Chained fuzzy modules

S. B.Semeein

Department of Mathematics, College of Education Ibn-Al-Haitham, University of Baghdad

Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring with unity. In this paper we introduce the notion of chained fuzzy modules as a generalization of chained modules. We investigate several characterizations and properties of this concept

Introduction

In this paper we introduce the concept of chained fuzzy modules as a generalization of the concept (chained modules) in ordinary algebra .This paper consists of three sections

In section one, we recall some basic definitions and results which we needed later.

In section two, we give some results about chained fuzzy modules such as it's relationship with it levels.

Section three is devoted for studying the direct sum of chained fuzzy modules.

Finally, we study the homomorphic image and inverse of chained fuzzy modules.

1. Preliminaries

The following definitions and results are needed later.

1.1 Definition, [1]

Let M be a nonempty set and I be the closed interval [0,1] of the real line (numbers). A fuzzy set A in M (a fuzzy subset A of M) is a function from M into I.

1.2 Definition, [2]

Let $x_t: M \longrightarrow [0,1]$ be a fuzzy set in M, where $x \in M$, $t \in [0,1]$ defined by:

 $X_{t}(y) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$

for all $y \in M$, x_t is called a fuzzy singleton or fuzzy point in M, if x=0 and t=1, then

 $0_{1}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } y = 0\\ 0 \text{ if } y \neq 0 \end{cases}$

We shall call such fuzzy singleton the fuzzy zero singleton.

1.3 Definition, [2]

Let A and B be two fuzzy sets in \boldsymbol{M} , then

1.
$$A = B i f$$
 and only if $A(X) = B(X)$, for all $x \in M$.

2. A \subseteq B if and only if A(X) \leq B(X), for all x \in M.

- **3.** $(A \cap B)(x) = \min\{A(x), B(x)\}$ for all $x \in M$.
- 4. $(A \cup B)(x) = \max\{A(x), B(x)\}$ for all $x \in M$.

1.4 Definition, [3]

Let A be a fuzzy set in M and $t \in [0,1]$. The set $A_t = \{x \in M, A(x) \ge t\}$ is called level subset of

A.

1.5 Remark (1)

The following properties of level subsets hold for each $t \in [0,1]$

- 1. $(A \cap B)_t = A_t \cap B_t$
- **2.** A=B if and only if $A_t=B_t$, for all $t \in [0,1]$.

IBN AL- HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SCI. VOL.23 (2) 2010

Where A and B are fuzzy sets.

Now, we can give the definition of image and inverse image of a fuzzy set.

1.6 Definition, [4]

Let f be a mapping from a set M into a set N, A be a fuzzy set in M and B be a fuzzy set in N. The image defined by:

$$f(A) = \begin{cases} \sup \{A(z) \mid z \in f^{-1}(y)\} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi, \\ & \text{for all } y \in N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $f^{-1}(y) = \{x: f(x) = y\}$

and the inverse image of B, denoted by $f^{-1}(B)$, is the fuzzy set in M defined by:

$f^{-1}(B)(x)=B(f(x))$, for all $x \in M$.

1.7 Definition, [5]

Let f be a mapping from a set M into a set M'. A fuzzy subset A of M is called f-invariant if A(x)=A(y) whenever f(x)=f(y), where x, $y \in M$.

The following lemma is needed in section three.

1.8 Lemma, [5]

If f is a function defined on a set M, A_1 and A_2 are fuzzy subset of M, B_1 and B_2 are fuzzy subset of f(M). Then the following are true:

- 1. $A_1 = f^{-1}(f(A))$, whenever A_1 is f-invariant.
- **2.** $f(f^{-1}(B_1))=B_1$
- **3.** if $A_1 \subseteq A_2$, then $f(A_1) \subseteq f(A_2)$
- 4. if $B_1 \subseteq B_2$, then $f^{-1}(B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_2)$.

1.9 Definition, [6]

Let $(R,+,\cdot)$ be a ring and let X be a fuzzy set in R. Then X is called a fuzzy ring in ring $(R,+,\cdot)$ if and only if, for each x, $y \in R$

1. $X(x+y) \ge \min\{X(x), X(y)\}$

- **2.** X(x) = X(-x)
- 3. $X(xy) \ge \min\{X(x), X(y)\}.$

1.10 Definition [7]

A fuzzy subset X of a ring R is called a fuzzy ideal of R, if for each $x, y \in R$

- 1. $X(x-y) \ge \min\{X(x), X(y)\}$
- **2.** $X(xy) \ge max\{X(x), X(y)\}.$

1.11 Definition [2]

Let M be an R-module. A fuzzy set X of M is called a fuzzy module of M if

- **1.** $X(x-y) \ge \min{X(x), X(y)}$, for all x, y ∈M.
- **2.** $X(rx) \ge X(x)$, for all $x \in M$ and $r \in R$.
- **3.** X(0)=1.

1.12 Definition [6]

Let X and A be two fuzzy modules of an R-module M. A is called a fuzzy submodule of X if $A \subseteq X$.

1.13 Proposition [7]

Let A be a fuzzy set of M. Then the level subset $A_t, t \in (0,1]$ is a submodule of M if and only if A is a fuzzy submodule of X where X is a fuzzy module of M such that $A(x) \le X(x)$, $\forall x \in M$.

1.14 Definition [8]

A fuzzy module X of an R-module M is called fuzzy simple if and only if X has no fuzzy proper submodules.

1.15 Definition [8]

A fuzzy module X of an R-module M is called fuzzy cyclic module, if there exists $x_t \subseteq X$ such that each $y_k \subseteq X$ written as $y_k = r_t x_t$ for some fuzzy

IBN AL- HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SCI. VOL23 (2) 2010

singleton r_{ℓ} of R where k, ℓ , $t \in [0,1]$. In this case, we shall write $X=(x_t)$ to denoted the fuzzy cyclic module generated by x_t .

1.16 Definition [6]

Let X and Y be two fuzzy modules of R-modules M_1 and M_2 respectively, f:X \longrightarrow Y is called a fuzzy homomorphism if f:M₁ \longrightarrow M₂ is R-homomorphism and y(f(x))=X(x) for each $x \in M_1$.

1.17 Remark [9]

- 1- Let M and M' be two R-modules, $f:M \longrightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism. If A is a fuzzy submodule of M, then f(A) is a fuzzy submodule of M'.
- **2-** Let M and M' be two R-modules, $f:M \longrightarrow M'$ be a homomorphism. If B is a fuzzy submodule of M', then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy submodule of M.

1.18 Definition [2]

Suppose A and B be two fuzzy modules of R-module M. We define (A:B) by:-

 $(A:B)=\{r_t:r_t \text{ is a fuzzy singleton of } R \text{ such that } r_tB\subseteq A \} \text{ and } (A:B)(r)=\sup \{t \in [0,1] \mid r_tB\subseteq A, \text{ for all } r \in R \}. \text{ If } B=(b_k), \ (A:(b_k))=\{r_t \mid r_tb_k\subseteq A, r_t \text{ is a fuzzy singleton of } R \}.$

1.19 Definition [10]

Let X and Y be two fuzzy modules of M₁, M₂ respectively. Define

 $X \oplus Y: M_1 \oplus M_2 \longrightarrow [0,1]$ by

 $(X \oplus Y)(a,b)=\min{X(a),Y(b)}$ for all $(a,b) \in M_1 \oplus M_2$.

 $X \oplus Y$ is called a fuzzy external direct sum of X and Y.

1.20 Proposition [10]

Let X and Y are fuzzy modules of M_1 and M_2 respectively, then $X\oplus Y$ is a fuzzy module of $M_1\oplus M_2.$

1.21 Proposition [10]

Let A and B be two fuzzy submodules of a fuzzy module X such that $X=A\oplus B$, then $X_S=A_S\oplus B_S$, for all $s \in (0,1]$.

2. Chained Fuzzy Module

In this section we introduce the concept of chained fuzzy module . some basic results of this concept are considerate

2.1 Definition, [11]

An R-module M is called chained module if for each submodules A, B of M, either A \subseteq B or B \subseteq A.

We fuzzify this definition as follows:

2.2 Definition

Let X be a fuzzy module of an R-module M then X is called a chained fuzzy module if for each fuzzy submodules of X either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$.

To prove our next theorem, first we prove the following lemma:

2.3 Lemma

Let A and B be two fuzzy subset of R then $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A_t \subseteq B_t$, for each $t \in [0,1]$. **Proof:** It is easy so it is omitted.

The following theorem characterizes chained fuzzy module in terms of it is level module. **2.4 Theorem**

A fuzzy module X of an R-module M is a chained if and only if X_t is a chained module, $\forall t \in (0,1]$.

Proof: If X is chained fuzzy module. To prove X_t is chained module $\forall t \in (0,1]$. Let I, J be submodules of X_t . Define :

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} t & x \in I \\ 0 & x \notin I \end{cases}, B(x) = \begin{cases} t & x \in J \\ 0 & x \notin J \end{cases}$$

IBN AL-HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SCI. VOL23 (2) 2010

A, B are fuzzy submodules of X. But A_t=I, B_t=J since X is chained fuzzy module, then either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$. Hence $A_t \subseteq B_t$ or $B_t \subseteq A_t$ (by lemma (2.3)). Thus $I \subseteq J$ or $J \subset I$. Conversely, if X_t is chained module, to prove X is a chained fuzzy module, let A, B fuzzy submodules in X. Then A_t, B_t are submodules in X_t, for all $t \in (0,1]$ since X_t is chained Rmodule then $A_t \subset B_t$ or $B_t \subset A_t$ which implies $A \subset B$ or $B \subset A$ (lemma (2.3)). 2.5 Examples

1. Let X(x)=1 for all $x \in Z_8$ X₁=Z₈ for all $t \in [0,1]$. But Z₈ is chained. Hence by theorem (2.4) X is a chained fuzzy module.

2. Every fuzzy simple module is a chained fuzzy module.

2.6 Remark

If $Y \le X$ and X is a chained fuzzy module then Y is chained fuzzy module.

Proof: Let A, B be two fuzzy submodules of Y then A, B are fuzzy submodules of X, since X is chained fuzzy module. Then $A \subset B$ or $B \subset A$ which implies Y is a chained fuzzy module.

2.7 Definition, [10]

A fuzzy module X is called uniform fuzzy module if $A \cap B \neq 0_1$ for any nontrivial fuzzy submodules A and B of X.

2.8 Proposition

A fuzzy module X of an R-module M is uniform if and only if X_t is a uniform module, \forall $t \in (0,1].$

Proof: If X is uniform fuzzy module, to prove X_t is uniform module $\forall t \in (0,1]$. Let I, J be submodules of X_t. Define

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} t & x \in I \\ 0 & x \notin I \end{cases}, B(x) = \begin{cases} t & x \in J \\ 0 & x \notin J \end{cases}$$

A, B are fuzzy submodules of X. But At=I, Bt=J since X is uniform fuzzy module, then $A \cap B \neq 0_1$. Hence $(A \cap B)_t \neq 0_1$ which implies $A_t \cap B_t \neq 0_1$ (by remark 1.5). This $I \cap J \neq 0_1$.

Conversely, if X_t is uniform module, to prove X is a uniform fuzzy module, let A, B fuzzy submodules in X. Then A_t, B_t are submodules in X_t, for all $t \in (0,1]$, since X_t is uniform Rmodule then $A_t \cap B_t \neq 0_1$ which implies $(A \cap B)_t \neq 0_1$ (by remark 1.5). Thus $A \cap B \neq 0_1$.

Now, we shall show the relationship between uniform fuzzy module and chained fuzzy module as the following proposition:

2.9 Proposition

Every chained fuzzy module is a uniform fuzzy module.

Proof: Let X be a chained fuzzy module of an R-module M then $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$

if $A \subset B$ then $A \cap B = A$

if $B \subset A$ then $A \cap B = B$

which implies $A \cap B=0_1$.

2.10 Remark

The converse of proposition (2.9) is not true for the following example shows:

2.11 Example

Let M=Z as a Z-module

X:M \longrightarrow [0,1] such that X(x)=1 $\forall x \in M$ X_t=z for all t \in [0,1]. But Z is uniform. Hence by proposition (2.9) X is a uniform fuzzy module. But X is not chained fuzzy module since $\exists A$, B fuzzy submodules of X defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in (2) \\ \frac{1}{4} & x \notin (2) \end{cases}, B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in (5) \\ \frac{1}{4} & x \notin (5) \end{cases} \text{ and } A \not \subseteq B \text{ and } B \not \subseteq A.$$

Recall that if A and B are two submodules of an R-module M, then A and B are called comparable if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subset A$.

We shall fuzzify this concept as follows:

IBN AL- HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SCI. VOL23 (2) 2010

2.12 Definition

Let A, B be two fuzzy submodules of a fuzzy module X of an R-module M, then A and B are called comparable if $A \subset B$ and $B \subset A$.

2.13 Proposition

A fuzzy module X of an R-module M is chained iff every two cyclic fuzzy submodules of X are comparable.

Proof: Let A and B be fuzzy submodules of X. Suppose $A \not\subset B$, we show $B \subseteq A$ since $A \not\subset B$, there exists $x_t \in A$ and $x_t \notin B < x_t \ge A$ and $< x_t \ge A$. Let $y_k \in B$, then $< y_k \ge B$, $< x_t \ge A$, $< y_k \ge A$ are cyclic fuzzy submodules of X, then either $\langle x_t \rangle \subset \langle y_k \rangle$ or $\langle y_k \rangle \subset \langle x_t \rangle$.

If $\langle x_k \rangle \subset \langle y_k \rangle$ implies $\langle x_k \rangle \subset B$ (since $\langle y_k \rangle \subset B$). Thus $x_k \in B$ is a contradiction.

If $\langle y_k \rangle \subset \langle x_t \rangle$ implies that $\langle y_k \rangle \subset A$ (since $\langle x_t \rangle \subset A$). Thus B $\subset A$ so X is chained.

The converse is obvious.

2.14 Remark

A chained fuzzy module is indecomposable.

Proof: Suppose X is decomposable, then $X=A\oplus B$ for some fuzzy submodule A and B of X. Thus $A \cap B = O_1$ is a contradiction (proposition 2.9).

Now, we introduce the notion of chained fuzzy ring. First we have the following definition.

2.15 Definition, [11]

A ring R is called chained if and only if for each fuzzy ideals I, J of R either I \subseteq J or J \subseteq I.

2.16 Definition

A fuzzy ring X of a ring R is called chained if and only if for each fuzzy ideals I, J of X either $I \subset J$ or $J \subset I$.

2.17 Remark

A fuzzy ring X is chained if and only if X_t is chained ring $\forall t \in (0,1]$.

Proof: It is easy so it is omitted.

2.18 Definition, [8]

A fuzzy module X of an R-module M is called multiplication fuzzy module if for each nonempty fuzzy submodule A of X, there exists a fuzzy ideal I of R such that A=IX.

2.19 Proposition

Let X be a multiplication module of an R-module M if R is a chained ring then X is a chained fuzzy module.

Proof: Let A and B be fuzzy submodules of X. Then there exists fuzzy ideals I and J of R such that A=IX and B=JX, since I_t and J_t ideals of R and R is chained, therefore $I_t \subset J_t$ or $J_{t} \subset I_{t}$. Thus $I \subset J$ or $J \subset I$ (by remark 2.3) implies that $IX \subset JX$ or $JX \subset IX$. Thus $A \subset B$ or $B \subset A$.

2.20 Definition

Let X be a chained fuzzy module of an R-module M and let

$V(X) = \{(O_1; X_t) | X_t \in X\}.$

2.21 Definition, [10]

Let X be a non empty fuzzy module of R-module M. The fuzzy annihilator of A denoted by (F-annA) is defined by $\{X_t:x \in \mathbb{R}, X_t A \subset O_1\}, t \in [0,1], where A is a proper fuzzy submodule$ of X.

Note that:

 $(F-annA)(a)=\sup \{t:t \in [0,1], a_tA \subset O_1\}, \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{R}; \text{ that is } F-annA=(O_1:A).$

2.22 Definition, [10]

A fuzzy module X is called faithful if F-annX=O₁.

2.23 Remark

If X is chained faithful fuzzy module then $\bigcap_{O_1 \neq X_t \in X} (O_1:X_t) = O_1, X_t \in X.$

IBN AL- HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SCI. VOL.23 (2) 2010

Proof: If $\bigcap_{O_1 \neq X_t \in X} (O_1:X_t) \neq O_1$ then there is $r_\ell \in R$, $r_\ell \neq O_1$ such that $r_\ell x_t = O_1$, $\forall x_t \in X$ then $r_\ell X = O_1$

a contradiction.

2.24 Definition, [13]

A fuzzy ideal A of a ring R is called fuzzy prime ideal, if A is non-constant and for any fuzzy ideals B and C of R such that $B \circ C \subseteq A$, then either $B \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq A$.

Equivalently, A fuzzy ideal A of a ring R is called fuzzy prime ideal if A is a non-constant and for all a_{ℓ} , b_h fuzzy singletons of R such that $a_{\ell}b_h \subseteq A$ implies that either $a_{\ell} \subseteq A$ or $b_h \subseteq A$, ℓ , $h \in [0,1]$.

2.25 Remark

If X is a chained fuzzy module of an R-module M then,

- 1. V(X) is a linearly ordered set of fuzzy ideals of R.
- 2. $P = \bigcup_{O_1 \neq X_t \in X} (O_1:x_t)$ is a fuzzy prime ideal of R.

Proof: (1) Let A, $B \in V(X)$ then $A=(O_1:x_t)$ and $B=(O_1:y_t)$ for some $x_t \neq O_1$, $y_t=O_1$ and x_t , $y_t \in X$ since X is chained fuzzy module then X_t is chained module (by theorem (2.4)) implies that $V(X_t)$ is a linearly ordered set of ideals of R (see [12,remark (1.9)). Thus V(X) is a linearly ordered set of R.

(2) $P = \bigcup_{O_1 \neq X_t \in X} (O_1:x_t)$ is a fuzzy ideal of R. To show that P is a fuzzy prime ideal, let a_ℓ , $b_h \in R$

such that $a_{\ell}b_h \in P$, then there is $O_1 \neq x_t \in X$ such that $a_{\ell}b_h \in (O_1:x_t)$. Then $a_{\ell}b_h x_t=O_1$. This implies that $a_{\ell} \in (O_1: b_h x_t)$. Now if $b_h x_t=O_1$ then $b_h \in (O_1:x_t)$. Thus $b_h \in P$ and if $b_h x_t \neq O_1$ then $b_h x_t \neq O_1 \in X$, and hence $a_{\ell} \in P$.

3. Direct Sum of Chained Fuzzy Module

We turn attention to the direct sum of chained fuzzy modules.

3.1 Remark

If X and Y are two chained fuzzy modules of an R-module M_1 and M_2 respectively then X \oplus Y is not necessary chained fuzzy module of $M_1 \oplus M_2$ as the following example shows: **3.2** Example

3.2 Example

Let X:Z₆
$$(0, \frac{1}{3})$$
 such that

$$X(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } a \in <2 > \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin, <2 > \end{cases}$$
Let Y:Z₆ $(0, \frac{1}{3})$ such that

$$Y(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } a \in <3 > \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin, <2 > \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{if a } \notin, <3 > \\ \text{it is clear that X and Y are chained fuzzy module} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that X and Y are chained fuzzy modules of Z_6 . Hence $X \oplus Y$ is not a chained fuzzy module of $Z_6 \oplus Z_6$. Since $\exists A, B$ fuzzy submodules of $X \oplus Y$, where

$$A(a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } (a,b) \in <2 > \oplus <0 > \\ 0 & \text{if } (a,b) \notin <2 > \oplus <0 > \end{cases}$$

and

$$B(a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } (a,b) \in <0 > \oplus <3 > \\ 0 & \text{if } (a,b) \notin <0 > \oplus <3 > \end{cases}$$

IBN AL- HAITHAM J. FOR PURE & APPL. SC I. VOL23 (2) 2010

But A(2,0)= $\frac{1}{3}$, B(2,0)=0, that is A $\not\subseteq$ B. Alaso A(0,3)=0, B(0,3)= $\frac{1}{3}$, that is B $\not\subseteq$ A. Thus

 $X \oplus Y$ is not a chained fuzzy module of $Z_6 \oplus Z_6$.

3.3 Theorem

Let X and Y be a fuzzy modules of an R-modules M_1 and M_2 respectively, if $X \oplus Y$ is a chained fuzzy module of $M_1 \oplus M_2$ then X is a chained fuzzy module of M_1 and Y is a chained fuzzy module of M₂.

Proof: By similar proof of theorem (4.10) in [14].

Next, we shall indicate the behaviors of chained fuzzy modules under homomorphism.

3.4 Theorem

Let X and Y be a fuzzy modules of an R-modules M_1 and M_2 respectively. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a fuzzy epimorphism. If X is a chained fuzzy module, then Y is a chained fuzzy module.

Proof: Let A, B are fuzzy submodules in Y. Then $f^{-1}(A)$, $f^{-1}(B)$ are fuzzy submodules in X (remark (1.17),(2)), since X is chained fuzzy module, then either $f^{-1}(A) \subset f^{-1}(B)$ or $f^{-1}(B) \subset f$ $^{1}(A).$

Now, if $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$, then $f(f^{-1}(A)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(B))$ (by lemma (1.8),(2)). Similarly, if $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$, then $B \subseteq A$. Therefore Y is a chained fuzzy module.

3.5 Proposition

Let X and Y be two fuzzy modules of an R-modules M_1 and M_2 respectively. Let $f:X \longrightarrow Y$ be a fuzzy homomorphism and every submodule of Y is f-invariant. If Y is a chained fuzzy module, then X is a chained fuzzy module.

Proof: Let A, B are fuzzy submodules in X. Hence f(A), f(B) are fuzzy submodules in Y (remark (1.17),(1)), since Y is a chained fuzzy module then $f(A) \subseteq f(B)$ or $f(B) \subseteq f(A)$.

Now, if $f(A) \subset f(B)$, then $f^{-1}(f(A)) \subset f^{-1}(f(B))$ (by lemma (1.8),(4)). Hence $A \subset B$ (by lemma (1.8),(1)).

Similarly, if $f(B) \subset f(A)$, then $B \subset A$. Therefore X is a chained fuzzy module.

References

- 1. Zahdi, L.A., (1965), Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, <u>8</u>, 338-353.
- 2. Zadehi, M. M., (1992), On L-Fuzzy Residual Quotient Modules and p-Primary Submodules, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 51:, 331-344.
- 3. Mashinchi, M. and Zahedi, M. M., "On L-Fuzzy Primary Submodules", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 49:, pp.231-236, (1996).
- 4. Zadehi, M. M., (1991), A Characterization of L-Fuzzy Prime Ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, <u>44:</u>, 147-160.
- 5. Kumar, R., (1991), Fuzzy Semi-Primary Ideals of Rings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 42:, 263-272.
- 6. Martinez, L., (1996), Fuzzy Modules Over Fuzzy Rings in Connection with Fuzzy Idealof Fuzzy Ring, J. Fuzzy Math., 4:, 843-857.
- 7. Qaid, A., (1999), Some Results on Fuzzy Modules, M.Sc., Thesis, University of Baghdad.
- 8. khalaf, Y.k., (2001), Fuzzy Quasi-Prime Modules and Fuzzy Quasi-Prime Submodules, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
- 9. Gada, A.A., (2000), Fuzzy Spectrum of a Modules Over Commutative Ring, MSc. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
- 10. jari. H.R., Prime Fuzzy Submodules and Prime Fuzzy Modules, MSc. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
- 11. Shores T.S., and Jewis W.J., (1974), Serial Modules and Endomorphism Rings, Duke Math. J. <u>,41:</u>, 889-909.
- 12. Mohmad, A.A., (1997), Chained Modules, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Bghdad.

- 13. Mukhrjee, T.K., (1989), Prime Fuzzy Ideals in Rings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, <u>32:</u>, 337-341.
- 14. Hadi, I.M.A., (2002), Some Types of Fuzzy Rings, Mathematics and Physics J. $\underline{I(17)}(1)$, 1-17.

مجلة ابن الهيثم للعلوم الصرفة والتطبيقية المجلد23 (2) 2010

الموديولات الضبابية المسلسلة

شروق بهجت قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية – ابن الهيثم ، جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة

لتكن R حلقة أبدالية ذا عنصر محايد في هذا البحث قدمنا مفهوم الموديولات الضبابية المسلسلة تعميما لمفهوم الموديولات المسلسلة. لقد أعطينا العديد من التميزات والخواص الأساسية لهذا المفهوم.