that $f_2 \times I_Z : (X_2 \times Z, \eta_1, \eta_2) \rightarrow (Y_2 \times Z, \eta_3, \eta_4)$ is (1,2)*-closed , where $\eta_i, i=1,2,3,4$ be the product topology on $X_2 \times Z$ and $Y_2 \times Z$ respectively . Let F be any $\eta_1 \eta_2$ -closed set in $X_2 \times Z$ and $G = (f_2 \times I_Z)(F)$. To prove that G is $\eta_3 \eta_4$ -closed in $Y_2 \times Z$. Since $X_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $X_1 \times F$ is $\rho_1 \rho_2$ -closed in $X_1 \times X_2 \times Z$. Since $f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z$ is (1,2)*-closed, then $(f_1 \times f_2 \times I_Z)(X_1 \times F) = f_1(X_1) \times G$ is $\rho_3 \rho_4$ -closed in $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Z \Rightarrow \rho_3 \rho_4 \text{cl}(f_1(X_1) \times G) = f_1(X_1) \times G \Rightarrow \eta_3 \eta_4 \text{cl}(G) = G \Rightarrow G = (f_2 \times I_Z)(F)$ is $\eta_3 \eta_4$ -closed in $Y_2 \times Z$. Therefore $f_2 \times I_Z$ is (1,2)*-closed . Thus f_2 is a (1,2)*-proper function . By the same way we can prove that f_1 is (1,2)*-proper .

Lemma(3.19): Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be any bitopological space such that the constant function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow P = \{w\}$ is (1,2)*-proper . Then X is a (1,2)*-compact space, where w is any point which does not belong to X .

Proof: Let ξ be a filter on X and let $X' = X \cup \{w\}$. Then $\xi' = \{M \cup \{w\} : M \in \xi\}$ is a filter on X' . This filter with ϕ form a topology on X' say τ . Hence (X', τ, τ) is a bitopological space

associated with ξ . Let $\Delta \subseteq X \times X'$ such that $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ and let $\rho_1 \rho_2 \text{cl}(\Delta) = F$ be the

$\rho_1 \rho_2$ -closure of Δ in $(X \times X', \rho_1, \rho_2)$, where $\rho_i, i=1,2$ be the product topology on $X \times X'$.

Since $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow P$ is (1,2)*-proper , then $f \times I_{X'} : X \times X' \rightarrow P \times X'$ is (1,2)*-closed .

But

$P \times X' \cong X'$ so $\text{pr}_2 : X \times X' \rightarrow X'$ is (1,2)*-closed . Hence $\text{pr}_2(F)$ is $\tau \tau$ -closed in X' .

Since

$(x, x) \in \Delta$ for each $x \in X \Rightarrow x = \text{pr}_2(x, x) \in \text{pr}_2(\Delta)$ for each $x \in X \Rightarrow X \subseteq \text{pr}_2(F)$

\Rightarrow

$\tau \tau \text{cl}(X) \subseteq \tau \tau \text{cl}(\text{pr}_2(F)) = \text{pr}_2(F)$. Since $w \in \tau \tau \text{cl}(X) \Rightarrow w \in \text{pr}_2(F) \Rightarrow \exists x \in X$ such that

$(x, w) \in \rho_1 \rho_2 \text{cl}(\Delta) = F$. By the definition of the bitopology of $X \times X'$, this means that for each

$(1,2)^*$ -neighborhood V of x in X and each $M \in \xi$, we have $(V \times M) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow V \cap M \neq \emptyset$

Hence x is a $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point of the filter ξ . Thus X is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact space.

Theorem(3.20): Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -continuous function. Then the following statements are equivalent:-

i) f is $(1,2)^*$ -proper.

ii) f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed and $f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact for each $y \in Y$.

iii) If ξ is a filter on X and if $y \in Y$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point of $f(\xi)$, then there is a $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point x of ξ such that $f(x) = y$.

Proof: (i \rightarrow ii).

If f is $(1,2)^*$ -proper, then by (3.3) f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed. To prove that $f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact

for each $y \in Y$. Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -proper, then by (3.8) $f_{\{y\}} : f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \{y\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper for

each $y \in Y$. By lemma (3.19), we get $f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact for each $y \in Y$.

(ii \rightarrow i).

To prove that $h = f \times I_Z : (X \times Z, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \rho_3, \rho_4)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed for every

bitopological space (Z, τ, τ') , where $\rho_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ be the product topology on $X \times Z$ and $Y \times Z$

respectively. Let C be any $\rho_1 \rho_2$ -closed in $X \times Z$. To prove that $h(C) = D$ is $\rho_3 \rho_4$ -closed

in $Y \times Z$. Let $(y, s) \in D^c \Rightarrow h^{-1}(y, s) \in h^{-1}(D^c) \Rightarrow (f \times I_Z)^{-1}(y, s) \in h^{-1}(D^c)$

$\Rightarrow (f^{-1} \times I_Z^{-1})(y, s) \in h^{-1}(D^c) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) \times \{s\} \subseteq C^c$, where C^c is $\rho_1 \rho_2$ -open in $X \times Z$

$\Rightarrow \exists \tau_1 \tau_2$ -open set U in X and $\tau \tau'$ -open set V in Z such that $f^{-1}(y) \times \{s\} \subseteq U \times V \subseteq C^c$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) \subseteq U$ and $\{s\} \subseteq V$. Since f and I_Z are $(1,2)^*$ -closed, then by (2.3) $\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2$ -open set U'

in Y and $\tau \tau'$ -open set V' in Z such that $\{y\} \subseteq U', \{s\} \subseteq V', f^{-1}(U') \subseteq U$ and $I_Z^{-1}(V') \subseteq V$

$\Rightarrow (y, s) \in U' \times V' \subseteq D^c \Rightarrow D^c$ is $\rho_3 \rho_4$ -open $\Rightarrow D$ is $\rho_3 \rho_4$ -closed in $Y \times Z$.

Hence

$f \times I_Z : (X \times Z, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \rho_3, \rho_4)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed. Thus $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper.

(ii \rightarrow iii).

Let ξ be a filter on X and $y \in Y$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -cluster point of $f(\xi)$

$\Rightarrow y \in \bigcap \{ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \text{cl}(f(F)) : F \in \xi \}$. Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed and $(1,2)^*$ -continuous, then by [1,8]

$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \text{cl}(f(F)) = f(\tau_1 \tau_2 \text{cl}(F))$ for every $F \in \xi \Rightarrow y \in f(\tau_1 \tau_2 \text{cl}(F))$ for every $F \in \xi$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) \cap \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{cl}(F) \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \xi$. Let $\xi_0 = \{ f^{-1}(y) \cap \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi \} \Rightarrow \xi_0$ is a filter

base on $f^{-1}(y)$ whose elements are $\tau_{1f^{-1}(y)} \tau_{2f^{-1}(y)}$ -closed subsets of $(f^{-1}(y), \tau_{1f^{-1}(y)}, \tau_{2f^{-1}(y)})$.

Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact, then by (1.14) there exist $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ such that

$x \in \bigcap \{ f^{-1}(y) \cap \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{cl}(F) : F \in \xi \} \Rightarrow \exists x \in f^{-1}(y)$ such that $x \in \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{cl}(F)$ for every $F \in \xi$
 $\Rightarrow \xi \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} x$ and $f(x) = y$.

(iii \rightarrow ii).

Let A be a non-empty $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -closed subset of X and let ξ be the filter of subsets of X which

contains $A \Rightarrow A$ is the set of $(1,2)^*$ -cluster points of ξ . Let B be the set of $(1,2)^*$ -cluster

points of $f(\xi)$ on $Y \Rightarrow B$ is $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ -closed set in Y and $f(A) \subseteq B$. To prove that $B \subseteq f(A)$

, let $y \in B \Rightarrow f(\xi) \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} y$ by (iii), $\exists x \in X$ such that $\xi \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} x$ and $f(x) = y$. But A is the set of all $(1,2)^*$ -cluster points of ξ , then $x \in A$ and $f(x) = y \Rightarrow y \in f(A) \Rightarrow B \subseteq f(A) \Rightarrow B = f(A)$

$\Rightarrow f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed. Now, to prove that $f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact for each $y \in Y$.

Let

$y \in Y$, then either $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$ or $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$. If $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset \Rightarrow f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact. If $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$, then let ξ be a filter on $f^{-1}(y) \Rightarrow f(\xi)$ be a filter generated by f on $\{y\}$, but $\{y\}$

is $(1,2)^*$ -compact and $y \in \{y\}$, then $f(\xi) \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} y$ in $\{y\} \subseteq Y$. This implies that $f(\xi) \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} y$ in

Y . By (iii) $\exists x \in X$ such that $\xi \overset{(1,2)^*}{\infty} x$ and $f(x) = y \Rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(y)$ and $f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact for each $y \in Y$.

Corollary(3.21): A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)^*$ -compact if and only if the constant

function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow P = \{w\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper.

Proof: It is Obvious.

Theorem(3.22): If (X, τ_1, τ_2) is any $(1,2)^*$ -compact space and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is any bitopological

space , then the projection $pr_2 : (X \times Y, \tau_1 \times \sigma_1, \tau_2 \times \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Proof: Since (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact space, then by (3.21) $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow P$ is $(1,2)^*$ -

Proper . Since $I_Y : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper , then by (3.17) $f \times I_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow P \times Y \cong Y$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper . But $pr_2 = f \times I_Y$. Thus $pr_2 : (X \times Y, \tau_1 \times \sigma_1, \tau_2 \times \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

Definition(3.23): A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ from a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) into

a bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is called $(1,2)^*$ -compact if the inverse image of every $(1,2)^*$ -compact set in Y is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact set in X .

Theorem(3.24): Every $(1,2)^*$ -proper function is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact function .

Proof: Let $f : (X, \tau, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \sigma')$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function and K be a $(1,2)^*$ -compact

subset of Y , then by (3.8) , $f_K : (f^{-1}(K), \tau_{f^{-1}(K)}, \tau'_{f^{-1}(K)}) \rightarrow (K, \sigma_K, \sigma'_K)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper . Since $K \rightarrow P$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper (by (3.21)) it follows from (3.12) , no.(i) that the composition $f^{-1}(K) \xrightarrow{f_K} K \rightarrow P$ is $(1,2)^*$ -proper . Hence by (3.21), $f^{-1}(K)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact set in X .

Remark(3.25): The converse of (3.24) may not be true in general .Consider the following example:

Example: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$ and

$\sigma_2 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{b\}\}$. The sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets in X and the sets in

$\{\emptyset, Y, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}\}$ are $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed sets in Y . Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function

which is defined by : $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = b$ and $f(c) = c \Rightarrow f$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact function, but it

is not $(1,2)^*$ -proper function ,since f is not $(1,2)^*$ -closed function .

Theorem(3.26): Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ be two $(1,2)^*$ -

continuous functions . Then:-

i) If f and g are $(1,2)^*$ -compact, then $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact .

ii) If $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact and f is onto, then g is $(1,2)^*$ -compact .

iii) If $g \circ f$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact and g is one-to-one , then f is $(1,2)^*$ -compact .

Proof: The proof is similar of theorem (3.12) .

Definition(3.27): A subset F of be a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(1,2)^*$ -compactly closed if $F \cap K$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact for each $(1,2)^*$ -compact set K in X .

Remark(3.28): Every $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)^*$ -compactly closed . But the converse is not true in general . Consider the following example:-

Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X\}$. The sets in $\{X, \phi, \{b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed .

Thus $\{a\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compactly closed in X , but it is not $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed .

Definition(3.29): A $(1,2)^*$ - T_2 -space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a $(1,2)^*$ - K -space if every $(1,2)^*$ -compactly closed subset of X is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed .

Theorem(3.30): Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -continuous function such that Y is a $(1,2)^*$ - K -space . Then f is a $(1,2)^*$ -proper function if and only if f is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact function .

Proof: \Rightarrow By (3.24) every $(1,2)^*$ -proper function is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact function .

Conversely , since f is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact function and $\{y\}$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact set in Y , then by

(3.23), $f^{-1}(y)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -compact in X for each $y \in Y$. Now , to prove that f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed . Let F

be any $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set in X , to prove that $f(F)$ is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set in Y . Suppose that K is a

$(1,2)^*$ -compact set in Y , then $f^{-1}(K)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact set in X . Since $F \cap f^{-1}(K)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -

compact set in X and f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous, then by [5] $f(F \cap f^{-1}(K))$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -compact set in Y .

Since $f(F \cap f^{-1}(K)) = f(F) \cap K$, then by (3.27) $f(F)$ is a $(1,2)^*$ -compactly closed set in Y . But Y

is a $(1,2)^*$ - K -space , then by (3.29) $f(F)$ is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set in Y . Therefore by (3.20) f is a

$(1,2)^*$ -proper function .

References

1. Kelly, J. C. (1963) Bitopological spaces , proc. London Math. Soc.,13 (3), 71-89 .
2. Lellis Thivagar, M.; Ravi, O. and Ekici, E. (2008) On $(1,2)^*$ -sets and decompositions of bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -continuous mappings , Kochi J. Math., 3 , 181-189.
3. Ravi, O. ; Jeyashri, S.; Pious Missier, S. and Nagendran, R. (2010) $(1,2)^*$ -semi-normal spaces and some bitopological functions (to appear) .
4. Ravi, O. and Lellis Thivagar, M. (2004) On stronger forms of $(1,2)^*$ - quotient mappings in bitopological spaces , Internat J. Math. Game Theory and Algebra , 14(6) , 481-492 .Datta,

5. M. C. (1972) Projective bitopological spaces , J. Austral. Math. Soc., 13 , 327–334.
6. Willard, S. (1970) General Topology , Addison-Wesley Inc., Mass .
7. Lellis Thivagar, M. ; Ravi, O. ; Joseph Israle, M. and Kayathri, K.(2009) decompositions of $(1,2)^*$ -rg-continuous maps in bitopological spaces (to appear in Antarctica J. Math.) .
8. Antony, J.; Ravi, O.; Pandi, A. and Santhana, C.M.(2011) On $(1,2)^*$ -s-normal spaces and pre- $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed functions , International Journal of Algorithms ,Computing and mathematics, 4 (1) ,29-42 .
9. Ravi, O.; Pious Missier, S. and Salai Parkunan, T. (2011) On $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalized-star Homeomorphisms,International Journal of Computer Science and Emerging Technologies , 2 (2) ,312-318 .

حول الدوال السديدة -*(1,2) في الفضاءات التوبولوجية الثنائية

صبيحة إبراهيم محمود

قسم الرياضيات / كلية العلوم / الجامعة المستنصرية

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث قدمنا نوعا جديدا من الدوال في الفضاءات التوبولوجية الثنائية أسميناها بالدوال السديدة -*(1,2) (proper functions) -*(1,2). كذلك درسنا الخواص الأساسية والمكافئات للدوال السديدة -*(1,2). احد أهم لتعارف المكافئة لهذه الدوال أعطي باستخدام النقاط العنقودية -*(1,2) للمرشحات (cluster points of) -*(1,2). filters

فضلا عن ذلك عرفنا و درسنا الدوال التامة -*(1,2) (perfect functions) -*(1,2) و الدوال المتراسة -*(1,2)

(compact functions) -*(1,2) في الفضاءات التوبولوجية الثنائية. كذلك درسنا العلاقة بين الدوال السديدة -*(1,2) وكل من الدوال المغلقة -*(1,2) (closed functions) -*(1,2) و الدوال التامة -*(1,2) و الدوال المتراسة -*(1,2) على التوالي مع أعطاء مثال للاتجاه غير الصحيح.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الدوال السديدة -*(1,2)، الدوال التامة -*(1,2)، الدوال المتراسة -*(1,2)، النقاط العنقودية -*(1,2)، فضاءات T_2 -*(1,2)، المجموعات المغلقة رصا -*(1,2)، فضاءات K -*(1,2).