

On Fuzzy Groups and Group Homomorphism

L. N. M. Tawfiq , M. M. Qa'aed

Department of Mathematics, College of Education Ibn Al-Haitham,
University of Baghdad.

Received in: 9 December 2009 Accepted in: 14 December 2010

Abstract

In this paper, we study the effect of group homomorphism on the chain of level subgroups of fuzzy groups. We prove a necessary and sufficient conditions under which the chains of level subgroups of homomorphic images of an arbitrary fuzzy group can be obtained from that of the fuzzy groups .

Also, we find the chains of level subgroups of homomorphic images and pre-images of arbitrary fuzzy groups.

Key word:- Fuzzy Groups, Group Homomorphism.

1.Introduction

If X is a non- empty set then a function $m: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a fuzzy subset of X [1] . A fuzzy subset m of G is said to be fuzzy subgroup of G if and only if $m(xy) \geq \min\{m(x), m(y)\}$ and $m(x) = m(x^{-1})$ [2] .

It is easy to see that if m is fuzzy subgroup of G , then $m(e) \geq m(x), \forall x \in G$. [3]

We say that m has the sup-property if every non- empty subset of $\text{Im}(m)$ has a maximal element.[4], [5] .

If m is a fuzzy subset of G , then the subset $m_t = \{x \in G; m(x) \geq t\}, t \in [0, 1]$ is called the level subset of m in G and $m_t^* = \{x \in G; m(x) > t\}$ is called the strong level subset of m in G when $t = 0$ the subset m_0^* is called support of m in G and it will be denoted by m^* [6], [7] .

If I is a fuzzy subgroup of G , then the level subsets I_t of I in G and the strong level subsets I_t^* of I in $G, t \in [0, I(e)]$, are subgroups of G and viceversa [8] .

If $t_1, t_2 \in \text{Im}(m)$ such that $t_1 > t_2$, then obviously, $m_{t_1} \subseteq m_{t_2}$. Further, if $\text{Im}(m) = \{t_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_n$, then the level subgroups of m form a chain of subgroups of G . $C(m) = m_{t_1} \supseteq m_{t_2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq m_{t_n} = G$ [2] .

Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of groups, I be a fuzzy subgroup of G , m a fuzzy subgroup of H . Then $f^{-1}(m) = m \circ f$, $\forall x \in G$,

$$f(I)(y) = \begin{cases} \text{Sub}\{I(x); x \in f^{-1}(y)\} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset; \forall y \in H \end{cases}$$

and the fuzzy sets $f(I)$ and $f^{-1}(m)$ are fuzzy subgroups of H and G respectively [7], [9].
 Now let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and $I \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ be a fuzzy subset of X . Then we say that I is f -invariant if $I(x_1) = I(x_2)$ whenever $f(x_1) = f(x_2), x_1, x_2 \in X$. [5]

2. Homomorphic pre-images of fuzzy groups

In this section, we prove necessary and sufficient conditions under which the chains of level subgroups of homomorphic pre-images of an arbitrary fuzzy group can be obtained from that of the fuzzy group.

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism and m is a fuzzy subgroup of H

We shall denote by $\phi^{-1}(C(m))$ the chain consisting of inverse images under ϕ of members of $C(m)$. I is a fuzzy subgroup of G .

Proposition (2.1)

If m is a fuzzy subgroup of H and $\{m_j \mid j \in J\}$ is the collection of all level subgroups of m then $\{\phi^{-1}(m_j) \mid j \in J\}$ is the collection of all level subgroups of $\phi^{-1}(m)$.

Proof

Let $I = \phi^{-1}(m)$ and $t \in [0,1]$. Then :
 $x \in I_t \iff \phi^{-1}(m)(x) \geq t \iff m(\phi(x)) \geq t \iff \phi(x) \in m_t \iff x \in \phi^{-1}(m_t)$. Hence
 $I_t = \phi^{-1}(m_t) \iff t \in [0, 1] \dots \dots \dots (1)$

In particular, we have : $I_{t_j} = \phi^{-1}(m_{t_j}) \iff j \in J$.

If I has a level subgroup I_t which does not belong to $\{\phi^{-1}(m_j) \mid j \in J\}$ then m must have a level subgroup m_t which does not belong to $\{m_j \mid j \in J\}$ such that (1) holds. This is a contradiction. Hence the result.

We observe from the following example that some of the $\phi^{-1}(m_j)$'s may be equal so that $C(\phi^{-1}(m))$ has fewer components than $C(m)$.

Example (2.2)

Let $G = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$ and $H = \{e, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}$.
 Then G is a group w. r. t. the usual multiplication of numbers and H is the permutation group of degree three, with e as identity transformation.

Define $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ by $\phi(x) = e, \forall x \in G$. Then ϕ is a group homomorphism. Define $m : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by :

$m(e) = 1, m((12)) = 0.5, m(x) = 0.3, \forall x \in H \setminus \{e, (12)\}$.
 Then m is a fuzzy subgroup of H with level subgroups :
 $m_1 = \{e\}, m_{0.5} = \{e, (12)\}, m_{0.3} = H$. But $I = \phi^{-1}(m)$ is defined by :
 $I(x) = 1$ for every $x \in G$. Hence, $I_1 = I_{0.5} = I_{0.3} = G$.

Now, we proceed to derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the distinctness of all the $\phi^{-1}(m_j)$. For $t \in \text{Im}(m)$, we define : $F_m(t) = \{x \in G \mid m(x) = t\}$.

Theorem (2.3)

Let $\psi : G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism and m is a fuzzy subgroup of H with $\text{Im}(m) = \{t_j \mid j \in J\}$ where J is a countable index set.

Then $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_j})$ are all distinct if and only if $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_j) \neq \emptyset \mid j \in J$.

Proof

Assume that $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_j}), j \in J$, are all distinct. Let e^* denote the identity element in H . Since ψ is a homomorphism, $e^* \in \psi(G)$. Also, $t_0 \leq t_j$ for every $j \in J$ and hence $m(e^*) = t_0$. Hence, $e^* \in F_m(t_0)$. Therefore, $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_0) \neq \emptyset$.

Now, suppose $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_j) \neq \emptyset$ is empty for some $p > 0$.

Since $t_{p-1} > t_p$, we have $m_{t_{p-1}} \leq m_{t_p}$ and hence $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_{p-1}}) \supseteq \psi^{-1}(m_{t_p})$.

Now, $x \in \psi^{-1}(m_{t_p}) \Rightarrow \psi(x) \in m_{t_p} \cup F_m(t_j) \Rightarrow \psi(x) \in m_{t_{p-1}}$

since $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_j) = \emptyset \Rightarrow x \in \psi^{-1}(m_{t_{p-1}})$.

Hence, $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_p}) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(m_{t_{p-1}})$ and therefore $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_p}) = \psi^{-1}(m_{t_{p-1}})$.

This contradicts the assumption that $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_j})$ are all distinct.

Hence, $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_j) \neq \emptyset \mid j \in J$.

Assume that $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_j})$'s are not all distinct. Then we can find $p, q \in J$ such that $t_p < t_q$ and $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_p}) = \psi^{-1}(m_{t_q})$(2)

We assume that $t_p < t_q$. Since $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_p)$ is non-empty, there exists $x \in G$ such that $\psi(x) \in F_m(t_p)$. This implies that $m(\psi(x)) = t_p$.

Since $t_p < t_q$, we have, $\psi(x) \in m_{t_p}$ and $\psi(x) \notin m_{t_q}$. Therefore :

$x \in \psi^{-1}(m_{t_p})$ and $x \notin \psi^{-1}(m_{t_q})$. This contradicts (2). Therefore $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_j})$ are all distinct.

Remark (2.4)

It can be observed from the proof that the second part of the proof in the above theorem hold even when J is uncountable.

If ψ is a surjection, then $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_j) \neq \emptyset \mid j \in J$; and hence $\psi^{-1}(m_{t_j})$ are all distinct.

Corollary (2.5)

If $\text{Im}(m) = \{t_j \mid j \in J\}$ and $\psi(G) \cap F_m(t_j) \neq \emptyset \mid j \in J$, then $C(\psi^{-1}(m)) \cong \psi^{-1}(C(m))$. In particular, if $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_n$

then $C(\psi^{-1}(m)) \cong \psi^{-1}(m_{t_1}) \times \psi^{-1}(m_{t_2}) \times \dots \times \psi^{-1}(m_{t_n})$.

Proof :

The result follows from theorem (2.3).

3.Homomorphic images of fuzzy groups.

In this section, we study the relationship between $C(l)$ and $C(\psi(l))$. And prove that if l is a fuzzy subgroup of G with $Im(l) = \{t_j \mid j=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_n$ and if $\psi : G \otimes H$ is a surjective group homomorphism,

then the chain $\psi(l_{t_1}) \supseteq \psi(l_{t_2}) \supseteq \dots \supseteq \psi(l_{t_n})$ contains all level subgroups of $\psi(l)$.

In the following proposition, we remove the restriction on the finiteness of $|Im(l)|$.

Proposition (3.1)

If ψ is a surjection, l has sup-property and $\{l_{t_j} \mid j \in J\}$ is the collection of all level subgroups of l , then $\{\psi(l_{t_j}) \mid j \in J\}$ is the collection of all level subgroups of $\psi(l)$.

Proof

Let $m = \psi(l)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Then $u \in m_t \iff m(u) \geq t \iff \sup\{l(x) \mid x \in \psi^{-1}(u)\} \geq t$.

Since l has sup-property, this implies that $l(x_0) \geq t$, for some $x_0 \in \psi^{-1}(u)$.

Then $x_0 \in l_t$ and hence $\psi(x_0) = u \in \psi(l_t)$.

Therefore, we have $m_t \subseteq \psi(l_t)$.

Now, if $u \in \psi(l_t)$ then $u = \psi(x)$ for some $x \in l_t$ and hence.

$$m(u) = \sup\{l(z) \mid z \in \psi^{-1}(u)\} = \sup\{l(z) \mid \psi(z) = \psi(x)\} \geq l(x) \geq t$$

(Since $x \in l_t$). Therefore $u \in m_t$ and hence $\psi(l_t) \subseteq m_t$.

Thus we have $m_t = \psi(l_t)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$(3)

In particular, $m_{t_j} = \psi(l_{t_j})$, " $j \in J$ ". Hence all $\psi(l_{t_j})$'s are level subgroups of $m = \psi(l)$. Also, it follows from (3) and the assumption that these are the only level subgroups of m .

The following example shows that surjectiveness of ψ , in the above proposition, is essential. □

Example (3.2)

Let $G = \{1, -1\}$ and $H = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$.

Define $\psi : G \otimes H$ by $\psi(x) = x$, " $x \in G$ ". Then ψ is a non-surjective group homomorphism.

Define $l : G \otimes [0, 1]$ by $l(1) = 0.3$ and $l(-1) = 0.1$.

Then l is a fuzzy subgroup of G having sup-property. The level subgroups of l are $l_{0.3} = \{1\}$ and $l_{0.1} = G$. Now, $m = \psi(l)$ is defined by :

$m(1) = 0.3, m(-1) = 0.1, m(i) = m(-i) = 0$. Hence the level subgroups of m are $m_{0.3} = \psi(l_{0.3}) = \{1\}, m_{0.1} = \psi(l_{0.1}) = \{1, -1\}$ and $m_0 = H$. Therefore,

$\{\psi(l_{0.3}), \psi(l_{0.1})\}$ does not contain all level subgroups of m . We observe from the following example that surjectiveness of ψ does not guarantee the distinctness of all $\psi(l_{t_j})$.

Example (3.3)

Let $G = P_3$ and H be the subgroup $\{e, (12)\}$ of P_3 , where P_3 denotes the permutation group of degree three.

Define $\lambda : G \otimes H$ by :

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} e & " x \in \{e, (123), (132)\} \\ (12) & " x \in \{(12), (13), (23)\} \end{cases}$$

Then λ is a surjective group homomorphism. Define $\mu : G \otimes [0, 1]$ by :

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } x = (12) \\ 0.2 & " x \in G \setminus \{e, (12)\} \\ 0.9 & \text{if } x = e \end{cases}$$

Then μ is a fuzzy subgroup of G having sup-property. The level subgroups of μ are $\mu_{0.9} = \{e\}$, $\mu_{0.5} = \{e, (12)\}$, $\mu_{0.2} = G$. Now, $\mu(\lambda)$ is given by $\mu(\lambda)(e) = 0.9$, $\mu(\lambda)((12)) = 0.5$ and hence $\mu(\lambda)_{0.9} = \{e\}$, $\mu(\lambda)_{0.5} = \mu(\lambda)_{0.2} = H$.

In the following theorem we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the distinctness of all $\mu(\lambda)_{t_j}$.

Theorem (3.4)

If $\lambda : G \otimes H$ is a surjective group homomorphism and μ is a fuzzy subgroup of G having sup-property and $\text{Im}(\lambda) = \{t_j \mid j \in J\}$ where J is a countable index set. Then $\{\mu(\lambda)_{t_j} \mid j \in J\}$, are all distinct if and only if μ is λ -invariant.

Proof

Suppose $\mu(\lambda)_{t_j}$'s are all distinct. Since $t_j > t_{j+1} \forall j \in J$ we have $\mu(\lambda)_{t_j} \supseteq \mu(\lambda)_{t_{j+1}}$, and hence, $\mu(\lambda)_{t_j} \not\supseteq \mu(\lambda)_{t_{j+1}}$.

Let $x, y \in G$ such that $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$. Let $\mu(\lambda)_{t_p}$ be the smallest $\mu(\lambda)_{t_j}$ which contains $\mu(x)$. If $p = 0$.

Then $\mu(x) = \mu(y) \in \mu(\lambda)_{t_0}$ and hence

$\mu(x) = \mu(y) = \mu(e)$. If $p > 0$. Then $\mu(x), \mu(y) \in \mu(\lambda)_{t_p}$ and

$\mu(x), \mu(y) \notin \mu(\lambda)_{t_{p-1}}$. Hence $x, y \in \mu(\lambda)_{t_p}$ and $x, y \notin \mu(\lambda)_{t_{p-1}}$.

Therefore $\mu(x) = \mu(y) = t_p$.

Thus, in both cases, we have $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$, and hence μ is λ -invariant.

Conversely, Assume that μ is λ -invariant. Then for any $z \in H$,

$$\mu(\lambda)(z) = \mu(x) \wedge \mu(\lambda)^{-1}(z) \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

If $\mu(\lambda)_{t_j}$'s are not distinct then there exists $t_p, t_q \in \text{Im}(\lambda)$ such that $t_p > t_q$ and $\mu(\lambda)_{t_p} = \mu(\lambda)_{t_q}$. Since $t_p, t_q \in \text{Im}(\lambda)$, there exist $x, y \in G$ such that $\mu(x) = t_p$, and $\mu(y) = t_q$. Hence by (4), we have :

$$\mu(\lambda)(\mu(x)) = t_p \text{ and } \mu(\lambda)(\mu(y)) = t_q$$

Therefore $t_p, t_q \in \text{Im}(\mu(\lambda))$ and hence it follows that $\mu(\lambda)_{t_p} \not\supseteq \mu(\lambda)_{t_q}$.

This is a contradiction. Hence $\{l_{t_j}, j \in J\}$, are all distinct. \square

We observe that the proof of the second part does not require the countability of J . Hence we have following result.

Corollary (3.5)

If $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ is a surjective group homomorphism and l is an ϕ -invariant fuzzy subgroup of G having sup-property then :

$$C(\phi(l)) \circ \phi(C(l)).$$

Proof

The result follows from theorem (3.4).

Corollary (3.6)

Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ is a surjective group homomorphism and l be a fuzzy subgroup of G with $\text{Im}(l) = \{t_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_n$. Then :

- (i) $\{\phi(l_{t_i}) \mid i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ contains all level subgroups of $\phi(l)$.
- (ii) $\{\phi(l_{t_i}) \mid i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are all distinct if and only if l is ϕ -invariant.
- (iii) If l is ϕ -invariant then $\text{Im}(\phi(l)) = \text{Im}(l)$ and $C(\phi(l)) \circ \phi(l_{t_1}) \cap \phi(l_{t_2}) \cap \dots \cap \phi(l_{t_n})$.

Proof

It is straight forward. \square

Remark (3.7)

Theorems (2.3) and (3.4) give us methods to obtain the chains of level subgroups of homomorphic images and pre-images of an arbitrary fuzzy group from that of the given fuzzy group.

More specifically if $\phi(G) \subseteq F_m(t)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $t \in \text{Im}(m)$,

then $C(\phi^{-1}(m)) \circ \phi^{-1}(C(m))$. Further, if ϕ is a surjection and l is ϕ -invariant, then $C(\phi(l)) \circ \phi(C(l))$.

References

1. Gupta K.C and Sarmab B.K.,(1999) "nilpotent fuzzy groups", fuzzy set and systems, 101, : 167-176,.
2. Das.P.S.,1981 "Fuzzy groups and level subgroups", J. Math. Anal. And appl. 84: 264-269,
3. Mukherjee N.P. ,(1948) " Fuzzy normal subgroups and Fuzzy cosets ", Infor. Sci , 34: 225-239.
4. Abou-Dareb, A, T.,(2000)" On Almost Quasi-Frobenius Fuzzy rings ", M. Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
5. Malik D. S. and Mordeson J. N. (1991)," Fuzzy subgroups of abelian groups" , Chinese, J. Math., 19, No. 2.
6. Bhattacharya P., (1987)" Fuzzy subgroups:some characterization", J. Math. Anal. And appl. 128: 241-252.
- 7.Mordeson J.N.,(1996) " L-subspaces and L-subfields " .
8. Martines L.,(1995) " Fuzzy subgroups of fuzzy groups and fuzzy ideals of fuzzy rings" , J. Math. Losangeles , 3 , No. 4.

9. Ajmal N. ,1994 " homomorphism of fuzzy groups, correspondence theorem and fuzzy quotient groups ", Fuzzy sets and systems. 61 : 329-339 .

حول الزمر الضبابية و زمر التشاكل

لمى ناجي محمد توفيق | مهيب محمد قائد

قسم الرياضيات | كلية التربية ابن الهيثم | جامعة بغداد

استلم البحث في: 9 كانون الاول 2009 قبل البحث في: 14 كانون الاول 2010

الخلاصة

يهتم هذا البحث بدراسة تأثير تشاكل الزمر في سلاسل الزمر الجزئية المستوية من الزمر الضبابية وأثبتنا الشروط الضرورية و اللازمة للحصول على سلاسل الزمر الجزئية المستوية لصور التشاكل (الصور العكسية) لأي زمرة ضبابية اختيارية بالوقت نفسه تمكنا بواسطة تلك النظريات من إيجاد سلاسل الزمر الجزئية المستوية لصور التشاكل والصور العكسية لها في أي زمرة ضبابية اختيارية .

الكلمات المفتاحية: -الزمر الضبابية ، تشاكل الزمر