

## فضاءات الرص من النوع -L

سعاد جدعان

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية - ابن الهيثم ، جامعة بغداد

استلم البحث في 25 ايار 2010

قبل البحث في 27 ايلول 2010

### الخلاصة

الغرض من هذا البحث دراسة أنواع جديدة من التراص في الفضاءات التبلوجيه الثنائية، أذ سنقدم التراص من

النوع-ال

## L- compact Spaces

S. Gedaan

Department of Mathematics, Ibn-Al-Haitham College of Education,  
University of Baghdad

Received in May,25,2010

Accepted in Sept,27,2010

### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study a new types of compactness in bitopological spaces. We shall introduce the concepts of L- compactness.

### Introduction

The concept of bitopological space was initiated by Kelly[1]. A set  $X$  equipped with two Topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is called a bitopological space denoted by  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

By a directed set we mean a pair  $(A, \geq)$  consisting of a non-empty set  $A$  and a binary relation  $\geq$  defined on  $A$  and satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $a \geq a$  for each  $a \in A$ .
- (2) If  $a \geq b$  and  $b \geq c$ , then  $a \geq c$  for each  $a, b$ , and  $c$  in  $A$ .
- (3) For each two members  $a$  and  $b$  of  $A$ , there exists a member  $c \in A$  such that  $c \geq a$  and  $c \geq b$ .

If  $(A, \geq)$  is a directed set and  $f$  is a function of  $A$  into a non-empty set  $X$ , then  $f$  is called a "net" in  $X$  and is denoted by  $(f, X, A, \geq)$ . The image of  $a \in A$  under  $f$  is denoted by  $f_a$  and a net in  $X$  will be sometimes denoted by  $\{f_a: a \in A\}$ . [2]

A "filter" on a non-empty set  $X$  is a non-empty family  $F$  of subsets of  $X$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $\emptyset \notin F$ .
- (2) If  $F \in F$  and  $F \subseteq H$ , then  $H \in F$ .
- (3) If  $F \in F$  and  $H \in F$ , then  $F \cap H \in F$ .

A filter on a non-empty set is said to be an ultrafilter if and only if it is not properly contained in any other filter on this set. [2]

L-open set was studied by Al-swid[2], a subset  $G$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be “L –open” set if and only if there exists a  $\tau_1$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subseteq G \subseteq cl_{\tau_2}(U)$ , the family of all L-open subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $L-O(X)$ . The complement of an L-open set is called “L-closed” set, the family of all L-closed subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $L-C(X)$ . In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  every  $\tau_1$ -open set is an L-open set[3]. The union of any family of L-open subsets of  $X$  is an L-open set, but the intersection of any two L-open subsets of  $X$  need not be L-open set[2]. Al-Talkahny [3], introduced two new concepts “ $L-T_2$  -spaces” and “L-continuous functions”. A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be “ $L-T_2$  -space” if and only if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exist two disjoint L-open subset  $G$  and  $H$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in G$  and  $y \in H$ . Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \tau_1', \tau_2')$  be any bitopological spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be any function, then  $f$  is said to be “L-continuous” function if and only if the inverse image of any L-open subset of  $Y$  is an L-open subset of  $X$ .

## 2- L-compactness

### Definition(2.1)

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . By an “L-open cover of  $A$ ” we mean a subcollection of the family  $L-O(X)$  which covers  $A$ .

Remark(2.2):

Every  $\tau_1$ -open cover in a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an L-open cover.

The converse of remark (2.2) is not true in general as the following example shows:

### Example (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \\ \tau_1 &= \{X, \phi, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\} \\ \tau_2 &= \{X, \phi, \{1\}\} \\ F_2 &= \{X, \phi, \{2, 3, 4\}\} \end{aligned}$$

$L-O(X) = \{X, \phi, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$   
 et  $C = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$ , note that  $C$  is an L-open cover of  $X$ , but it is not  $\tau_1$ -open cover.

### Definition(2.4)

A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be “L-compact space” if and only if every L-open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

### Proposition (2.5)

If a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an L-compact space, then  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a compact space.

Proof: follows from remark (2.2).

**Remark (2.6)**

The opposite direction of proposition (2.5) is not true in general, as the following example shows:

Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  and let  $x_o \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\tau_1 = \{ \mathbb{N}, \phi, \{x_o\} \}$$

$\tau_2 = I$  =The indiscrete topology

$$L-O(X) = \{ U \subseteq \mathbb{N}; x_o \in U \text{ or } U = \phi \}$$

Note that  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_1)$  is compact but  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not L-compact.

**Proposition (2.7)**

An L-closed subset of an L-compact space is L-compact.

Proof:

Let A be an L-closed subset of an L-compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be an L-open cover of A. Then  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \cup A^c$  forms an L-open cover of X which is L-compact space. So there are finitely many elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i} \cup A^c$ , it follows that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}$ . Hence A is an L-compact.

**Corollary (2.8)**

An L-closed subset of an L-compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$ -compact.

Proof:

Follows from proposition (2.7) and (2.5).

**Corollary (2.9)**

A  $\tau_1$ -closed subset of an L-compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is L-compact.

Proof:

Since every  $\tau_1$ -closed set is an L-closed set and by proposition (2.7).

**Corollary (2.10)**

A  $\tau_1$ -closed subset of an L-compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$ -compact.

Proof:

Follows from corollary(2.9) and proposition (2.5).

**Proposition(2.11)**

The L-continuous image of an L-compact space is an L-compact.

Proof:

Suppose that  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an L-continuous and onto function and  $X$  is an L-compact space. Let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be an L-open cover of  $Y$ ,

it follows that  $\{f^{-1}(G_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is an L-open cover of  $X$  which is L-compact. So there are

finitely many elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(G_{\alpha_i}) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}\right)$

.Therefore  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}$ , hence  $Y$  is an L-compact.

**Corollary (2.12)**

Let  $f:(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an L-continuous function, then  $f(A)$  is a compact subset of  $(Y, \tau_1)$  for each L-compact subset  $A$  of  $X$ .

Proof:

Follows from propositions (2.11) and (2.5).

It is known that every compact subset of any  $T_2$  -space is closed. If we change the concepts of compact,  $T_2$  and closed by the concepts L-compact- $T_2$  and L-closed, then this fact being invalid in general, as the following example shows:

**Example (2.13)**

$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}$$

$$\tau_1 = \{X, \phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$$

$$\tau_2 = I$$

$$L-O(X) = \{X, \phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$$

$L-C(X) = \{X, \phi, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{3\}, \{2\}, \{1\}\}$ . Clear that  $X$  is an L- $T_2$ -space. If  $A = \{1, 2\}$ , then  $A$  is an L-compact subset of  $X$ , but it is not L-closed.

**Definition (2.14): [3]**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then  $A$  is called an

L-neighborhood of  $x$  if and only if there is an L-open set  $G$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in G \subseteq A$ .

**Definition (2.15) [3]**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . The intersection of all L-closed set containing  $A$  is called “L-closure of  $A$ ” denoted by  $L-cl(A)$ .

**Theorem (2.16) [4]**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . A point  $x$  in  $X$  is an L-closure point of  $A$  if and only if every L-open neighborhood of  $x$  intersects  $A$ .

**Definition (2.17) [4]**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $(f, X, A, \geq)$  be a net in  $X$ , then  $f$  is said to be “L-convergent” to a point  $x_o$  in  $X$  if and only if for each L-open neighborhood  $N$  of  $x_o$ , there exists an element  $a_o \in A$  such that  $f_a \in N$  for each  $a \geq a_o$ .

**Definition (2.18) [4]**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $(f, X, A, \geq)$  be a net in  $X$ . A point  $x_o$  in  $X$  is called an “L-cluster point of  $f$ ” if and only if for each  $a \in A$  and for each L-open neighborhood  $N$  of  $x_o$ , there exists an element  $b \geq a$  in  $A$  such that  $f_b \in N$ .

**Theorem (2.19) [4]**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $(f, X, A, \geq)$  be a net in  $X$ . For each  $a \in A$  let  $M_a = \{f(x) : x \geq a \text{ in } A\}$ , then a point  $p$  of  $X$  is an L-cluster point of  $f$  if and only if  $p \in L-cl(M_a)$  for each  $a \in A$ .

**Definition (2.20)**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $F$  be a filter on  $X$ . A point  $x$  in  $X$  is called an “L-cluster point of  $F$ ” if and only if each L-open neighborhood of  $x$  intersects every member of  $F$ .

**Theorem (2.21)**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $F$  be a filter on  $X$ . A point  $p$  in  $X$  is an L-cluster point of  $F$  if and only if  $p \in L-cl(F)$  for each  $F \in F$ .

Proof: the “first direction”

Suppose that  $p$  is an L-cluster point of  $F$ . then for each L-open neighborhood  $G$  of  $p$ ,  $G \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for each  $F \in F$ , it follows by theorem (2.16) that  $p \in L-cl(F)$  for each  $F \in F$ .

The “second direction”

Assume that  $p \in L-cl(F)$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then by theorem (2.16) every L-open neighborhood of p intersects F for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence p is an L-cluster point of  $\mathcal{F}$

**Definition (2.22) [2]**

A collection of sets is said to have the finite intersection property (FIP) if and only if the intersection of each finite subcollection of it is non empty.

**Remark (2.23) [2]**

Every filter in a non- empty set X has the FIP.

**Theorem (2.24) [3]**

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non empty collection of subsets of a set X such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has the FIP. Then there exists an ultra filter  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition (2.25) [4]**

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then A is an L-closed set if and only if

$$A = L-cl(A).$$

**Theorem (2.26)**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1- X is an L-compact space,
- 2- Every collection of L-closed subsets of X with the FIP has a non empty intersection, and
- 3- Every filter on X has an L-cluster point.

Proof:

1→2

Let  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of L-closed subset of X with the FIP. suppose that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha = \phi$ ,

it follows by De-Morgan Laws that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha^c = X$  therefore  $\{F_\alpha^c : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  forms an L-open cover for X which is an L-compact space, then there exists finitely many elements

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i}^c = X$ . Again by De-Morgan Laws we have that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} = \phi \text{ which is a contradiction since } \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \text{ has the FIP. Hence } \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha = \phi$$

2→3

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on  $X$ , then by remark (2.23)  $\mathcal{F}$  has the FIP, it follows that the collection  $\{L-cl(F): F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  of L-closed subsets of  $X$  also has the FIP, so by (2) there exists at least one point  $x \in \bigcap \{L-cl(F): F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  then by theorem (2.21)  $x$  is an L-cluster point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus every filter on  $X$  has an L-cluster point.

3→1

Assume that every filter on  $X$  has an L-cluster point and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be an L-open cover of  $X$ . suppose ,if possible,  $\mathfrak{S}$  has no finite sub cover the collection  $\{X-G: G \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  has the FIP, for if there is a finite sub collection  $\{X-G_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of such that  $\bigcap \{X-G_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \emptyset$  this implies that  $\bigcup \{G_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\} = X$  which contradicts our supposition that  $\mathfrak{S}$  has no finite sub cover, thus must have the FIP, it follows by theorem (2.24) that there exists an ultra filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  containing .by (3)  $\mathcal{F}$  has an L-cluster point  $x \in X$ , then by theorem (2.21)  $x \in L-cl(F)$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , in particular  $x \in L-cl(X-G)$  for each  $G \in \mathfrak{S}$ . But  $X-G$  is an L-closed subset of  $X$  for each  $G \in \mathfrak{S}$ , therefore by proposition (2.25)  $L-cl(X-G) = X-G$  for every  $G \in \mathfrak{S}$ . This implies  $x \in \bigcap \{X-G: G \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ , so by De-Morgan Laws  $x \in X - \bigcup \{G: G \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ , that is,  $x \notin \bigcup \{G: G \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ , which is a contradiction with the fact that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is an L-open cover of  $X$ , hence  $\mathfrak{S}$  must have a finite sub cover and consequently  $X$  is an L-compact space.

Proposition (2.27):

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. If  $X$  is an L-compact space, then every net in  $X$  has an L-cluster point.

Proof:

let  $(f, X, A, \leq)$  be a net in  $X$ . for each  $a \in A$  let  $K_a = \{f_x: x \geq a \text{ in } A\}$ . Since  $A$  is directed by  $\geq$ , so the collection  $\{K_a: a \in A\}$  has the FIP. Hence  $\{L-cl(K_a): a \in A\}$  also has the FIP, it follows by theorem (2.26)  $\bigcap_{a \in A} L-cl(K_a) \neq \emptyset$  let  $p \in \bigcap_{a \in A} L-cl(K_a)$ , then  $p \in L-cl(K_a)$  for each  $a \in A$ , so by theorem (2.19)  $p$  is an L-cluster point of  $f$ .

## References

1. Kelly, J. C. (1963) " Bitopological spaces", Proc.London Math.Soc.13, p.p.71-89.
2. Sharma, L.J.N. (2000)"Topology", Krishna Prakashan Media (P) Ltd, India, Twenty Fifth Edition.
3. AL-Talkhany, Y.K. (2001)"Separation Axioms in Bitopological spaces", Research submitted to college of Education Babylon University as a partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for Degree of master of science in Math.,.
4. AL-Khafaji, A.H. (2005) "On L-Proper Actions", M.Sc. Thesis, University of AL-Mustansiriyah .

